

Revealing the dynamics of a local Alpine windstorm using large-eddy simulations

Nicolai Krieger¹, Heini Wernli¹, Michael Sprenger¹, and Christian Kühnlein²

¹Institute for Atmospheric and Climate Science, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland ²European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF), Bonn, Germany

Correspondence: Nicolai Krieger (nicolai.krieger@env.ethz.ch)

Received: 6 November 2024 – Discussion started: 14 November 2024 Revised: 14 February 2025 – Accepted: 19 February 2025 – Published: 17 April 2025

Abstract. The local atmospheric flow in mountainous terrain can be highly complex and deviate considerably from the ambient conditions. One example is a notorious local windstorm in a narrow and deep valley in northeastern Switzerland, known as the Laseyer, that had previously even caused a train derailment. This windstorm is characterized by strong southeasterly winds blowing perpendicular to the valley axis during strong northwesterly ambient flow conditions. We investigate the mechanism of this local windstorm and its sensitivity to changes in the prescribed ambient wind using large-eddy simulation (LES). The LESs are performed at a horizontal grid spacing of 30m and by applying a terrainfollowing vertical coordinate with steep slopes of the real topography reaching nearly 80°. The simulations, driven by strong northwesterly ambient winds, successfully capture the flow reversal in the valley with quasi-periodically occurring short episodes of wind bursts regularly exceeding $20 \,\mathrm{m\,s^{-1}}$ and in exceptional cases exceeding $35 \,\mathrm{m \, s^{-1}}$. The flow reversal is explained by an amplifying interplay of (1) a recirculation region formed by flow separation in the lee of the upstream ridge and (2) a vortex caused by a positive pressure anomaly formed by the northwesterly winds impinging on the downstream mountain. This formation mechanism is supported by a simulation in which the height of the downstream mountain is reduced, resulting in a decrease in the strength of the reversed in-valley flow. In agreement with previous observational studies, a series of simulations with modified ambient wind conditions reveal that the intense gusts (> 20 m s^{-1}) only occur in a narrow window of ambient wind directions and if its speed is at least $16 \,\mathrm{m\,s^{-1}}$. Smoothing the topography in the LESs reduces the maximum wind speeds in the target region by 10 %-30 %. Over-

all, our semi-idealized LESs in complex and steep terrain reveal the three-dimensional structure and the mechanism of the local windstorm. Moreover, they point to the importance of the local topography and its complex interplay with the three-dimensional and transient flow leading to the invalley flow reversal and strong winds that characterize the Laseyer. The study further highlights the importance of the topographic details for the quantitatively correct simulation of atmospheric flows in complex terrain.

1 Introduction

Mountainous terrain modifies the large-scale atmospheric flow when air is forced to flow either over or around mountains (Jackson et al., 2013). Typical terrain-forced flow phenomena are downslope windstorms, mountain waves and lee waves further downstream, gap winds and barrier jets, turbulent eddies or wakes, flow separation in the lee of a barrier, or the wind speed increase at the crest of a mountain (Whiteman, 2000; Jackson et al., 2013). Some of these phenomena can be severe, hazardous to aviation, or cause damage to infrastructure or mountain forests, also by enhancing wildfires (Whiteman, 2000). Many mountain effects are related to flow separation, which can occur when air is forced to flow over steep mountains (Stull, 1988). Under conditions of high wind speeds and weak stratification, the flow can separate in the lee of the mountain, creating a turbulent wake where the air is mixed (Baines, 2022). This flow separation is often associated with a recirculation region and reversed flow at the surface compared to further aloft, and it can occur on either side of a barrier (Scorer, 1955; Whiteman, 2000). Physically, the flow separates as it encounters an adverse pressure gradient where it is decelerated until it separates from the surface (Ambaum and Marshall, 2005). If and where this separation occurs depends on several factors that will be discussed in more detail in the following. Note that the flow can also follow the topography and only separate further downstream, known as post-wave separation (Baines, 2022). This flow separation is frequently associated with downslope windstorms and rotors further downstream, as extensively investigated within the Terrain-Induced Rotor Experiment (T-REX) project (Grubišić et al., 2008; Kühnlein et al., 2013; Strauss et al., 2016). While the separations in both the lee side and further downstream share many aspects, such as recirculation, we will only focus on lee-side separation in the following.

Baines (2022), using laboratory experiments, and Ambaum and Marshall (2005), using linear theory, predicted the separation for turbulent flow over a two-dimensional hill to depend on two non-dimensional parameters. These are the aspect ratio h/L of the obstacle, where h is its height and L its (downstream) half-width, and the non-dimensional mountain height Nh/U, where U is the wind speed and N is the Brunt-Väisälä or buoyancy frequency (see Figs. 4 and 5 in Ambaum and Marshall, 2005). For small values of the parameter Nh/U and small aspect ratios, no separation occurs, as the natural wavelength of the flow is longer than the width of the hill (Stull, 1988). For larger aspect ratios, the flow separates on the lee side of the hill, while for large Nh/U the separation does not occur at the lee of the hill but may still occur further downstream (post-wave separation), and this is often associated with lee waves or rotors (Baines, 2022). Hunt and Snyder (1980) and Baines (2022) further described this transition from lee side to post-wave separation, noting that with higher atmospheric stability the separation point moves downstream, and the recirculation region becomes smaller, which is consistent with predictions of linear theory. Similar results for the role of the aspect ratio for the occurrence of flow separation have also been found in studies of wind tunnel experiments (Arya et al., 1987) or idealized numerical simulations (Wood, 1995; Kim et al., 2001; Prestel and Wirth, 2016).

In addition to these two parameters, the shape of the obstacle and the surface characteristics also decisively determine the occurrence of flow separation and the characteristics of the recirculation region. Linear theory by Ambaum and Marshall (2005) and Finnigan and Belcher (2004) predicted that friction facilitates flow separation. Similar results have also been obtained using numerical simulations (Wood, 1995; Sogachev et al., 2004; Prestel and Wirth, 2016), where a rougher surface (e.g., forest canopy) led to flow separation at lower aspect ratios than a smoother surface. Observations by Scorer (1955) found that salient edges not only promote flow separation but also determine its location. In addition, surface heating also affects flow separation. While Ambaum and Marshall (2005) suggested that heating suppresses it, as supported by numerical simulations (Dörnbrack and Schumann, 1993; Allen and Brown, 2006), Scorer (1955) observed that heating facilitates flow separation, as supported by Mason (1987). Lewis et al. (2008b) were able to resolve this contradiction with simulations, which demonstrated that heating can enhance separation for steep slopes (large aspect ratios) and suppress it for gentler slopes, with the interaction further complicated by thermally driven winds, as suggested by observations (Lewis et al., 2008a).

Most of the aforementioned studies considered flow separation behind an obstacle. However, for sufficiently steep obstacles separation can also occur upstream of it (Scorer, 1955; Baines, 2022). This was illustrated in an idealized setting for an obstacle with a bluff forward face (Hunt et al., 1978), but it was also reported for an obstacle with a slope greater than 60° (Tsoar, 1983) and in front of a cliff where a helical flow pattern was observed (Tsoar and Blumberg, 1991).

In recent years, several studies have investigated the occurrence and characteristics of mountain-induced recirculations at specific locations using measurements and simulations. At the Perdigão site in Portugal, lidar measurements revealed frequent flow recirculation between two parallel ridges when the wind is perpendicular to the ridge lines, with high wind speeds favoring recirculation and stable conditions suppressing it (Menke et al., 2019). Similarly, Lehner et al. (2019) identified high wind speeds as a key factor favoring recirculation in the lee of a crater rim. Furthermore, Menke et al. (2019) reported increased turbulence intensity at the downwind ridge during periods of recirculation. Strong turbulence behind a steep peak was also associated with flow separation in Strauss et al. (2015).

