Future changes in the mean and variability of extreme rainfall indices over the Guinea coast and role of the Atlantic equatorial mode
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Abstract. The occurrence of climate extremes could have dramatic impacts on various sectors such as agriculture, water supply, and energy production. This study aims to understand part of the variability in the extreme rainfall indices over Guinea coast that can be related to the Atlantic equatorial mode (AEM), whose positive phases are associated with an increase in the intensity and frequency of rainfall events. We use six extreme indices computed from six observed rainfall databases and historical and SSP5-8.5 simulations from 24 general circulation models (GCMs) that participate in the sixth phase of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP6) to study changes in extreme rainfall events over Guinea coast during July–September. Under present-day conditions, we found that current GCMs clearly overestimate the frequency of wet events and the maximum number of consecutive wet days. The magnitude of the other extreme indices simulated is within the range of the observations which, moreover, present a large spread. Our results confirm the existing studies. However, less attention has been paid to the evaluation of the modelled rainfall extremes associated with the AEM under different climate conditions, while the variability of the AEM is expected to decrease in the future, with a potentially significant impact on the extreme events. Here, we use six (one) observed rainfall (sea surface temperature) data and 24 GCM outputs to investigate the present-day, near-term, mid-term, and long-term future links between the AEM and the extreme rainfall events over the Guinea coast. The biases in the extreme rainfall responses to the AEM are subject to a large spread across the different models and observations. For the long-term future (2080–2099), less frequent and more intense rainfall events are projected. As an illustration, the multimodel ensemble median (EnsMedian) maximum rainfall during 5 consecutive wet days (RX5day) would be 21 % higher than under present-day conditions. Moreover, the variability of the majority of the extreme indices over the Guinea coast is projected to increase (48 % for RX5day in the long-term future). By contrast, the decreased variability of the AEM in a warmer climate leads to a reduced magnitude of the rainfall extreme responses associated with AEM over the Guinea coast. While under present-day conditions the AEM explains 18 % of the RX5day variance in the EnsMedian, this value is reduced to 8 % at the end of 21st century. As a consequence, in absolute, there is a projected increase in the total variability of most of the extreme rainfall indices, but the contribution of the AEM to this variability weakens in a warmer future climate.

1 Introduction

Severe flash flood events were reported over West and Central Africa during the last few decades, affecting millions of people, destroying houses, destroying buildings, and leading to dozens of deaths (United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs, 2012, 2021). Some of these climatic hazards were caused by severely abnormal rainfall, which often contributes to increasing the river levels, causing flooding of the surrounding areas (Elagib et al., 2021; Fofana et al., 2022). A combination of different factors could also lead to high-impact weather events, such as the very heavy
rainfall that occurred in Ouagadougou on the 1st of September 2009 (Engel et al., 2017; Lefore et al., 2017; Beucher et al., 2019), where more than one-third of the annual rainfall was recorded within 24 h, and it reached 263 mm. In addition, the large-scale sea surface temperature (SST) conditions during this event showed an anomalous warming of the Mediterranean Sea, a negative North-Atlantic-Oscillation-like pattern, and a pronounced Atlantic cold tongue. This enhanced cold tongue corresponds to a negative phase of the Atlantic equatorial mode (AEM), whose impact on the occurrence of extreme rainfall events over the Guinea coast is the focus of the current study (Zebiak, 1993; Losada et al., 2010a, b).

During the last few decades, the Guinea coast has experienced changes in its rainfall characteristics, with conclusions that depend on the season considered, the period of study, the source of observations, and the extreme rainfall index used (Bichet and Diedhiou, 2018; New et al., 2006; Odouilami and Akinsanola, 2017; Kpanou et al., 2020; Dike et al., 2020). It is important to highlight that these changes are not homogeneous over the whole region. Through the period 1961–2000, the observations indicate a decrease in the annual rainfall in southern Nigeria, with an increase in the intensity of the daily rainfall and the annual maximum 1 d rainfall (New et al., 2006). Odouilami and Akinsanola (2017) showed, however, a significant negative trend in the June–September mean daily rainfall over the Guinea coast during the 1998–2013 period. Nevertheless, they found a decrease in the frequency of rainfall events higher than the 95th percentile. This result is opposite to Kpanou et al. (2020), who, on an annual basis, found an increasing trend in the number of days, with rainfall exceeding the 95th percentile over coastal areas in the southwestern Côte d’Ivoire, Togo, and Bénin. Odouilami and Akinsanola (2017) also observed an overall upward and insignificant trend in the number of dry days over that area and a significant decreasing trend in the number of wet days. The Sixth Assessment Report (AR6) of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) stated that there is a low confidence in the observed heavy precipitation trend over West Africa for the last few decades, as well as in the contribution of human influence to that trend (Seneviratne et al., 2021).

Several studies have shown that climate general circulation models (GCMs) participating in the sixth phase of the Coupled Models Intercomparison Project (CMIP6) simulate reasonably well the spatial distribution of the rainfall extreme indices in West Africa, with, however, different levels of magnitude (Faye and Akinsanola, 2022; Klutse et al., 2021). Moreover, regional climate models (RCMs) forced with CMIP5 GCM outputs (CORDEX project) show an added value in simulating the spatial distribution of the present-day extreme indices over West Africa (Akinsanola et al., 2020). In addition, these models project an enhancement of extreme rainfall events over the Guinea coast in the future under the Representative Concentration Pathway (RCP) 4.5 and 8.5 forcing scenarios (Akinsanola and Zhou, 2019). Furthermore, Akinsanola et al. (2020) demonstrated from RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 projections with RCMs an increase in the West African rainfall variability, which is associated with enhanced mean rainfall and rainfall extremes on the Guinea coast. This increase in variability was explained by the change in the water vapour in a warmer world following the Clausius–Clapeyron equation (Akinsanola et al., 2020).

The first mode of covariability between the sea surface temperature in the tropical Atlantic and the rainfall over West Africa during the boreal summer indicates a strong connection between the eastern equatorial Atlantic SST and the Guinea coast rainfall and explains 31 % of the total covariability (Polo et al., 2008). These local SST changes are related to the Atlantic equatorial mode, also named the Atlantic zonal mode (Zebiak, 1993), whose variability occurs on interannual timescales. Positive phases of the AEM are characterized by above normal SST conditions in the eastern equatorial Atlantic. The enhanced low-level wind convergence over the warm oceanic area is accompanied by an ascent of moist air, which is then advected by the low-level circulation toward the Guinea coast. This provides favourable conditions for the rainfall occurrence over this region (Polo et al., 2008; Rodríguez-Fonseca et al., 2015; Schubert et al., 2016; Lübbecke et al., 2018; Worou et al., 2020). Worou et al. (2022) have demonstrated that the covariability between the Guinea coast rainfall and the AEM is maximum in JAS, in the simulations performed within CMIP6 models (June–September in the observations), under present-day conditions. The first mode of covariability between the sea surface temperature in the tropical Atlantic and the rainfall over West Africa during the boreal summer indicates a strong connection between the eastern equatorial Atlantic SST and the Guinea coast rainfall and explains 31 % of the total covariability (Polo et al., 2008). These local SST changes are related to the Atlantic equatorial mode, also named the Atlantic zonal mode (Zebiak, 1993), whose variability occurs on interannual timescales. Positive phases of the AEM are characterized by above normal SST conditions in the eastern equatorial Atlantic. The enhanced low-level wind convergence over the warm oceanic area is accompanied by an ascent of moist air, which is then advected by the low-level circulation toward the Guinea coast. This provides favourable conditions for the rainfall occurrence over this region (Polo et al., 2008; Rodríguez-Fonseca et al., 2015; Schubert et al., 2016; Lübbecke et al., 2018; Worou et al., 2020). Worou et al. (2022) have demonstrated that the covariability between the Guinea coast rainfall and the AEM is maximum in JAS, in the simulations performed within CMIP6 models (June–September in the observations), under present-day conditions. Over the 1901–2016 period, in the observations, the positive correlation of the eastern equatorial Atlantic with the rainfall over the Guinea coast was clear during the boreal summer (Losada et al., 2012; Diatta and Fink, 2014; Worou et al., 2020). Nevertheless, the variability of the AEM is projected to decrease under future global warming (Worou et al., 2022; Crespo et al., 2022; Yang et al., 2022). This would potentially reduce the role of the AEM in the Guinea coast rainfall variability. Moreover, Diatta et al. (2020) showed that the Guinea coast rainfall extremes are strongly correlated with the AEM index. Atiah et al. (2020) also showed an increase (a decrease) in the total annual wet day rainfall, heavy rainfall, and very heavy rainfall over Ghana during warmer (colder) sea surface conditions in the eastern equatorial Atlantic. Therefore, it is important to understand and quantify the projected potential changes in the extreme rainfall events on the Guinea coast that are associated with the AEM.

Previous studies suggested a link between the AEM and the Guinea coast extreme rainfall variability in the observations. However, this link in the current GCMs and the impact of the projected reduction in the AEM variability on the extreme rainfall events over Guinea coast have not yet been assessed. This study aims to further explore the relationship suggested in previous studies between the AEM and the extreme rainfall events over the Guinea coast under present-day and future climate conditions by using outputs from CMIP6.
models and to determine how those changes in AEM contribute to the simulated changes in rainfall extremes. Section 2 is dedicated to the data used in this work and the methods adopted. Section 3 describes the present-day statistics of the rainfall extremes over the Guinea coast and the near-term, mid-term, and long-term future changes in the mean and variability of these extremes. In Sect. 4, the impact of the AEM on the Guinea coast rainfall extremes under present-day conditions is evaluated, as well as the future changes in the extreme indices responses related to the AEM. We conclude with Sect. 5, where our findings are summarized.

2 Datasets and methods

The present study focuses on the impact of the Atlantic equatorial mode on the rainfall extreme events over the Guinea coast under different climate conditions. Four 20-year periods are considered: the present-day (1995–2014), the near-term future (2021–2040), the mid-term future (2041–2060), and the long-term future (2080–2099) periods. The choice of these periods is based on the definition provided by IPCC (2021) (see their Table SPM.1).

2.1 CMIP6 data

Daily rainfall and monthly SST data from 24 climate models participating in CMIP6 were retrieved from one of the Earth System Grid Federation (ESGF) portals (e.g. https://esgf-node.llnl.gov/search/cmip6/, last access: 15 June 2022) and analysed. The analysis of the present-day period is based on the historical simulations, which covered the 1850–2014 period and were forced with observed natural and anthropogenic forcings (Eyring et al., 2016). The analyses for the future periods rely on the shared socioeconomic pathway with a high greenhouse gas emission (SSP5–8.5, O’Neill et al., 2016). These simulations are started from the year 2015. We choose the SSP5–8.5 scenario to get the clearest signal of climate change.

The majority of the studies analysing the internal modes of variability in the tropical Atlantic only used one member for each available model (e.g. Kucharski and Joshi, 2017; Richter and Tokinaga, 2020; Worou et al., 2022; Crespo et al., 2022; Yang et al., 2022). We rely here on the same approach and use one realization for each model in our study, assuming that all realizations are equivalent for the analyses we performed. It could be interesting to test the limitations of this hypothesis using the models that provide a relatively large ensemble for both historical and future simulations. However, this is out of the scope of this present study, and we expect that the differences between ensemble members of a specific model are smaller than the differences between models for the diagnostics analysed here. Table 1 provides a list of the different models used, their corresponding ensemble member, and their resolution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CMIP6 model</th>
<th>Historical member</th>
<th>SSP5–8.5 member</th>
<th>Resolution (° lat x ° long)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACCESS-CM2</td>
<td>r1i1p1f1</td>
<td>r1i1p1f1</td>
<td>1.25 x 1.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCESS-ESM1-5</td>
<td>r1i1p1f1</td>
<td>r1i1p1f1</td>
<td>1.25 x 1.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CESM2</td>
<td>r1i1p1f1</td>
<td>r2i1p1f1</td>
<td>0.94 x 1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CESM2-WACC</td>
<td>r1i1p1f1</td>
<td>r1i1p1f1</td>
<td>0.94 x 1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNRM-CM6-1</td>
<td>r1i1p1f2</td>
<td>r1i1p1f2</td>
<td>1.40 x 1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNRM-CM6-1-HR</td>
<td>r1i1p1f2</td>
<td>r1i1p1f2</td>
<td>0.50 x 0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNRM-ESM2-1</td>
<td>r1i1p1f2</td>
<td>r1i1p1f2</td>
<td>1.40 x 1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CanESM5</td>
<td>r1i1p1f1</td>
<td>r1i1p1f1</td>
<td>2.79 x 2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC-Earth3</td>
<td>r1i1p1f1</td>
<td>r1i1p1f1</td>
<td>0.70 x 0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC-Earth3-Veg</td>
<td>r1i1p1f1</td>
<td>r1i1p1f1</td>
<td>0.70 x 0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFDL-ESM4</td>
<td>r1i1p1f1</td>
<td>r1i1p1f1</td>
<td>1.00 x 1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HadGEM3-GC31-LL</td>
<td>r1i1p1f3</td>
<td>r1i1p1f3</td>
<td>1.25 x 1.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INM-CM4-8</td>
<td>r1i1p1f1</td>
<td>r1i1p1f1</td>
<td>1.50 x 2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INM-CM5-0</td>
<td>r1i1p1f1</td>
<td>r1i1p1f1</td>
<td>1.50 x 2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPSL-CM6A-LR</td>
<td>r1i1p1f1</td>
<td>r1i1p1f1</td>
<td>1.27 x 2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KACE-1-0-G</td>
<td>r1i1p1f1</td>
<td>r1i1p1f1</td>
<td>1.25 x 1.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIROC-ES2L</td>
<td>r1i1p1f2</td>
<td>r1i1p1f2</td>
<td>2.79 x 2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIROC6</td>
<td>r1i1p1f1</td>
<td>r1i1p1f1</td>
<td>1.40 x 1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPI-ESM1-2-HR</td>
<td>r1i1p1f1</td>
<td>r1i1p1f1</td>
<td>0.94 x 0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPI-ESM1-2-LR</td>
<td>r1i1p1f1</td>
<td>r1i1p1f1</td>
<td>1.87 x 1.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI-ESM2-0</td>
<td>r1i1p1f1</td>
<td>r1i1p1f1</td>
<td>1.12 x 1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NorESM2-LM</td>
<td>r1i1p1f1</td>
<td>r1i1p1f1</td>
<td>1.89 x 2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NorESM2-MM</td>
<td>r1i1p1f1</td>
<td>r1i1p1f1</td>
<td>0.94 x 1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UKESM1-0-LL</td>
<td>r1i1p1f2</td>
<td>r1i1p1f2</td>
<td>1.25 x 1.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2 Observations

To evaluate the performance of the models in simulating different aspects of the extreme indices, and to take into account uncertainties in observations, we use daily rainfall data from six different sources: CHIRPS, ARCv2, PERSIANN-CCS-CDDR, REGEN LongTermStns, TAMSAT v3, and GPCC-FDD-v2022. These data are described in Table 2. We show in Fig. S1 in the Supplement three additional observed rainfall data: GMAP, IMERG, and GPCC (Kubota et al., 2007; Huffman et al., 2020; Becker et al., 2013). These data are not considered in our current work, as they do not cover the present-day period (1995–2014). They show, however, a consistent annual cycle of the extreme rainfall indices with the other observational rainfall data (Fig. 1). A comparison between some of those datasets is also given in Sanogo et al. (2022) and Ageet et al. (2022).
Figure 1. Annual cycle of the six extreme rainfall indices over the Guinea coast during the 1995–2014 period. The black (orange) curves indicate the median values of the six (24) observations (models). The gray (orange) shading indicates the 10th–90th percentile range for six (24) observations (GCMs). These percentiles are marked with the dashed curves (in black for observations and in orange for models). The two vertical lines indicate the JAS season.