Many of the descriptions of flow behind hills or around obstacles, and particularly the turbulent wake region, are typically presented as mean flow patterns (e.g., Hunt et al., 1978; Hunt and Snyder, 1980; Ma and Liu, 2017; Lehner et al., 2019; Menke et al., 2019). However, there is considerable unsteadiness and variability, and the wake does not form a closed recirculation bubble, but the turbulent region extends downstream (Hunt and Snyder, 1980; Kühnlein et al., 2013; Baines, 2022). Even in a two-dimensional representation, most separated regions are open, meaning that flow enters and leaves the region of flow separation (Belcher and Hunt, 1998). Furthermore, the flow field around an obstacle strongly fluctuates, and substantial lateral oscillations and asymmetries occur (Hunt and Snyder, 1980). Despite these findings, few studies have quantified extreme gusts within recirculation zones or related them to potential damage. However, Grønås and Sandvik (1999) reported that power pylons fell opposite to the ambient wind direction in a short, narrow valley in Norway, probably caused by wind gusts exceeding $50 \,\mathrm{m\,s^{-1}}$.

Damage due to local winds blowing opposite to the ambient wind direction was also reported in a narrow valley in the Alpstein massif in northeastern Switzerland (Fig. 1).



Figure 1. Topography of (a) western and central Europe, (b) northeastern Switzerland, and (c) the target region with the Schwende valley and its surroundings. In panel (b), the domain used for the simulations is indicated by the dashed red rectangle, and in panel (c), the main wind directions during Laseyer conditions and a distance of 2 km across the valley are indicated. The gray lines in panel (c) show the height of the topography (interval 50 m).

There, the local windstorm – called Laseyer – caused a train to derail in the narrow Schwende valley (Fig. 1c) during the winter storm Kyrill in January 2007 (see Fink et al., 2009, for a more general description of the storm and its impacts). This derailment was likely caused by very strong southeasterly winds in the narrow valley during northwesterly ambient wind conditions (Sprenger et al., 2018, and see Fig. 1c). Today, warnings are issued and the service of the local train is suspended when the local windstorm and associated strong gusts are likely to occur (Sprenger et al., 2024).

A 10-year climatology of Laseyer observations is presented in Egloff (2009) and Sprenger et al. (2018) and summarized in the following. The strongest gusts with an easterly component in the valley occur almost exclusively when the winds at the measurement station Ebenalp on the upstream ridge (see Fig. 1c) blow from westerly to northwesterly directions (265 to 295°). Moreover, the strongest westerly to northwesterly winds measured on the upstream ridge (Ebenalp) are associated with easterly winds in the valley (Wasserauen). Analyzing the temporal evolution of the atmospheric flow before, during, and after a Laseyer event, Sprenger et al. (2018) found that with the onset of the Laseyer, the wind direction on the upstream ridge changes from westerly to more northwesterly. Furthermore, the main season of the Laseyer is during the cold season from about October to March. This is consistent with the requirement for strong westerly to northwesterly ambient winds for the occurrence of the Laseyer, which coincides typically with the passage of winter storms.

Although the Laseyer and its climatology are well known, the specific mechanism leading to the very strong gusts near the valley floor is not yet understood. Sprenger et al. (2018) listed several potential mechanisms that could lead to the flow reversal and the strong gusts, but they could not identify the causing mechanism. Flow separation and recirculation bubbles, however, were speculated to be of great importance. The objective of this high-resolution modeling study is to identify the mechanism that leads to the flow reversal and strong gusts through dry semi-idealized large-eddy simulations (LESs) over the Alpstein massif using the LES version of the Portable Model for Multi-Scale Atmospheric Prediction (PMAP), which builds on previous developments at ECMWF (Smolarkiewicz et al., 2014, 2016; Kühnlein et al., 2019) and is summarized in Sect. 2.1. The study aims to investigate the mechanism of the Laseyer and analyze the sensitivity of the flow in the narrow valley to changes in the ambient flow conditions and topographic conditions.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we introduce the model and the model setup; in Sect. 3, a simulation exhibiting flow reversal in the narrow valley is presented, and the mechanism of the flow reversal and high wind speeds is discussed. In Sect. 4, the sensitivity of the flow in the narrow valley to changes in the ambient flow conditions and the topographic conditions is investigated, and the main conclusions are drawn in Sect. 5.

2 Model description and simulation setup

2.1 Numerical model

The PMAP-LES numerical model employed in the present study solves the fully compressible equations on a threedimensional structured grid using semi-implicit integration combined with conservative flux-form advective transport. The semi-implicit compressible integration provides unconditional stability with respect to fast acoustic and buoyant modes in all spatial dimensions and builds on the design developed in Smolarkiewicz et al. (2014). Even though various advection schemes are available in PMAP-LES, all results in this paper were produced exclusively using the multidimensional positive-definite advection transport algorithm (MPDATA) in its infinite-gauge version with non-oscillatory enhancement (Smolarkiewicz and Grabowski, 1990; Smolarkiewicz and Margolin, 1998; Waruszewski et al., 2018). Subgrid-scale turbulent transport is implemented by means of a three-dimensional flux-form diffusion scheme with vertically implicit horizontally explicit time stepping and where exchange coefficients are modeled using a prognostic 1.5order turbulence kinetic energy (TKE) closure following Deardorff (1980) and Stevens et al. (1999). All aspects of the model are rigorously implemented in curvilinear coordinates to accommodate general terrain-following vertical model levels (Smolarkiewicz and Prusa, 2005; Smolarkiewicz et al., 2007; Kühnlein et al., 2012). Implementation of boundary conditions in the semi-implicit scheme of the model adopt the approach explained in Smolarkiewicz et al. (2007). Furthermore, the bulk formula of the neutral drag law is employed to calculate the drag coefficient and associated surface fluxes of momentum, while surface heat fluxes are assumed to be zero. The incorporation of the surface momentum fluxes in the turbulence scheme completely accounts for sloped surfaces as described in Epifanio (2007) and thus avoids the flat-boundary assumption. PMAP-LES is developed for real weather simulations, but in the present study moist-precipitating and radiation processes are neglected. PMAP-LES is implemented in a productive Python programming environment and provides high-performance execution across computing architectures including systems with accelerators.¹

2.2 Simulation setup

The simulation setup considers real surface fields and topography, while the initial and boundary conditions are idealized. The simulations here are performed on an f plane located at 45° N, over a domain of 30 km × 25 km, and up to a height of 20 km. The lateral boundaries are assumed to be open, while a free-slip rigid lid is implemented at the upper boundary. The horizontal grid spacing is set at a constant value of $\Delta = \Delta x = \Delta y = 30$ m, and the vertical grid spacing is $\Delta z = 15$ m close to the surface and stretched to $\Delta z \approx 375$ m at the domain top. The lowest model level is located 7.5 m above the ground. This resolution corresponds to $1001 \times 835 \times 151$ grid points in the x, y, and z directions, respectively. For the distribution of the terrain-following vertical levels, we use an algorithm following Klemp (2011), with the differences outlined in Appendix A1.