Table 2. List of the six observed rainfall data considered in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Availability</th>
<th>Resolution (° lat × ° long)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CHIRPS</td>
<td>Climate Hazards Group InfraRed Precipitation with Station data</td>
<td>1981–2023</td>
<td>0.25 × 0.25</td>
<td>Funk et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARCv2</td>
<td>African Rainfall Climatology Version 2</td>
<td>1983–2023</td>
<td>0.1 × 0.1</td>
<td>Novella and Thiaw (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERSIANN-CCS-CDR</td>
<td>Precipitation Estimation from Remotely Sensed Information using Artificial Neural Networks-Cloud Classification System-Climate Data Record</td>
<td>1983–2023</td>
<td>0.04 × 0.04</td>
<td>Sadeghi et al. (2021)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAMSAT v3</td>
<td>Tropical Applications of Meteorology using Satellite data and ground-based observations, version 3.0</td>
<td>1983–2023</td>
<td>0.0375 × 0.0375</td>
<td>Maidment et al. (2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPCC-FDD-v2022</td>
<td>Global Precipitation Climatology Centre, Full Data Daily Version 2022</td>
<td>1982–2020</td>
<td>1 × 1</td>
<td>Markus et al. (2022)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Observed monthly SST data are derived from the Hadley Centre Global Sea Ice and Sea Surface Temperature (HadISST). This dataset is available at a spatial resolution of 1° (Rayner et al., 2003) and covers the 1870–2022 period. We do not include additional observed SST data, as we consider that this is not a critical point for our analyses.

2.3 Choice of the season

This study is focused on the July–September season (JAS), following Worou et al. (2022). This season contributes to 46% of the total annual rainfall over the Guinea coast. It is also dominated by the monsoon system of West Africa, which is characterized by an abrupt shift of the rainfall band from the coastal areas at the end of June to the Sahel region. Sanogo et al. (2022) found a strong coupling between the West African monsoon rainfall and the occurrence of extreme precipitation events, mainly during the boreal summer. Note that although mesoscale convective systems have an important contribution to the Guinea coast rainfall during April–May–June and September–October–November (Maranan et al., 2018), the annual cycle of the very wet day
Table 3. List and definition of the six rainfall extreme indices selected for this study. These indices are based on the definition provided by the ETCCDI.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index label</th>
<th>Index name</th>
<th>Index definition</th>
<th>Index unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SDII</td>
<td>Simple daily intensity index</td>
<td>For each year, compute the average of wet days daily rainfall in a month/season. A wet day is defined as a day when the rainfall is greater or equal to 1 mm.</td>
<td>mm d$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R20mm</td>
<td>Very heavy precipitation days</td>
<td>For each year, count the number of days in the month/season when the daily rainfall is greater or equal to 20 mm.</td>
<td>days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R95p</td>
<td>Very wet days</td>
<td>Let PR95 be the 95th percentile of the wet-day daily rainfall time series in the month/season over the 1995–2014 period. For each year, sum of rainfall over days in the month/season, when the rainfall amount is greater or equal to PR95.</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RX5day</td>
<td>Maximum 5 d precipitation</td>
<td>For each year, compute the maximum of the rainfall sum over 5 consecutive days in the month/season.</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWD</td>
<td>Consecutive wet days</td>
<td>For each year, compute the largest number of consecutive wet days in the month/season. A wet day is defined as a day when the rainfall is greater or equal to 1 mm.</td>
<td>days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRQW</td>
<td>Frequency of wet days</td>
<td>For each year, compute the number of wet days (when the rainfall is greater or equal to 1 mm) in the month/season. The result is divided by the total number of days in the month/season and multiplied by 100.</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The seasonal (JAS) mean of the obtained area-averaged monthly SST anomalies. This gives one value per year for the AEM index. The AEM index time series are then standardized by dividing them by their standard deviation over the entire period considered.

### 2.4.2 Computation of the extreme rainfall indices

First, all the daily observational and model rainfall data are remapped on the grid of the model which has the lowest resolution. In our study, this corresponds to the grid of the MIROC-ES2L model, with a resolution of 2.8°. We use a first-order conservative remapping method with the CDO routine to perform the remapping of the rainfall data. We define the Guinea coast region as the area extending between 18° W–15° E and 4° S–10° N. There are 32 grid points inside this area on the MIROC-ES2L grid (Fig. S2).

In this work, we analyse a set of six extreme rainfall indices defined by the Expert Team on Climate Change Detection and Indices (ETCCDI) (http://etccdi.pacificclimate.org/indices_def.shtml, last access: 16 June 2022):

- the SDII (simple daily intensity index), which describes the intensity of wet rainfall events;
- the R95p (very wet day), which describes the intensity of rainfall events exceeding the 95th percentile;
- the CWD (consecutive wet days), which describes the maximum duration of a wet event;
- the RX5day (maximum 5 d precipitation), which is the intensity of an event over a duration of 5 d;
– the FRQW (frequency of wet days), which describes the frequency of wet events;

– R20mm (very heavy precipitation days), which is a measure of the frequency of rainfall events exceeding 20 mm, and which could have a high socioeconomic impact.

The details of these indices are provided in Table 3. The indices recommended by the ETCCDI are widely used to monitor and detect changes in drought and wet conditions over different regions. Some applications can be found in several studies such as New et al. (2006), Stillmann et al. (2013a, b), Mouhamed et al. (2013), Diedhiou et al. (2018), Faye and Akinsanola (2022), and Delhaye et al. (2022), among others. We computed these indices in two ways. Firstly, we computed monthly indices over the whole period of study and performed the monthly averages to get the mean annual cycle. Secondly, we considered daily rainfall values over the JAS season (92 values at each grid point) for each year in the period of study. We obtained one value per year for each extreme index. The corresponding series provide the information needed to study the seasonal climatology and interannual variability of the extreme rainfall indices.

### 2.4.3 Links between the AEM and the extreme rainfall indices

The analysis of the JAS rainfall extreme patterns associated with the JAS AEM is completed through linear regressions of the extreme anomalies at each grid point onto the standardized JAS AEM SST index. The patterns are computed for each GCM. The regression patterns in the observations are computed by regressing the rainfall indices from the six different observational data onto the standardized AEM index from HadISST. In both cases, we will consider the ensemble median (EnsMedian) of the models and the EnsMedian of the observations to show the common characteristics. To identify the most robust changes among the members of those observations and models’ EnsMedians we will only consider a two-thirds sign agreement approach among the set of data to show the robust signals related to AEM: at a grid point, a regression coefficient value is robust if more than 66 % of the models agree on the sign of the multimodel EnsMedian of the JAS AEM SST index. The patterns are computed for

\[ \Delta X \]

where:

\[ \Delta X = \frac{\Delta \bar{X}}{\sigma \Delta X}, \]

\[ \text{SNR} = \frac{\Delta \bar{X}}{\sigma \Delta X}, \]

where \( \sigma \) is the standard deviation of the linearly detrended change in a variable \( X \) in one model; \( \Delta \bar{X} \) is the multimodel ensemble mean (EnsMean) of the change in a variable \( X \), which is termed the forced signal; \( \sigma \Delta X \) is the spread of the changes (the standard deviation) among the different models, which is due to the internal variability and the different responses of the GCMs.

Therefore, regions where the SNR is greater than 1 are supposed to experience a robust change. Qualitatively, both approaches give a similar result for the robust long-term changes in the extreme indices, except for R95p (Fig. S4). In the IPCC approach, the projected long-term changes in R95p are non-robust, whereas the second approach shows robust changes over a large area of West Africa. Moreover, the IPCC approach gives more information on grid points where the change is not robust or conflicting, whereas the second approach only provides information on the robust forced changes. Hereafter, we consider the IPCC approach.

### 2.4.5 Performance metrics for the models

The evaluation of the models’ performance in representing the spatial distribution of the different extreme indices relative to observations is based on four metrics, which have...
been applied in different studies (e.g. Akinsanola and Zhou, 2019; Faye and Akinsanola, 2022; Akinsanola et al., 2021; Li et al., 2021):

- the percentage of bias (%BIAS)
  \[ \text{%BIAS} = 100 \times \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (M_i - O_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} O_i}, \]  

- the normalized root mean square error (NRMSE)
  \[ \text{NRMSE} = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (M_i - O_i)^2}}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} O_i}, \]  

- the pattern correlation coefficient (PCC)
  \[ \text{PCC}(M, O) = \frac{\text{Cov}(M, O)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(M) \text{Var}(O)}}, \]  

- a variant of the Taylor skill score (TSS) (Taylor, 2001)
  \[ \text{TSS} = \frac{4(1 + \text{PCC})^2}{(\frac{\text{Cov}_{\text{truth}}}{\text{Cov}_{\text{observation}}} + \frac{\text{Var}_{\text{truth}}}{\text{Var}_{\text{observation}}})^2 (1 + \text{PCC}_0)^2}, \]  

where \( M \) and \( O \) are model and observation values, respectively; \( i \) is the index of a grid point; \( n \) is the number of grid points over which the data are compared; Cov and Var are the covariance and variance, respectively; \( \text{PCC}_0 \) is the maximum correlation reachable, set to 1 in our case; and \( \text{Cov}_{\text{truth}} \) and \( \text{Var}_{\text{truth}} \) are the standard deviations of the model and observation patterns (mean state pattern or teleconnection pattern) over the Guinea coast, respectively. TSS and PCC (%BIAS and NRMSE) values are close to 1 (0) for a very good representation of the observations by the model. The TSS is close to 0 for no match between the model and observation. Moreover, one can choose to penalize models with low spatial correlation or low spatial variability by modifying the power of the different terms in the TSS (e.g. Eqs, 4 and 5 in Taylor, 2001). In our case, both the variability and correlation terms are to the same power. We do not choose to reward models that represent the spatial pattern correlation better than the spatial variability, and also the inverse.

3 Present and future rainfall extremes over the Guinea coast

3.1 Annual cycle of extreme rainfall indices over Guinea coast

The mean annual cycle of the Guinea coast extreme rainfall indices is shown in Fig. 1. In the GCMs, the magnitudes of those indices increase in general from January, reach a maximum during the boreal summer, and decrease afterwards. In the observations, a similar behaviour is present in the indices SDII, R20mm, R95p, and RX5day (Fig. 1a–d). It is not the case for the observed CWD and FRQW. The CWD increases during the first months of the year and reaches a plateau in May (between 10 and 11 d for the EnsMedian) until July. Then it increases again and reaches a maximum in September (14 d for the EnsMedian). Interestingly, in the observations, the FRQW presents a bimodal structure in the observations, with its highest values in May and September (76 % and 79 % for the EnsMedian, respectively).

In the observations, the uncertainties in the SDII, R20mm, R95p, and RX5day are larger for the boreal spring, summer, and fall, compared to their values during the boreal winter. The GCMs spread for SDII is comparable to the observations’ spreads in JAS, despite the lower model’s EnsMedian value compared to observation’s EnsMedian. For example, in July, the SDII 10th–90th percentile values ranges between 7.5 and 13 mm d\(^{-1}\). For R20mm, R95p, and RX5day, the models’ spreads are larger than the spreads in the observations.

In JAS, there is a difference of 1 d between the R20mm observations and the models’ EnsMedians. The 10th–90th percentile values range between 1.7 and 5 d for the models. Interestingly, in July and August, both the models’ and observations’ EnsMedians have the same value of 42 mm. The maximum difference among them in the annual cycle is in the order of 8.5 mm. In August, the 10th (90th) percentile value of R95p is 35 (79) mm for the models, against 33 (57) mm in the observations.

For the RX5day, the maximum difference between the models’ and observations’ EnsMedians is 20 mm (found in June). The maximum EnsMedian value in the observations (models) is reached in August, 82 (74) mm.

Finally, the models simulate too many consecutive wet events compared to observations, mainly during the boreal summer. There is no overlapping of the spread of the models and observations in JAS for CWD and FRQW. The model’s EnsMedian CWD reaches 20 d in July, which is 2 times the value of the observations EnsMedian (Fig. 1e). Remarkably, the spread of the FRQW is reduced in JAS, compared to the other seasons, in both the models and observations. The model’s EnsMedian FRQW value in July is 91 %, against 69 % for the observation’s EnsMedian (Fig. 1f). It is noteworthy that we found no clear linkages between the JAS CWD and FRQW biases and the JAS long-term projected mean changes in the extreme rainfall indices (not shown).

In summary, there is a large variability among the different observed datasets in the annual cycle for most of the extreme indices. The uncertainties in the observed SDII are comparable to the uncertainties in the models, although the model’s EnsMedian indicate weaker intensities compared to the observation’s EnsMedian. For the other indices, the spread of the models is higher than the spread of the observations.
3.2 Mean JAS rainfall extremes in Guinea coast under present-day conditions

First, we present the observed and simulated JAS spatial distribution of extreme rainfall indices over West Africa in the present-day period (Fig. 2). The EnsMedian JAS spatial distribution of the indices in the six observational data is shown by the contours in Fig. 2 (and by the colours in Fig. S5). Most of the indices show maximum values over the Guinean Highlands (on the western Guinea coast) and the Cameroon mountains (east of 5° E) and moderate values in the centre Guinea coast (between 7.5° W and 5° E). From 10° N, there is a gradual decrease in the wet indices. Focusing on Guinea coast, the simple daily intensity index (SDII) ranges between 6 (6) and 10 (8) mm d⁻¹ over the centre of the region in the model’s (observation’s) EnsMedian (Fig. 2a). Figure 3a indicates a robust EnsMedian wet bias of 1 to 2 mm d⁻¹ in the SDII over the centre of Guinea coast and non-robust dry biases elsewhere. Over the Sahel, there is a homogenous dry bias stretching from the west to the east, with robust values exceeding 2 mm d⁻¹ in some locations.

The R20mm index presents a non-robust EnsMedian overestimation of 1 to 2 d over the centre Guinea coast (Fig. 3b). The R20mm bias is, however, negative and robust over the eastern Cameroon and the western Sahel. For the R95p and RX5day variables, the EnsMedian biases are positive and robust among the different observations, mainly over the central Guinea coast. The bias values can reach 50 and 30 mm for R95p and RX5day, respectively (Fig. 3c and d). For each
of these two variables, the Sahel model’s EnsMedian exhibits weaker values compared to the observations, and this bias is robust north of 15° N in general.