Over the uppermost 6 km of the model domain, a damping layer is applied with a timescale of $\tau = 300$ s. At the lateral boundaries, absorbers with a timescale of $\tau = 30$ s are applied over the outer 1500 m of the domain. Within these absorption layers, the three velocity components are relaxed towards the inflow profile. The simulations use time steps Δt of $\frac{8}{64}$, $\frac{8}{64}$, $\frac{5}{64}$, and $\frac{4}{64}$ s for the simulations with an ambient geostrophic wind speed U_g of 12, 16, 20, and 24 m s^{-1} , respectively. All simulations run for 3 h, with the first hour considered spin-up and the subsequent 2 h of simulation used for analysis. During these 2 h of simulation, output is written to file every 10 s. The temporal average over the period from 1 to 3 h simulation time is referred to as the *simulation mean*, or *mean wind* when referring to the wind.

2.2.1 Initial and lateral boundary conditions

The simulations are forced by a uniform ambient wind blowing from a westerly to northwesterly direction. This wind is prescribed over the entire depth of the domain and remains constant throughout the simulation. It is in geostrophic balance with a horizontally constant ambient pressure gradient. To investigate the sensitivity of the flow in the target region, the ambient wind speed U_g is varied between 12 and $24\,\mathrm{m\,s^{-1}}$, and the wind direction is varied between 285 and 330°. The simulations are conducted using a uniformly stratified atmosphere with a sea level pressure of 1015 hPa, a temperature of 280 K at sea level, and a Brunt-Väisälä frequency of $N = 10^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$. Although this uniform stratification is not representative of the conditions during the winter storm Kyrill, where a higher-stability layer was present (see Fig. 4 in Sprenger et al., 2018), it more closely resembles the mean profile during Laseyer conditions, which is characterized by nearly uniform stratification in the troposphere (not shown). We use these uniform profiles of wind and stratification for simplicity and to avoid complicating effects arising from variations in gravity wave behavior due to non-uniform vertical profiles. The two simulations used to investigate the role of stratification (Sect. 4.3) are forced with an ambient geostrophic wind with $U_g = 20 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ from 300°, and the Brunt–Väisälä frequency is changed to $N = 1.5 \times 10^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $N = 7 \times 10^{-3} \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$, respectively. The initial condition for the total wind field is found from the ambient wind by projection on the anelastic mass continuity constraint, which requires the iterative solution of a three-dimensional elliptic boundary value problem (Smolarkiewicz and Margolin, 1994).

To spin up the turbulence in our domain, we use the cell perturbation method described in Muñoz-Esparza et al. (2015). It applies random perturbations to the potential temperature field at the lateral boundaries during the simulation. In our application, the amplitude of these perturbations decreases linearly with height up to 500 m above the topography. Following Muñoz-Esparza et al. (2015), we use an amplitude of $\tilde{\theta}_p = U_g^2/(c_p Ec)$ at the surface but with only one perturbation region. Here, Ec = 0.18 is the Eckert number, and c_p is the specific heat capacity at constant pressure. The perturbations are applied to the north and west boundaries

¹The performance-portable implementation is achieved with the GridTools for Python (GT4Py; https://github.com/GridTools/gt4py, last access: 15 April 2025) domain-specific software framework; Afanasyev et al. (2021), Ben-Nun et al. (2022), Dahm et al. (2023), and Ubbiali et al. (2025) describe the GT4Py approach for various applications.

with a width of one perturbation cell and using a cell size of eight grid points in both horizontal directions. The perturbations are applied using a perturbation timescale t_p following Muñoz-Esparza et al. (2015). The value of the perturbation timescale is calculated as $t_p = d_c/U_g$, where $d_c = 8\sqrt{2}\Delta$ is the diagonal of a perturbation cell (Muñoz-Esparza et al., 2015).

Additionally, random perturbations are applied to the potential temperature field at initialization. These perturbations cover, in the horizontal directions, the entire domain and use the same perturbation amplitude, vertical scaling, and cell size as the perturbations applied during the simulation.

2.2.2 Lower boundary condition

At the lower boundary, we prescribe a spatially variable roughness length z_0 based on land cover data. To achieve this, the 2018 CORINE land cover dataset (European Environment Agency, 2023) is used, which has a horizontal resolution of 100 m. The CORINE land-use categories are translated into constant z_0 values using the winter values in the lookup table by Pineda et al. (2004). We select the land cover category at the center of the grid point to specify the roughness length (Fig. 2a). For the two sensitivity experiments presented in Sect. 3.4, the roughness length for grass is used instead of the roughness length for forest in the lower part of the valley. In the first simulation, areas A and B in Fig. 2b are replaced, and in the second simulation, area C in Fig. 2b is replaced.

To specify the height of the topography, we use the matrix model of the DHM25 dataset (https://www.swisstopo.admin. ch/en/geodata/height/dhm25.html, last access: 15 April 2025) provided by the Federal Office of Topography (Swisstopo) at a horizontal resolution of 25 m. For the reference simulation presented in Sect. 3, we do not apply any smoothing of the topography and just interpolate the topography to the grid of the simulation (Fig. 2a). The use of the highresolution topography dataset results in a maximum slope of 77.4° in the discrete representation of the simulation. For the set of sensitivity simulations presented in Sect. 4, we smooth the topography to remove scales smaller than about 6Δ with a high-order Shapiro filter (Shapiro, 1975). Smoothing the topography is customary in numerical models to remove forcing at the grid scale and, for simulations in complex terrain, to reduce the maximum slopes within the simulation domain. The smoothing procedure, which is explained in Appendix A2, leads to a reduction of the steepest slopes to 70.5°. Smoothing was only applied to the simulation presented in Sect. 4 as these simulations were performed before we realized the excellent quality of the simulation without any topography smoothing presented in Sect. 3.

At the domain boundaries, we set the topography to a constant value to ensure that uniform boundary conditions can be easily prescribed and the mass in the model domain remains constant over time. A smooth transition from the constant height of the topography at the lateral boundaries to the real topography in the domain interior is performed using a cosine function, as described in detail in Appendix A3.

For a simulation conducted to investigate the role of the downstream mountain Alp Sigel (described in Sect. 3.5), we modified the topography in the target region as illustrated in Fig. 2c. A description of how we applied these topographic changes is given in Appendix A4.

3 Understanding the mechanism of the local windstorm

We begin our analyses by presenting the simulation with a prescribed ambient geostrophic wind of $U_g = 20 \,\mathrm{m \, s^{-1}}$ from 300°. This simulation reproduces the observed flow reversal in the narrow Schwende valley under strong northwesterly ambient winds, resulting in very high wind speeds at the valley floor. It provides an ideal case for investigating the local flow dynamics and identifying the cause of the strong southeasterly gusts in the valley. Hereafter, we will refer to this simulation as the reference simulation.

3.1 General flow description

Following a spin-up period of 1 h, the simulation reveals a well-developed turbulent boundary layer in the domain's interior (Fig. 3). The depth of the boundary layer is between 500 and 1000 m over most of the domain, while over the wide Rhine Valley on the southeastern boundary of the domain the boundary layer is significantly deeper, extending to a depth of approximately 1500 m (Fig. 3). Near the western and northern boundaries, the complex topography and random perturbations of potential temperature (see Sect. 2.2.1) cause turbulence to develop within a few kilometers of the domain boundaries (near A in Fig. 3).

On the lowest few model levels, the wind field exhibits large variations. Over the more hilly terrain to the northwest of the Alpstein massif, the winds are predominantly westerly to northwesterly (not shown). The winds are channeled along the Alpstein massif and become more southwesterly over the northern slopes of the Alpstein massif. Over the Rhine Valley at the southeastern boundary of the domain and in the very complex topography in the interior of the Alpstein massif, almost any wind direction occurs (not shown).