The CWD and FRQW summer values in the Northern Hemisphere are uniformly overestimated by the GCMs EnsMedian over the Guinea coast, and this bias is coherent among the different observations (Fig. 3e and f). The spatial distribution of CWD biases indicates values between 10 and 30 d in the centre Guinea coast, and these values exceed 30 d east of 7.5° W. The CWD biases are weaker over Sahel (between 0 and −5 d). The FRQW positive biases range between 10% and 40% over the Guinea coast and exceed 40% along the coast (between 7.5° W and 0° E). Over the Sahel, FRQW is uniformly underestimated.

Figure 4 presents a summary of the models’ performances in simulating the extreme characteristics over Guinea coast. It shows that the relative bias (in %) is higher in the CWD than in the other variables. Compared to the observations, all the CWD values in the models are higher in magnitude, with a %BIAS median value of 108% (Fig. 4a). This means, in other words, that the CWD values in the GCMs are twice as high as in the observations. This can also be seen in Fig. 4b, where the EnsMedian value of the NRMSE is 1.3, which is the highest NRMSE value compared to the other extreme rainfall indices. This overestimation of the CWD by the GCMs over the coastal regions has already been reported by Faye and Akinsanola (2022). Despite the substantial differences in magnitude compared to the observations, the CWD spatial correlation between models and observations gives a median value of 0.5. The corresponding TSS amounts to 0.4.

Compared to the other extreme indices, the models present a consistent positive bias in the JAS FRQW (Fig. 4a). The EnsMedian %BIAS amounts to 23%, which also confirms results in Fig. 1f. Likewise, the spread of the NRMSE is small, and its median value is 0.3 (Fig. 4b). However, there is a large spread in the spatial correlations between the mean JAS values in the models and the values in observations (Fig. 4c). The PCC and TSS values of the FRQW present similar characteristics, with median values of 0.4 and 0.3, respectively.

R95p and RX5day are indices of intensity and duration of extreme rainfall events. The performance statistics of these indices indicate no clear representation compared to the different observations in term of %BIAS (Fig. 4a). The median values of the latter are 2.7% and −2.4% for R95p and RX5day, respectively. Although the sign of the bias is not coherent among the different observations, more than 75% of the models present an NRMSE lower than 0.5 (1) for the SDII (R20mm) index. The EnsMedian values of the PCC and TSS are 0.6 (0.6) and 0.4 (0.5), respectively, for R95p (RX5day) (Fig. 4c and d).

Finally, more than half of the model’s biases in SDII and R20mm (over Guinea coast) are negative, relative to the observations (Fig. 4a). The EnsMedian value of these two variables amounts to −11% and −20%, respectively. As in the case of R95p and RX5day, there are more than 25% of cases where the SDII and R20mm are overestimated. Moreover, 75% of the models present an NRMSE lower than 0.5 (1) for the SDII (R20mm) index. The EnsMedian values of the PCC and TSS are 0.6 and 0.5 for SDII, respectively. For R20mm, the EnsMedian values of PCC and TSS correspond to 0.7 and 0.6, respectively, and they are the highest values compared to the other extreme indices. It is important to note that the area defining the Guinea coast is large, and the sign of the biases is not uniform over the region for all the variables. Thus, the area average over the region is subject to compensating effects.

In summary, over the Guinea coast, it rains too frequently and during too many consecutive days in the GCMs, compared to the observations. However, the intensity of daily wet events is weaker, and the number of very heavy precipitation days (with an intensity above 20 mm) is lower than observed. In contrast, the very wet day intensity (with rainfall exceeding 95th percentile) is higher than observed. The R20mm, according to the TSS metric, has the highest EnsMedian values, and can be considered to be the index which is better represented in the GCMs. Moreover, the biases in the indices can be as large as the spread of the observations, mainly for R95p, CWD, and FRQW (Fig. S3). The performance metrics for the individual models across the six different observations are shown in Figs. S6–S9. Next, we will describe the changes of the rainfall extremes over the Guinea coast under global warming, as projected by the climate models. All the indices will be studied, regardless of their good or poor representation by the GCMs, but the biases identified here will be accounted for in our discussion.
Figure 5. Projected multi-model ensemble long-term median change in the JAS rainfall extreme indices over West Africa, relative to the present-day period (2080–2099 minus 1995–2014). The stippling indicates regions where the change robustly emerges from internal variability (at least 66% of the models show a change greater than the IAV, and at least 80% of the models agree on the sign of change); hatching (\) indicates regions where the change is non-robust (fewer than 66% of the models show change greater than the IAV); and the crossed lines (X) indicate conflicting signals where at least 66% of the models show change greater than the IAV, with less than 80% agreement on the sign of the change. Blank areas without stippling/hatching and crossed lines are due to a non-interpolation between the three robustness categories.

3.3 Changes in the mean and variability of the JAS extreme rainfall indices over the Guinea coast

Figure 5 displays the projected EnsMedian long-term changes (2080–2099 minus 1995–2014) in the rainfall extreme indices over West Africa. The spatial patterns of the changes (relative to the present-day period) in the extreme rainfall indices over West Africa are similar for the near-term, mid-term, and long-term periods, with, in general, a gradual increase in magnitude over the three future periods. However, the near-term and mid-term changes (Figs. S10 and S11) are often less robust than the long-term changes.

In particular, over the majority of West Africa, the forced change in the different extreme indices does not emerge from the IAV in the near-term future period, as also shown by Monerie et al. (2017). These authors found that the interannual forced changes in the central (western) Sahel in August–October (June–August) becomes stronger than the IAV only from the 2060s (2080s). In agreement with Monerie et al. (2017), we found an increase in the SDII over the central to eastern regions of Guinea coast and Sahel, which becomes stronger than the IAV from the 2040s (2060s). In agreement with Monerie et al. (2017), we found an increase in the SDII over the central to eastern regions of Guinea coast and Sahel, which becomes stronger than the IAV from the 2040s (2060s). Our results also show over the western areas of the Sahel, a long-term decrease in the SDII, which is stronger than the IAV but with less agreement on the sign of the change among the models.

Analysing the various indices specifically, we found that the changes in the R95p are not robust over the Guinea coast, even at the end of the 21st century. This suggests a strong influence of IAV on the intensity of rainfall events exceeding the 95th percentile. We note that the long-term projection of these events is robust over the easternmost Sahelian region (Fig. 5c). The R20mm is projected to increase over several areas of West Africa in the long-term future – the easternmost Sahel and Guinea coasts and the central Guinea coast (Fig. 5b) – which is consistent with Akinsanola and Zhou (2019).

The FRQW index exhibits a robust decrease of 5% over the Guinea coast and over the western Sahel (Fig. 5f). Additionally, a robust decrease in the CWD is projected in the westernmost and easternmost region of the Guinea coast. Over the Sahel, only the decrease in the western areas is robust for the CWD index (Fig. 5e). These changes in CWD are consistent with the findings of Klutse et al. (2018) under global warming of 1.5 and 2°C. Consistently, Wainwright et al. (2021) found an increase (a decrease) in the mean length of dry (wet) spells over the Guinea coast during the wet season in a future warmer climate.

There is also a robust increase in RX5day over the Guinea coast and the central to eastern Sahel (Fig. 5d). These results show a tendency to less frequent and more intense rainfall over the Guinea coast in a warmer climate, which happens over a shorter duration. Our results are in accordance with Dosio et al. (2021), who found an increase in the mean SDII and a decrease in the number of wet days in the June–August long-term projections for the Guinea coast.

Figure 6 displays the average of the change in mean and standard deviation of the JAS extreme rainfall indices over the Guinea coast for the 2021–2040, 2041–2060, and 2080–2099 periods, relative to 1995–2014. There is a tendency to-
ward an increase in magnitude of SDII, R20mm, R95p, and RX5day averages over the Guinea coast, which is gradual from the near-term to the long-term future periods (Fig. 6a–d). The variability in these variables also exhibits a gradual increase with time in the future periods. Specifically, the changes in the mean and standard deviation of the R95p are of the same order of magnitude.

Consistent with the previous discussion, there is projected decrease in the CWD and FRQW indices over Guinea coast (Fig. 6e and f). The variability of the CWD will also decrease over the three future periods, with higher magnitudes in the long-term period compared to the others. For the FRQW, however, no change in the variability is projected in the near-term period, while there is a slight increase from the midterm to the long-term future periods.

In summary, under future global warming, rainfall events over the Guinea coast are projected to intensify (increase in the daily rainfall intensity over wet days), to be less frequent, to happen over shorter duration, and to be more extreme (increase in the amount of rainfall during very wet days). This would increase the exposure of the West African population to flooding events in a warmer future. According to the climate scenarios, for which future global warming is limited to 1.5, 2.4, and 3.5°C by 2100, people born in 2021 in Sub-Saharan Africa will experience, during their lifetime, 4.6, 8, and 8.6 times more river flooding events than without climate change, respectively (Thiery et al., 2021, https://myclimatefuture.info/, last access: 11 August 2022). This increase is 2–4-fold higher than the flooding events experienced by people in the same area but born in 1960, and highlights the climate urgency in reducing our greenhouse gas emissions for future generations. Besides, we found that the overall signs of the extreme indices long-term changes over Guinea coast are in accordance with Akinsanola and Zhou (2019). However, the results of these authors are obtained from RCM-CMIP5 projections and show more robust changes over West Africa, without taking into account the IAV influence. Moreover, there is a projected increase in the variability of most of the rainfall-based extreme indices, which is consistent with Akinsanola et al. (2020). The multimodel median long-term percentage of changes in the mean (variability) averaged Guinea coast for SDII, R20mm, R95p, RX5day, CWD, and FRQW correspond to 11% (29%), 35% (22%), 20% (29%), 21% (48%), −23% (−12%), and −5% (37%), respectively (Figs. S12 and S13).

Wet and dry extreme events over the Guinea coast could also happen under positive or negative phases of the Atlantic equatorial mode, respectively. As forced changes induced by future global warming can be exacerbated or damped by internal climate variability, the study of the impacts of known internal climate modes of variability becomes crucial. It is the objective of this study to understand the future changes in the rainfall extreme indices over the Guinea coast that are connected to the AEM on interannual timescales. The impact of the AEM on the extreme rainfall variability during the boreal summer is assessed here by regressing the JAS extreme indices onto the standardized JAS AEM index.

4 Impact of the AEM on the rainfall extreme events over the Guinea coast

4.1 AEM impact on the rainfall extreme events under present-day climate conditions

Over the majority of the Guinea coast region, positive anomalies of the extreme rainfall indices are associated with positive phases of the AEM (Figs. 7 and S14). In GCMs, the extreme responses to this oceanic mode of variability are robust, according to the two-thirds sign agreement metric, except for the CWD index. In all cases, there is an anomalous increase (decrease) in the wet indices, in association with warm (cold) phases of the AEM over the Atlantic oceanic re-
Figure 7. Maps of the JAS rainfall extreme indices regressed onto the standardized JAS AEM SST index over the 1995–2014 period. The stippling represents grid points where two-thirds of the models agree on the sign of the EnsMedian regression coefficient across 24 GCMs. Contours indicate the multi-observation median values of the regression coefficients from six different rainfall data. The black rectangles indicate the Guinea coast region.

gion (between the Equator and the southern limit of Guinea coast). A noteworthy feature of the anomalous patterns is the lack of robust signals over the majority of the Sahelian region. Particularly, the westernmost area of the Sahel exhibits an opposite phase relationship with the AEM, for CWD and FRQW indices (Fig. 7e and f), compared to the Guinea coast.

The GCM EnsMedian regression patterns associated with 1 standard deviation of the AEM index show an increased intensity of rainfall over wet days (SDII, 0.2 to 0.6 mm d$^{-1}$) over the Guinea coast (Fig. 7a). There is about a 1 d increase in the number of days with very heavy precipitation (R20mm) during positive AEM events (Fig. 7b). Warmer than average sea surface conditions in the eastern equatorial Atlantic favour an increased amount of rainfall during wet days (R95p) (Fig. 7c). Over the Guinea coast, the anomalies related to 1 standard deviation of the AEM index range between 10 and 30 mm for R95p. The maximum precipitation over 5 consecutive days (RX5day) is also intensified over Guinea coast (Fig. 7d), with positive anomalies ranging between 2 to 6 mm. The anomalous EnsMedian patterns of the maximum consecutive wet days (CWD) related to a warm phase of the AEM shows a non-robust increase of 1 to 3 d in wet spell duration (Fig. 7e). Similarly, the frequency of wet days over the Guinea coast is higher up to 4 % under warm sea surface conditions in the eastern equatorial Atlantic (Fig. 7f).

Figure 8 displays the biases in the regression patterns associated with the AEM for the different extreme indices. Over Guinea coast, SDII and R20mm show no systematic biases relative to the different observations (Fig. 8a and b). In the eastern Guinea coast, there is an overestimation (underestimation) of the R95p and RX5day (CWD) anomalies by the GCMs (Fig. 8c–e). Regarding the FRQW, there is a robust underestimation of its anomalies related to 1 standard deviation of the AEM index over West Africa (Fig. 8f).

Figure 9 displays the performance metrics for the GCM extreme rainfall indices related to the AEM. The EnsMedian values of the %BIAS correspond to $-17\%$, $-30\%$, $34.5\%$, $6\%$, $-31\%$, and $-75\%$ for SDII, R20mm, R95p, RX5day, CWD, and FRQW, respectively (Fig. 9a). The biases for FRQW are the largest. Moreover, the NRMSE of R95p has the highest values compared to the other variables (Fig. 9b), with an EnsMedian value reaching 4. In more than 75 % of the cases, the pattern correlation coefficient (PCC) between the individual models and the different observations is lower than 0.5 (Fig. 9c). Particularly, the CWD anomalies are negatively correlated with the observation patterns in much of the models (the correlations are weak, however). According to the TSS, the response of the SDII index to AEM is better represented by the models, and this is indicated by a median TSS value of 0.4 among the set of 24 GCMs × 6 observations = 144 values (Fig. 9d). This can also be seen from Fig. S18, where much of the good performances of the different models relate to SDII. The individual %BIAS, NRMSE, and PCC statistics for the individual models are available in Figs. S15–S17.

In summary, the anomalous warming of the eastern equatorial Atlantic during positive phases of the AEM leads to positive anomalies in the wet extreme indices over the Guinea coast. This result is consistent with Atiah et al. (2020); Diatta et al. (2020). However, according to the Taylor skill score, the performance of the models in representing the anomalous responses in the different extreme indices is poor to modest, although some indices exhibit a good pattern correlation coefficient. Over the Guinea coast, the biases in the indices (except FRQW) are relatively small compared
with the spread in the regression patterns of the observations (Fig. S19). Furthermore, Worou et al. (2022) showed that the current GCMs can replicate the SST variability associated with the AEM over the tropical Atlantic Ocean, but they struggle to replicate the spatial distribution of the observed rainfall response to its phases over the tropical Atlantic and the Guinea coast. In the next section, the future changes in the Guinea coast extreme rainfall indices related to the AEM will be assessed.