A mountain gravity wave is triggered by the Alpstein massif as a whole, resulting in wind speeds increasing up to 8 m s^{-1} in the free atmosphere over the Alpstein massif just above the turbulent boundary layer (Fig. 3). The individual ridges and mountain peaks of the Alpstein massif do not induce mountain waves, as would be expected from their short horizontal scale, the moderate vertical stability of the atmosphere, and the high horizontal wind speeds (see Durran, 1990). As a result, wind speeds are generally highest at the mountain tops and are more uniform and – except for



Figure 2. Topography (hill shading) and the roughness length field z_0 (color shaded) in the target region (see Fig. 1c). Shown are (a) the realistic fields used in most simulations, (b) the fields used for one sensitivity experiment where certain areas (labeled A, B, C) are replaced by grass, and (c) the modified topography used in another sensitivity experiment with the difference to the real topography highlighted (colored lines, in m).



Figure 3. Vertical section across the model domain and valley (location indicated by the magenta line in Fig. 1b) of the flow field after 1 h of simulation time in the reference simulation. Shown are the horizontal wind speed parallel to the cross-section (u_p , color shaded, northwesterly winds are positive, southeasterly winds negative), isentropes (white lines, in K), and subgrid-scale TKE (black lines for 0.001 and $0.1 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-2}$). The magenta cross in the middle of the cross-section marks the Schwende valley.

the perturbations due to the triggered mountain wave – more similar to the imposed ambient wind speed at higher altitudes (Fig. 3).

3.2 Flow patterns in the valley and its surroundings

Figure 4 displays the evolution of the surface wind in the valley and its immediate surroundings during a short period of the simulation. An animation covering a longer period of the simulation is available in the Supplement. Strong westerly to northwesterly winds prevail at exposed locations such as the ridge to the northwest and the ridge and mountain to the east and southeast of the valley (Alp Sigel). The winds on the steep slopes northwest of the valley are generally light. Over the ridge northwest of the valley, the flow separates from the surface as it encounters the very steep slopes. The temporal evolution of the surface wind in the target region indicates that the flow in the valley is highly turbulent. Strong easterly to southeasterly winds are embedded in this turbulent flow at the valley floor (e.g., Fig. 4d, e). These are frequently associated with momentary stagnation points on the escarpment to the southeast of the valley and rings or segments of higher wind speeds that spread out radially. This key result is evident from Fig. 4 and can be best seen in the animation with 10 s time resolution in the Supplement. The stagnation points and highest wind speeds vary in location during the simulation. Between periods of high wind speeds, some parts of the valley floor experience calm conditions (e.g., Fig. 4a).

We continue our analysis by examining some basic statistical properties of the surface wind in the target region. The mean surface wind field (Fig. 5a) reveals strong westerly to northwesterly winds at exposed locations, such as the ridge upstream of the valley, which is consistent with the patterns observed in the instantaneous flow fields. At the valley floor, easterly to southeasterly winds prevail, which reach average wind speeds of about $12 \,\mathrm{m \, s^{-1}}$. These mean southeasterly winds are significantly weaker than the maximum wind speeds (Fig. 5b) that occur during the simulation. They are based on discrete output times and therefore represent a lower limit of the maximum wind speeds, indicating that likely higher wind speeds occurred during the simulation. The maximum wind speeds at the valley floor exceed $30\,\mathrm{m\,s^{-1}}$ near the measurement station of Wasserauen and $40 \,\mathrm{m\,s^{-1}}$ at certain locations on the valley floor (Fig. 5b). These very high wind speeds have an easterly to southeast-



Figure 4. Flow evolution in the Schwende valley and its surroundings over 50 s of simulation time. Shown are surface wind (arrows, color shaded for wind speed) and the height of the topography (gray lines, interval 50 m) at (**a**) 6440 s, (**b**) 6450 s, (**c**) 6460 s, (**d**) 6470 s, (**e**) 6480 s, and (**f**) 6490 s simulation time in the reference simulation.



Figure 5. Surface wind in the target region. Shown are (a) mean surface winds (arrows, color shaded for wind speed), averaged from 1 to 3 h of simulation time, and (b) maximum surface wind speed during the same period. The gray lines depict the height of the topography (interval is 50 m), while the cyan lines in panel (b) identify the regions where easterly to southeasterly $(65^\circ \le \varphi \le 165^\circ)$ winds with a speed of at least 25 m s^{-1} occur.

erly direction, and they are comparable in magnitude to those occurring on the upstream ridge. The highest wind speeds in the valley occur in our LES near the ground, i.e., on one of the three lowest model levels. The area where mean wind speeds of at least 10 m s^{-1} occur is relatively small, measuring about 1500 m along the valley axis and 500 m in the

cross-valley direction (Fig. 5a). The region where maximum wind speeds of at least 25 m s^{-1} from an easterly to southeasterly direction occur is larger and includes the lowermost part of the valley where the mean wind speed is low (Fig. 5b).

A simulated time series of the surface wind for the grid point closest to the measurement station Wasserauen (Fig. 6)



Figure 6. Simulated time series of surface wind at the location of the measurement station Wasserauen on the valley floor. The wind direction sector and wind speed threshold refer to the criteria used for the gust composites (Sect. 3.3). The temporal resolution is 10 s.

reveals the turbulent nature of the flow in the valley, including instances when the surface wind speed exceeds 30 m s^{-1} . Although the wind direction is mostly easterly to southerly, it varies significantly during the simulation. At certain moments, there are weak winds with a westerly component (e.g., at 6300 or 9700s simulation time). Periods of high wind speeds (> 25 m s^{-1}) are of very short duration (typically 10 to 30 s) and before and after, the wind speed is considerably weaker. Contrary, periods of weak wind speeds (< 6 m s^{-1}) are usually of longer duration (e.g., at 9700 or 6400 s simulation time). This is consistent with the distribution of wind speeds, which is weakly positively skewed (skewness of 0.5, not shown). However, there is a prolonged period of wind speeds exceeding 20 m s^{-1} from approximately 7300 to 7500 s of simulation time (Fig. 6).

Furthermore, the time series indicates that high wind speeds are distributed relatively evenly throughout the simulation (Fig. 6). This distribution may suggest a quasi-periodic pattern in the highest wind speeds, such as pulsations. The animation of the surface wind in the Supplement also qualitatively indicates such a quasi-periodic behavior of the flow in the narrow valley. To further investigate this, we conduct a spectral analysis of the time series of the streamwise component of the surface wind presented in Fig. 6. In addition to providing information about (quasi-)periodic behavior, a spectral analysis also reveals information about the turbulence characteristics and how it is represented in the simulation (e.g., Wyngaard, 2010). The method utilized for this analysis is Welch's averaged periodogram (Welch, 1967). It is a widely used method as it reduces the variability within the periodogram and, consequently, gives a more robust estimate of the spectral density function by breaking up the time series into overlapping segments, calculating their periodograms, and averaging them (Percival and Walden, 1993, p. 294). This method is applied to the time series between 1 and 3 h simulation time using a segment length of 12 min or $n_s = 72$ output time steps. Figure 7 displays the power spectral density S_u of the streamwise wind component on model levels 1, 4, 7, 10, 14, 17, 20, and 23, corresponding to heights from 7.5 to 354 m above ground approximately every 50 m. Firstly, following Högström et al. (2002), two different spectral regimes can be identified. At lower frequencies \mathcal{F} , and particularly close to the ground, the spectra of the streamwise velocity component indicate only a weak slope corresponding to the energy input range of turbulence. The -2/3 spectral slope corresponding to the inertial subrange (Wyngaard, 2010) is visible at higher frequencies, particularly for spectra taken at 200 to 300 m above ground. Based on these spectra, we argue that PMAP-LES represents the turbulent fields well, the inertial subrange exists in our simulations, and the use of the term LES is appropriate (Cuxart, 2015). Secondly, we identify the frequency of the fluctuations that contain the most energy. To allow for more variability within the periodogram, we have included the power spectral density at two levels calculated using a longer segment length ($n_s = 360$ output time steps, equivalent to 1 h) for a part of the frequency range (indicated by the dashed lines in Fig. 7). The frequency with the highest energy is at both levels $\mathcal{F} \approx 2.2 \times 10^{-3} \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$, corresponding to a period of 450 s. Fluctuations at slightly higher frequencies contain much less energy, while even higher frequencies contain again more energy (which then transitions into the inertial subrange). The local minimum of the power spectral density occurs at a frequency of $\mathcal{F} \approx 3.6 \times 10^{-3} \, \text{s}^{-1}$ or a period of about 280 s near the surface and at a period of about 210 s at 354 m above ground. Therefore, this spectral analysis confirms the quasi-periodic behavior of the surface winds in the narrow valley, and we regard this as an important finding of this study, which was not known from previous investigations of the Laseyer phenomenon. Moreover, quasi-periodic behavior with the same period also occurs at higher elevations, consistent with our subsequent findings (see in particular Fig. 9a, c). Quasi-periodic behavior and oscillations with a similar period were already reported in previous studies (Peltier and Scinocca, 1990; Belušić et al., 2007; Tollinger et al., 2019). However, contrary to these studies, we do not have a specific hypothesis to explain these pulsations. Thus, we will not investigate the source of these pulsations any further but focus on the flow associated with the strongest gusts next.



Figure 7. Spectra of the streamwise velocity component at different heights above the valley floor. The dashed lines represent the power spectral density of the streamwise component of the surface wind (z = 7.5 m) and wind at 354 m above ground using a longer segment length of $n_s = 360$. Note that the *y* axis represents the product of frequency and the power spectral density. The thin gray lines indicate the -2/3 spectral slope.

3.3 Gust composites

In the following, we investigate the flow in the narrow valley and particularly the highest wind speeds in more detail. To achieve this, we calculate composites at times of the highest wind speeds, referred to as Laseyer gusts, on the valley floor at the location Wasserauen (see Fig. 1c). A Laseyer gust is defined as a wind speed of at least 20 m s^{-1} and an easterly to southeasterly wind direction ($65^\circ \le \varphi \le 165^\circ$), as illustrated by the thresholds in Fig. 6. Therefore, for the composite, we choose only time steps when the wind has a significant component perpendicular to the valley axis and the flow at Wasserauen is reversed compared to the ambient flow aloft. The wind speed threshold is set to include approximately 10 % of the output time steps in the composite.

Figure 8 presents horizontal sections through Laseyer gust composites in the target region, together with deviations from the simulation mean indicated by the colored lines. Gusts at the valley floor are associated with stronger winds on the valley floor also a few hundred meters along the valley axis (Fig. 8a). Additionally, southerly to southwesterly winds on parts of the downstream (here and in the following regarding the ambient flow direction) escarpment are stronger during Laseyer gusts. Thus, a Laseyer gust is associated with a half circle of increased wind speed at the valley floor and the lowest part of the downstream escarpment. Laseyer gusts are also associated with a divergent surface wind field at the slopes of the downstream mountain (Fig. 8a, near (x, y) = (400, -300 m)). The surface wind field in the valley's surroundings exhibits no or only minimal deviations from the simulation mean, illustrating the rather localized nature of the Laseyer gusts.

At an altitude of 1050 m, i.e., approximately 200 m above the valley floor, strong upward motion reaching up to 10 m s^{-1} is simulated on the very steep slopes northwest of the valley, while downward motion of up to 8 m s^{-1} occurs on the southeastern side (Fig. 8b). During Laseyer gusts, these downward motions intensify over the lower part of the valley. Consistent with these enhanced upward and downward motions compared to the simulation mean, westerly to northwesterly winds up to 8 m s^{-1} stronger than the simulation mean are simulated during Laseyer gusts at a height of 1250 m (Fig. 8d).

To explain these Laseyer gusts, we present the pressure anomaly compared to an atmosphere in geostrophic balance over flat terrain in Fig. 8c and d at the surface and at an altitude of 1250 m, respectively. The pressure anomaly at the surface exhibits negative values over the crests and at exposed locations. This can be explained by the conservation of the Bernoulli function when the winds are accelerated over the crests (see Gill, 1982). Additionally, a strong positive pressure anomaly is visible on the downstream slope of the valley. During Laseyer gusts, both the positive pressure anomaly over the downstream escarpment and the negative pressure anomaly at the valley floor are intensified compared to the simulation mean, as illustrated by the colored lines in Fig. 8c. In the gust composite, a pressure anomaly difference of approximately 3.5 hPa is simulated over a distance of roughly 1 km across the valley axis. Nonhydrostatic pressure anomalies with a similar magnitude were also found in simulations by Grønås and Sandvik (1999). The pressure anomaly at 1250 m (Fig. 8d) exhibits a similar pattern with a positive anomaly over the downstream escarpment and a negative anomaly in the center of the valley. At this altitude, the pressure anomaly difference of about 3 hPa between the centers of the positive and negative anomalies leads to a slightly weaker pressure gradient.

Figure 9 presents vertical sections through the Lasever gust composite along two different lines. Notably, high wind speeds are simulated at higher elevations and over exposed locations on both the upstream and downstream mountains (Fig. 9a, c). In contrast, wind speeds are lower in the valley, particularly over the slopes on the northwestern side. A coherent vortex spans nearly the entire width of the valley, with faster rotation and higher wind speeds during Laseyer gusts, particularly near the valley floor (colored lines in Fig. 9a, c). Additionally, there is a clear variation of the pressure anomaly with height, relative to a hydrostatic atmosphere in geostrophic balance (Fig. 9b, d). Over the mountain crests near points A, B, and C, a pronounced negative pressure anomaly is simulated near the surface, decreasing rapidly in amplitude with altitude. The vortex with a horizontal axis of rotation in the valley is linked to a negative pressure anomaly in its center, where its amplitude decreases towards the surface and with increasing altitude. Meanwhile, over



Figure 8. Laseyer gust composites (see text for definition). Shown are (**a**) surface wind, (**b**) vertical wind at an altitude of 1050 m, (**c**) surface pressure anomaly, and (**d**) pressure anomaly at an altitude of 1250 m. The pressure anomalies in panels (**c**) and (**d**) are calculated relative to a geostrophic flow over flat terrain. Gray shading and gray lines (interval is 50 m) indicate the height of the topography and colored lines the deviation of the gust composite from the simulation mean. Note that in panel (**d**), the colored lines depict the deviation of the wind speed (and not the pressure anomaly) in the gust composite from the simulation mean. The magenta lines in panel (**a**) indicate the location of the vertical cross-sections presented in Fig. 9.

the downstream escarpment, the positive pressure anomaly is strongest near the surface and also decreases in amplitude with height. In the Laseyer gust composite, the negative pressure anomaly in the valley center is strongly amplified compared to the simulation mean (colored lines in Fig. 9b, d).