4.2 Future changes in rainfall extreme events associated with the AEM

The changes of the equatorial Atlantic mean state under the highest greenhouse gas emission scenario (SSP5–8.5) lead to a weakened variability of the AEM in the future (Worou et al., 2022; Crespo et al., 2022; Yang et al., 2022). Worou et al. (2022) showed a projected weakening of the trade winds climatology over the equatorial Atlantic and a deeper thermocline in the eastern equatorial Atlantic, which would lead to a reduction of the coupling between the surface and the thermocline depth. This implies a future weakening of the Bjerknes feedback (Bjerknes, 1969) in the equatorial Atlantic that explains the reduced variability of the AEM under global warming and the weaker future impact of the AEM on the rainfall over the equatorial Atlantic and the Guinea coast. These results are confirmed by Crespo et al. (2022), who found a future reduction of the AEM variability in a warmer climate, mainly due to a weakening of the third component of the Bjerknes feedback (the SST response to the variations of the thermocline depth). Yang et al. (2022) also found a reduced AEM variability. They underlined a greater role of a more stable tropical Atlantic atmosphere background in a future warmer climate (Jia et al., 2019) in reducing the AEM variability, compared to the weakening associated with the deepening of the eastern equatorial Atlantic mean thermocline. Subsequently, the variability of the JAS rainfall over the equatorial Atlantic and Guinea coast that is related to the different phases of AEM is reduced (Worou et al., 2022).

The magnitude of the change in the Guinea coast SDII AEM EnsMedian is about $-0.1 \text{ mm d}^{-1}$ in the three future periods (Fig. 10a). The EnsMedian values of the percentage changes in the average of SDII response to AEM over
the Guinea coast correspond to $-37\%$, $-32\%$, and $-87\%$ in the near-term, mid-term, and long-term periods, respectively (Fig. S20a). However, less than two-thirds of the models agree on the sign of these changes, whatever the future period considered. The spatial distribution of the long-term change in the SDII anomalies over the Guinea coast indicates values that reach $-0.4$ mm d$^{-1}$, which is robust only over the central Guinea coast (Fig. 11a). The maps corresponding to the near-term and mid-term changes in the regression patterns are available in Figs. S21 and S22. These maps show weak changes in the regression patterns over the Guinea coast, compared to the long-term future changes. The regression maps corresponding to the four different periods are also displayed in Fig. S23.

The number of days with very heavy precipitation associated with 1 standard deviation of the AEM also shows a small decrease of less than 1 d in the long-term future period compared to the present-day period (Fig. 11b). The average of the R20mm changes relative to 1995–2014 over the Guinea coast equals $-0.1$, $-0.2$, and $-0.2$ d in the 2021–2040, 2041–2060, and 2080–2099 periods, respectively (Fig. 10b). The multi-model median values corresponding to the percentage of changes in the area-averaged R20mm regression coefficients over the Guinea coast lie between $-29\%$ and $-64\%$ over the three future periods (Fig. S20b).

The spatial patterns of the future changes in the variability of rainfall during the very wet days (R95p) that is related to the warm and cold AEM events indicate a reduction in R95p magnitudes over most of the Guinea coast areas west of 5° E (Fig. 11c). Elsewhere over the Guinea coast, there is no evidence of a robust change in the R95p pattern. The EnsMedian change in the average of R95p over the Guinea coast ranges from $-5.3$ to $-7.3$ mm over the three future periods (Fig. 10c). The multi-model median values of the Guinea coast R95p change percentage (and the agreement among the models) corresponds to $-41\%$ (62%), $-38\%$ (67%), and $-50\%$ (67%) in the near-term, mid-term, and long-term future periods, respectively, relative to the present-day period (Fig. S20c).

Lower maximums of 5 d precipitation related to 1 standard deviation of the AEM index averaged over the Guinea coast are projected in the 2021–2040, 2041–2060, and 2080–2099 periods, compared to their magnitude in the 1995–2014 period (Fig. 10d). Over the mid-term and long-term future periods, the RX5day EnsMedian reduction is between $-0.4$ and $-1.6$ mm. The near-term EnsMedian change is positive but very close to 0 (0.1 mm). In the three cases, there is a low agreement among the models in the sign of the changes averaged over the Guinea coast (less than 55%). The multimodel EnsMedian values of the percentage of change in the RX5day averaged over the Guinea coast range between $-24\%$ and $-58\%$ over the three future periods (Fig. S20d). Furthermore, Fig. 11d shows no robust change signal in the spatial distribution of the RX5day anomalies over the Guinea coast.

The projected decrease in the AEM variability in the future leads to a decrease in the magnitude of the wet spells corresponding to 1 standard deviation of the AEM index. However, the EnsMedian change in the anomalous responses of these indices averaged over the Guinea coast is less than 1 d in the three different future periods (Fig. 10e). The EnsMedian value of the CWD change percentages averaged over the Guinea coast (as well as the percentage of agreement) approximate $-56\%$ (54%), 46% (67%) and $-71\%$ (71%) for the near-term, mid-term, and long-term future periods, respectively, relative to the present-day period (Fig. S20e). These area-average statistics are robust for the mid-term and long-term future changes, according to the two-thirds sign agreement metric. Figure 11e displays the spatial distribution of the long-term changes in the CWD response to AEM. It also shows a robust decrease in CWD magnitudes over the Guinea coast, which is between 1 and 3 d over the central and western Guinea coast.

Finally, a reduction in the positive anomalies of the frequency of wet days over the Guinea coast that are linked with 1 standard deviation of the AEM is projected in the future, under the SSP5–8.5 scenario. Robust long-term reductions of up to 1 % are detected on the western Guinea coast. In the eastern part of the region, non-robust weak, long-term changes are projected (Fig. 11f). The averages of the change patterns over the Guinea coast are equal to $-0.2\%$, $-0.0\%$, and $-0.1\%$ for the three consecutive future periods, respectively, relative to the present-day period (Fig. 10f). The multi-model median value of the percentage of the change in the FRQW AEM index averaged over the Guinea coast ranges between $-20\%$ and $-38\%$ over the three future periods (Fig. S20f), but these values are non-robust. About half of
Figure 11. Long-term changes in the regression patterns of the JAS extreme rainfall indices associated with the standardized JAS AEM SST index (2080–2099 minus 1995–2014). The stippling indicates grid points where two-thirds of the models agree on the sign of the change. The 24 GCMs agree on the sign of the EnsMedian change, which makes the result uncertain.

To quantify the contribution of AEM in the total variability of the rainfall extremes over the Guinea coast, we correlate each extreme index averaged over the Guinea coast with the standardized AEM index. The square of the correlation coefficient gives the fraction of explained variance (FEV). Figure 12 shows the distribution of the FEV across 24 GCMs, for each extreme index and for each period of the current study. There is a clear decrease in the FEV by the AEM between the present-day period and the long-term future. However, change in the FEV is not linear with time, and this could be due to the small set of models used in our study. Under present-day conditions, the EnsMedian FEV ranges between 13% and 28% for the six indices. In the long-term future, the FEV EnsMedian does not exceed 8%. This indicates a weaker role of AEM in the last few decades of 21st century under the SSP5–8.5 scenario.

In summary, subsequent to the decrease in the AEM variability in a future warmer climate relative to the present-day conditions, there is a decrease in the variability of the extreme rainfall indices associated with the AEM. For the majority of the indices, the average of the changes in the teleconnection amplitude between the Guinea coast and the eastern equatorial Atlantic indicates an overall enhancement in magnitude with time. Crespo et al. (2022) demonstrated that the models with the strongest warm sea surface biases exhibit the weakest change in both the AEM variability and the third component of the Bjerknes feedback. Given the positive SST biases in most of the CMIP6 models in the eastern Atlantic Basin (Richter and Tokinaga, 2020; Worou et al., 2022; Crespo et al., 2022), improving these biases would likely increase the change in the AEM variability, suggesting that the projected weakened AEM variability is robust despite these biases. Moreover, despite the increase in the total variability of the majority of the extreme indices under global warming in the future (Sect. 3.3), there is a reduction in the proportion of variance explained by the AEM for these extreme indices. This result highlights a weaker role played by the AEM in explaining the future rainfall extreme variability over the Guinea coast. Furthermore, there is more confidence in the results averaged over the Guinea coast than in the spatial distribution of the changes in the teleconnection patterns.