So far, the composites presented the average conditions at the time of Laseyer gusts. However, although the simulation mean can be considered stationary, the gust composite is transient and has a temporal evolution. Here, we are interested in this evolution, again averaged over all gust events, during a few minutes before and after Laseyer gusts. Therefore, we examine time-lagged gust composites during the period -250 to +250s in Fig. 10. Additionally, horizontal sections through composites at times -30 and +30 s can be found in Figs. S1 and S2 in the Supplement, respectively. At the location Wasserauen in the valley, the surface wind speed exhibits a clear peak at composite time $t_c = 0$ s. It rapidly increases before and decreases after the Laseyer gust (Fig. 10a). The wind speed exceeds the mean of the scalar wind speed for approximately 100 s. Notably, the highest wind speeds originate from a more southerly direction than the mean winds (Fig. 10a). Typically, surface winds blow more from the south before reaching their maximum intensity, while they tend to turn more easterly after the highest wind speeds pass the location Wasserauen.



Figure 9. Vertical sections across the valley for the Laseyer gust composite. The sections are along the lines (\mathbf{a} , \mathbf{b}) AB and (\mathbf{c} , \mathbf{d}) CD indicated in Fig. 8a. Shown are (\mathbf{a} , \mathbf{c}) three-dimensional wind speed and (\mathbf{b} , \mathbf{d}) pressure anomaly relative to an atmosphere in geostrophic balance over flat terrain. Black arrows denote the wind speed parallel to the plane of the cross-section, and the colored lines represent the deviation from the simulation mean of (\mathbf{a} , \mathbf{c}) wind speed (in m s⁻¹) and (\mathbf{b} , \mathbf{d}) pressure (in hPa).

Also the winds in the column above Wasserauen demonstrate a clear temporal evolution (Fig. 10b). Between composite times $t_c \approx -90$ s and $t_c \approx 60$ s, the strong northwesterly winds penetrate deeper into the Schwende valley. Furthermore, during this period, the atmosphere at an altitude of approximately 1400 m exhibits a markedly stronger downward motion than during preceding and subsequent times. This clearly indicates that the depth of the vortex in the valley varies with the surface wind speed. When wind speeds are high at the surface, the vortex is shallower, and when wind speeds are weak at the surface, the vortex is deeper. The Lasever gust composite's temporal evolution exhibits a distinct pattern of vertical wind near the surface (Fig. 10b). The air rises ahead of the highest wind speed and descends after the highest wind speeds have passed the location of Wasserauen. This pattern is consistent with mass conservation as the horizontally convergent (approaching high wind speeds) and divergent (departing high wind speeds) flow at the surface has to be compensated by vertical motion. Lastly, we analyze the pressure anomaly (again compared to the atmosphere in geostrophic balance over flat terrain) along the central part of line AB (indicated in Figs. 8a and 9a, b), with the results illustrated in Fig. 10c. The highest wind speeds propagate from the southeast to the northwest over time. The minimum in pressure anomaly coincides with the highest wind speeds, consistent with the Bernoulli theorem. However, the highest positive pressure occurs about 30 s earlier on the escarpment to the southwest of the valley. Closer to the valley center, the largest positive pressure anomaly occurs near the composite time $t_c = 0$ s.

Based on our Laseyer gust composite analysis, we hypothesize that the strong reversed surface winds and the strong positive pressure anomaly on the downstream escarpment are caused by an amplifying interplay and superposition of two mechanisms. The effect of these mechanisms is intensified by the enhanced horizontal and vertical winds at crest height during Laseyer gusts. Firstly, the flow separates on the upstream mountain ridge (Fig. 9). This flow separation is facilitated by the salient edge of the upstream ridge, strong winds, and the nearly neutral stratification of the atmospheric boundary layer. This flow separation is associated with a recirculation region and an adverse pressure gradient. Secondly, the strong winds impinging on the downstream escarpment create a positive pressure anomaly and an associated vortex at low levels. Both of these mechanisms result in an adverse pressure gradient and a reversed flow at the valley floor. Their superposition then leads to a very strong pressure gradient and high wind speeds at the valley floor.

To illustrate that the simulated pressure anomaly can cause strong winds at the valley floor, we estimate the wind speed caused by acceleration related to the pressure gradient force. To keep the calculation simple, we assume a pressure gradi-



Figure 10. Temporal evolution of the Laseyer gusts. Shown are time-lagged composites of (a) the surface wind at the location Wasserauen in the valley (black crosses are wind direction, solid red line wind speed); (b) the vertical profiles of wind speed (color shaded), horizontal wind (black arrows), and vertical wind (colored lines, in $m s^{-1}$) above the location Wasserauen; and (c) the pressure anomaly (color shaded) and surface wind (black arrows is horizontal component, white lines indicate wind speed in $m s^{-1}$) along the central part of the line AB shown in Fig. 8a. The dotted black and red lines in panel (a) illustrate the direction and speed of the mean wind, while the dashed red line represents the mean scalar wind speed. The vertical axis in panel (c) is the same as the horizontal axis in Fig. 9a and b.

ent that remains constant over a few minutes. This yields as the acceleration by the pressure gradient

$$\frac{Du}{Dt} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \approx -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}.$$
(1)

To integrate Eq. (1) between two points along the air parcel trajectory, x_0 and x_1 , we assume that the air parcel is at rest at its original location $u(x_0) = 0$, which results in

$$u(x_1) \approx \sqrt{-2\Delta p/\rho},$$
 (2)

where $\Delta p = p(x_1) - p(x_0)$. By plugging in the values from the Laseyer gust composite of the pressure difference between two points in the valley ($\Delta p \approx -3.5$ hPa) and a mean density of $\rho \approx 1.16$ kg m⁻³, a wind speed of $u(x_1) \approx$ 24.6 m s⁻¹ is obtained. This wind speed is similar to the wind speed at the valley floor in the gust composite, supporting the claim that the high wind speeds are caused by the strong pressure gradient perpendicular to the valley axis.

3.4 Effect of changing the roughness length

The forest on the downstream escarpment may cause a stronger retardation of the flow and a strengthening of the positive pressure anomaly. Therefore, it could indirectly affect the gust strength simulated at the valley floor. To test the potential influence of the increased roughness length of the forests in the valley and on the valley slopes, we conducted two simulations in which we removed the forest on either the upstream or the downstream mountains. To do so, as described in Sect. 2.2.2, some areas with forest are replaced with the roughness length corresponding to grass. The setup of these simulations is otherwise identical to the reference simulation presented in Sect. 3.2 and 3.3.

In general, the two simulations with altered roughness length fields exhibit a very similar mean surface wind field as the reference simulation (Fig. S3). Small differences are only visible in the regions where the forest is replaced by grass due to the decreased momentum flux at the surface. The maximum gusts at the valley floor in the sensitivity simulations with reduced roughness are slightly weaker than in the reference simulation. However, this difference is relatively small and may be affected by a sampling bias resulting from the discrete model output and limited simulation period. Therefore, we can conclude that altering the roughness length of the two forest patches does not significantly impact the flow in the valley.

3.5 Effect of lowering the downstream mountain

As a second sensitivity experiment, we investigate the impact of the height of the downstream mountain. The Laseyer gust composite in the reference simulation leads us to hypothesize that the strong pressure difference and highest wind speeds are partially caused by the presence of the downstream mountain. To test this hypothesis, we perform a simulation with the height of the downstream mountain reduced by 500 m. The simulation uses the topography depicted in Fig. 2c and otherwise identical initial and lateral boundary conditions as those of the reference simulation.

In the simulation with a lowered downstream mountain, the mean flow still exhibits reversed flow at the valley floor, although it is much weaker compared to the reference simulation (Fig. 11; see also Fig. 5a for comparison). Wind speeds on the valley floor reach values between 20 and 30 m s⁻¹ (not shown), which are lower than the peak wind speeds in the reference simulation, which exceed 30 m s^{-1} . Moreover, while Fig. 11b still demonstrates a notable pressure anomaly difference across the valley, it is approximately 40% weaker than the pressure anomaly difference in the reference simulation (compare with Fig. 9b, noting that the pressure anomaly of the Laseyer gust composite is depicted). Therefore, the height of the downstream mountain is critical for the strong pressure anomaly difference and the high wind speeds at the valley floor. In summary, this additional simulation supports



Figure 11. Mean flow situation in the simulation with a reduced height of the downstream mountain. Shown are (a) surface wind (arrows, color shaded for wind speed) and the height of the topography (gray lines, interval 50 m) and (b) the pressure anomaly compared to an atmosphere in geostrophic balance over flat terrain (color shaded), three-dimensional wind speed (colored lines, in $m s^{-1}$), and the wind in the plane of the cross-section (arrows). The location of the vertical cross-section is indicated by the magenta line in panel (a).

the explanation of the mechanism suggested in Sect. 3.3 and highlights the importance of both the upstream ridge and the downstream mountain in causing and intensifying the reversed flow in the valley.

4 Sensitivity to topography smoothing and ambient flow conditions

This section investigates the impact of topography smoothness and changes in ambient flow conditions on the flow in the narrow valley. First, we analyze the sensitivity of the flow to small changes in the topography to assess the robustness of the results with respect to details of the topographic forcing under four slightly different ambient wind directions. Second, by changing the ambient flow conditions, we investigate their influence on flow reversal and high wind speeds at the valley floor.

4.1 Sensitivity to smoothing the topography

The sensitivity of the flow in the valley to smoothing the topographic field is presented using a few selected simulations with slightly different wind directions that either directly use the interpolated topography field or additionally apply smoothing.

In atmospheric models using terrain-following coordinates, topographic fields are frequently smoothed to remove topographic forcings which originate at scales close to the grid spacing. Smoothing or filtering techniques can improve numerical stability of the model by reducing maximum slopes (e.g., Klemp et al., 2003; Schmidli et al., 2018) or reduce computation time (e.g., Berg et al., 2017, 2018). However, smoothing also has drawbacks such as larger altitude biases of grid points. In a study using simulations performed with the COSMO model at a horizontal grid spacing of 1.1 km, Schmidli et al. (2018) found that reducing topographic filtering led to an improved simulation of valley winds. Liu et al. (2020) found, using the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model, that high-resolution topographic data are the most important driving factor for a successful fine-scale wind simulation.

Two series of simulations are presented here: one with and another without topography smoothing. The simulations that use topography smoothing have maximum slopes of up to 70.5°, whereas refraining from topography smoothing results in maximum slopes of up to 77.4° and a more accurate representation of the height of the mountain and ridge tops. The sensitivity investigation was conducted in four different simulations with an ambient geostrophic wind speed of $U_g = 20 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ from 285, 290, 295, and 300°. These simulations were selected due to their strong sensitivity to ambient flow conditions (see Sect. 4.3 and Fig. 14). Consequently, they are likely to be sensitive to changes in the representation of the topography.

Significant differences arise between simulations with smoothed and non-smoothed topography under the same ambient wind conditions (Fig. 12). Despite the overall similarity in mean surface wind in the valley for all four ambient wind directions, some notable variations occur. For instance, with an ambient wind direction of 285°, the simulation with smoothed topography exhibits a weak southwesterly mean wind, while the non-smoothed simulation exhibits a weak northerly mean wind. These differences in mean wind direction are largest near the surface, and at higher elevations wind direction in both simulations with non-smoothed and smoothed topography is more consistent. For more northwesterly ambient wind directions of 295 and 300°, the simulations model southeasterly winds in the valley. However, the mean wind is slightly weaker and more southerly in the simulations with smoothed topography. Furthermore, the maximum gusts and 95th percentile of gusts, both from an easterly to southeasterly wind direction, exhibit a clearer difference between the two sets of simulations. The strongest southeast-



Figure 12. Effect of smoothing topography in simulations with an ambient geostrophic wind of $U_g = 20 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ from 285, 290, 295, and 300°. Shown are (a) mean surface wind and (b) the maximum (dots) and 95th percentile (crosses) of the wind speed from an easterly to southeasterly wind direction ($65^\circ \le \varphi \le 165^\circ$) at the location of the measurement station Wasserauen in the valley. The black arrow in the top left corner serves as a reference for the lengths of the arrows depicted in panel (a).

erly winds at the valley floor are weaker when the topography is smoothed.

These findings are consistent with the effect of the smoothing procedure in LESs, as demonstrated by Berg et al. (2017) and Berg et al. (2018). Berg et al. (2017) found that the specific smoothing procedure had a large impact on the size and position of a recirculation zone. Additionally, differences in wind between a simulation with smoothed topography and one with steeper and more realistic terrain were revealed (Berg et al., 2018).

4.2 Sensitivity to ambient wind conditions

To investigate how the flow in the valley reacts to changes in the ambient wind conditions, we perform a series of LESs with varying initial and lateral boundary conditions. These simulations were performed using the smoothed topography as we only later realized the excellent quality of the simulations without topography smoothing. We conducted 16 simulations with the ambient wind speeds ranging from 12 to $24 \,\mathrm{m\,s^{-1}}$ and wind directions ranging from 285 to 330° . These wind speeds and directions are chosen based on observations of wind speeds in the lower free atmosphere during events of the Laseyer (see Sprenger et al., 2018). A wind speed of 24 m s^{-1} is used as an example of an ambient wind speed that rarely occurs but could demonstrate the situation under extreme conditions. To simplify the comparison of different simulations, we only compare a few aggregated characteristics of the flow in the valley. A more detailed comparison of the surface wind fields in the 16 simulations can be found in Fig. S4 in the Supplement.

The wind roses in Fig. 13 illustrate, for all simulations, surface wind at the location of the measurement station Wasserauen. The flow in the valley is steady and from a narrow southwesterly directional window for a westerly ambient wind direction of 285° and moderate winds (Fig. 13m, n). As the ambient wind speed increases, wind direction becomes more variable. At extreme ambient wind speeds of 24 m s^{-1} , weak winds may come from a northwesterly or northerly direction at the valley floor (Fig. 13p). However, for the majority of the time, the flow in the valley remains southwesterly. It should be noted that the mean wind direction is associated with some uncertainty, as illustrated by the comparison to the simulation with non-smoothed topography, where the mean wind direction is northerly (Fig. 12; see also Sect. 4.1).

A slight 15° shift in ambient wind direction to 300° results in a different flow response in the narrow valley. For this ambient wind direction, winds in the valley come from the southeast and can be quite strong for strong to extreme ambient wind speeds (Fig. 13k, 1). An even more northerly ambient wind has little influence on the flow in the valley and leads to stronger southeasterly winds even for weaker ambient wind conditions. With an ambient wind direction of 330°, the winds in the valley blow from a southeasterly direction ($U_g = 12 \text{ m s}^{-1}$, Fig. 13a) or from a northeasterly to easterly direction ($U_g = 16 \text{ to } 24 \text{ m s}^{-1}$, Fig. 13b–d). Whereas increasing the ambient wind speed generally results in stronger winds at the valley floor, the variability of the wind direction remains similar for different ambient wind speeds.