5 Summary and conclusions

The Atlantic equatorial mode of variability has mainly influenced rainfall changes over the Guinea coast during the last century. The current study aimed to understand the links between this oceanic mode of variability and the extreme rainfall events over the Guinea coast under different climate conditions. The performance of 24 GCMs participating in CMIP6 in simulating six JAS rainfall-based climate extreme indices over the Guinea coast was assessed, as well as the projected mean changes in these extremes under global warming. We used six different observation databases to evaluate the models. Historical and SSP5–8.5 simulations were considered to study changes in the near-term (2021–2040), mid-term (2041–2060), and long-term (2080–2099) future periods, compared to the present-day climate period (1995–2014).

Under present-day conditions, we found that the GCM EnsMedian simulates less intense rainfall events. All the models exhibit too-frequent rainfall and longer wet spells over the Guinea coast, compared to the observations. These models show a wide range of FRQW spatial correlation with the different observations, which makes this variable uncertain in the models, as indicated by its poorest skill score (0.26 for the EnsMedian), compared to the other variables. On the other hand, the R20mm is better represented in the models, according to the distribution of the PCC and TSS values in the GCMs. The EnsMedian values for these metrics are 0.7 and 0.6, respectively. For the other variables, the EnsMedian PCC (TSS) values are between 0.5 and 0.6 (0.4 and 0.5). Those results indicate that despite the good performance of the models for some aspects of the rainfall characteristics, there is still a need to continue the effort toward an improvement of the GCMs to better represent the mean state of the West African hydroclimate. This would lead to a more reliable use of climate models for climate services over West Africa, in order to implement better mitigation and adaptation strategies for climate change.

Under the SSP5–8.5 scenario, changes in the near-term, mid-term, and long-term (2080–2099) periods are evaluated, relative to the present-day climate conditions. Consistent with previous studies (Dosio et al., 2021; Wainwright et al., 2021), results of the average of the mean changes in the extreme indices over the Guinea coast indicate an intensification of the daily rainfall, together with a decrease in the frequency of wet days and the duration of wet spells. The number of days with precipitation exceeding 20 mm is increased, as well as the rainfall amount during the very wet days and the maximum rainfall amount over 5 consecutive days.

The area average of the extreme indices over the Guinea coast indicates an intensification of extreme conditions in the projections, with a gradual increase in magnitude over the three future periods. However, it is important to note that the spatial distribution of the changes is not uniform over the region, and the robustness of the changes at a grid point varies among different indices and the future period considered. In general, internal variability is large enough to mask the forced signal during the first decades of the projection over Guinea coast. In the mid-term changes, only a few areas in the western Guinea coast exhibit a robust change in SDII, R20mm, and RX5day. In the long-term projections, the R95p projections remain uncertain over the Guinea coast, while a robust change signal with a uniform sign can be found for the other extreme indices. These conclusions are in overall agreement with Monerie et al. (2017), who estimated the different numbers of model ensemble members needed to resolve the forced signal in the projections over Sahel. This kind of study needs to be performed over the Guinea coast. It is, however, beyond the aim of our current study, which is rather focused on teleconnection processes.

Anomalous warming (cooling) of the eastern equatorial Atlantic in positive (negative) phases of the AEM is associated with above (below) normal values of wet extreme rainfall indices over the Guinea coast in the current climate. Accordingly, our results indicate an in-phase relationship between the different extreme indices of the Guinea coast and the AEM SST index. However, over the Guinea coast, the multi-model EnsMedian spatial distributions of the extreme rainfall anomalies related to 1 standard deviation of the AEM under the present-day conditions are not significant for CWD and are limited to a few areas very close to the coastal line for FRQW. These two indices present the poorest TSS values in terms of the performance of the 24 GCMs relative to the six different observations and compared to the other extreme indices. The index that has the closest pattern to the observations is SDII. The distributions of the TSS values for the R20mm, R95p, and RX5day indices are similar, with an EnsMedian value close to 0.3. The six observations are consistent with the sign of the regression patterns of the different extreme indices over Guinea coast. However, the biases in the GCMs’s patterns vary a lot through the 24 models and the six observations, leading to no consensus on the sign of the bias, except for the FRQW, for which there is a consistent underestimation of the responses to AEM.

Additionally, there is a projected weakening of the AEM under global warming. Subsequently, there is overall a projected decrease in magnitude of the Guinea coast rainfall extreme responses to this mode of climate variability in the three future periods, relative to the present-day situation. However, the spatial distribution of the changes in the regression patterns is different from one future period to another period and generally non-robust among the models. These results are also limited by the poor skill of the models in representing the extreme responses to AEM under present-day conditions. Moreover, for a given future period, the sign and the significance of the change are highly heterogeneous in space. As an example, there is a mid-term robust increase in the RX5day response to AEM over the centre of the Guinea coast, whereas no significant changes are found over the entire region for the near-term and long-term periods. In gen-
eral, there are no robust changes in the majority of the indices for the near-term and mid-term periods. The long-term changes are, however, stronger in magnitude, with more robustness over the Guinea coast according to the sign agreement among the models. Thus, in general, it is questionable whether it makes sense to perform an average of non-robust changes over the Guinea coast region for a given variable. Moreover, differences among the models’ responses could be highlighted by grouping them in different categories based on different criteria. According to the long-term representation (in general) of the extreme responses to AEM under present-day conditions, one could choose to separate models that project an increase in the magnitude of the responses, from the models that project a decrease in the extreme responses to AEM. This is not done in our current study. Another solution would be to use few GCMs with 10 or more ensemble members at a high spatial resolution, with a good representation of the AEM impact on West Africa. The increase in the spatial resolution will allow the study of regional differences within the Guinea coast region.

Despite the biases and uncertainties, the area average of the changes over Guinea coast is more robust and gives an overall tendency. However, although there is an overall increase in the total variance of most of the extreme indices over the Guinea coast, the contribution of the AEM to explaining the variance of these extreme indices is reduced. This reduction is clear if the long-term fraction of explained variance is compared to its values in the present-day situation. This result suggests a weaker role of the AEM in driving the extreme rainfall variability over the Guinea coast in a future scenario of high emission of greenhouse gases. Therefore, there is a need to identify other oceanic and atmospheric modes of variability or other processes that explain the future changes in the extremes’ variability over the Guinea coast. These processes should compensate for the decreasing role of the AEM. For instance, Akinsanola et al. (2020) argued that the changes in the circulation (the dynamics) should contribute less to the increase in the rainfall variability over West Africa, while the local thermodynamics should be the dominant factor of these changes.

Our conclusions are based on 24 CMIP6 GCMs that have clear biases and whose resolution is too coarse to represent some important processes controlling extremes and their changes well. It would thus be useful to re-evaluate our results with RCMs or even convection-permitted models. The processes that drive the biases in the mean state and teleconnection patterns also need to be better understood and better represented in order to gain more reliability in the projected changes in the rainfall extremes over the Guinea coast. Additional insights on the impact of the internal variability on the projected changes over the Guinea coast could also be gained by using more GCMs or more ensemble members within one GCM to assess the impact of the internal climate variability on the projected changes over the Guinea coast.
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