To investigate the transition from southwesterly to southeasterly winds in the valley in more detail, we conducted two additional simulations. The mean surface wind in the simulations with an ambient geostrophic wind speed of $U_{g} =$ $20 \,\mathrm{m \, s^{-1}}$ from 285, 290, 295, and 300° is depicted in Fig. 14. When the ambient wind direction is westerly at 285°, the flow in the valley is generally southwesterly and down-valley (Fig. 14a). The flow in the lowest part of the valley is weak and turns westward on the escarpment to the southeast of the valley. For a more northwesterly ambient wind direction of 290°, the flow in the lowest part of the valley is almost stagnant on average (Fig. 14b), whereas in the upper part of the valley weak down-valley flow occurs. While a 285° ambient wind direction leads to a predominantly southwesterly flow, the wind direction in the valley exhibits larger variation with a 290° ambient geostrophic wind (not shown). The wind is mostly weak and from a northerly to easterly direction, but it can also have a southerly or westerly component. For an ambient geostrophic wind from 295°, the surface wind in the valley is northeasterly to southeasterly (Fig. 14c). The mean surface wind speed at the valley floor is considerably weaker than for an ambient wind direction of 300° (Fig. 14d). Nonetheless, moderate to strong wind speeds from an easterly to southeasterly direction can occur (see also Fig. 15). For an ambient wind direction of 295°, the wind at the valley floor has a westerly component for a small fraction of the output times (not shown). This is in contrast to simulation



Figure 13. Wind roses at the location of the measurement station Wasserauen on the valley floor in the 16 simulations with different ambient wind conditions. The columns illustrate the results for the different ambient wind speeds of 12, 16, 20, and 24 m s^{-1} , and the rows correspond to the ambient wind directions of 330, 315, 300, and 285°. The radial axes give the frequency (gray number is %) of the wind direction in each wind direction bin of 10° width. Note the different radial axis in panels (i), (m), (n), (p).

with an ambient wind direction of 300°, where the winds are exclusively easterly to southeasterly (see also Fig. 13k).

Important additional aggregated values for the simulations with changing ambient wind conditions are presented in Fig. 15. The mean wind direction at the location of the measurement station Wasserauen in the valley (Fig. 15a) clearly transitions from the southwesterly regime at an ambient wind direction of 285° to the southeasterly regime for more northwesterly ambient wind directions. It is noteworthy that the mean wind speed in the valley decreases with increasing ambient wind speed in the southwesterly regime, while it increases with increasing ambient wind speed and maximum gusts occurring during the simulation increase with increasing ambient wind speed (Fig. 15b). Notably, both the mean wind speed and maximum gusts generally decreases for more northerly ambient winds within the southeasterly regime in the valley. Finally, Fig. 15c depicts the Laseyer fraction at the location of the measurement station Wasserauen. The Laseyer fraction is defined as the proportion of time steps when the wind direction φ is easterly to southeasterly ($65^\circ \le \varphi \le 165^\circ$) and the wind speed is at least 10 m s^{-1} . Similar to the mean and maximum wind speeds, an increase in ambient wind speeds generally results in an increase in the Laseyer fraction. The Laseyer fraction is generally highest for an ambient wind direction of 300° and decreases for more northerly ambient winds.

Our sensitivity analysis provides results consistent with previous findings based on measurements from the valley and upstream ridge (see Sect. 1 and Sprenger et al., 2018). The occurrence of the local windstorm is highly dependent on strong northwesterly ambient winds and is sensitive to changes in the ambient wind direction. Westerly winds with a southerly component or a only weak northerly component



Figure 14. Sensitivity of the mean surface wind to different ambient wind directions. Shown are simulations with an ambient wind speed of 20 m s^{-1} and an ambient wind direction of (a) 285° , (b) 290° , (c) 295° , and (d) 300° . The colored lines illustrate the difference compared to the mean of the four simulations (magnitude of wind difference vector of 3, 6, 9, and 12 m s^{-1}), and the gray lines denote the height of the topography (interval is 50 m).



Figure 15. Sensitivity of the flow at the location of the measurement station Wasserauen in the valley to changing ambient wind conditions. Shown are (a) speed (size of dots and number in first row, in ms^{-1}) and direction (color and number in second row, in degrees) of mean wind, (b) maximum gusts in the Laseyer window ($65^{\circ} \le \varphi \le 165^{\circ}$, values in ms^{-1}), and (c) Laseyer fraction (in %; see text for definition).

are not conducive to the occurrence of the reversed flow at the valley floor. Although, in this study, we do not directly compare our semi-idealized LESs to observations, these results with the striking sensitivity to the ambient flow increase confidence in our results because they are reasonable if compared with previous knowledge gained from observations.

4.3 Sensitivity to the stability

We here investigate two additional simulations with an ambient geostrophic wind speed of $U_g = 20 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ from 300° with increased and decreased atmospheric stability (see also Sect. 2.2.1). Two general characteristics of the simulated flow change in these simulations. Firstly, the turbulent boundary layer is shallower with increased stability and deeper with decreased stability (not shown). Secondly, the amplitude and vertical wavelength of the gravity wave triggered by the entire Alpstein massif change. When stability increases, the amplitude of the gravity wave increases while the vertical wavelength decreased stability, the amplitude decreases while the vertical wavelength decreases (not shown). Conversely, in the simulation with decreased stability, the amplitude decreases while the vertical wavelength increases.

In the simulation with increased stability ($N = 1.5 \times$ $10^{-2} \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$), the mean flow at the valley floor is calm and does not reverse compared to the flow further aloft (Fig. S5). At the location closest to the measurement station Wasserauen, the flow is mostly easterly, but westerly and northerly flow also occurs during the simulation. In contrast, the simulation with decreased atmospheric stability ($N = 7 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$) exhibits a surface wind field that is much more similar to the simulation with $N = 10^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Fig. S5). Significant differences between these three simulations are apparent in the mean flow situation in a vertical section across the valley axis (Fig. 16). At higher elevations, the impact of the increased amplitude of the gravity wave is evident in the higher wind speeds occurring in the simulation with increased stability (Fig. 16a) compared to the simulation with $N = 10^{-2} \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ (Fig. 16b) and particularly the simulation with $N = 7 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Fig. 16c). Furthermore, the location of the descending motion in the valley shifts with changing stability. For higher atmospheric stability, the descending motion occurs nearer to the ridge upstream (Fig. 16a), whereas in the simulation with low stability it shifts towards the downstream escarpment (Fig. 16c). As a result, in the simulations with $N = 10^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $N = 7 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$, the recirculation region in the valley extends over (almost) the entire width of the valley, while it remains confined to the northwestern part of the valley in the simulation with $N = 1.5 \times 10^{-2} \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$. Thus, in the most stable simulation, the recirculation region induced by flow separation on the upstream ridge and the vortex caused by the impinging flow on the downstream ridge do not reinforce each other. This is particularly evident in the simulation with $N = 7 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$, where the two effects induced by the upstream and downstream mountain align well, and despite the lower wind speed above the valley, the recir-



Figure 16. Mean flow in the vertical cross-section across the valley. Shown are vertical velocity w (color shaded), the wind along the plane of the cross-section (black arrows), and the magnitude of the wind vector (colored lines, in ms⁻¹) for the simulations with a stability of (a) $N = 1.5 \times 10^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$, (b) $N = 10^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$, and (c) $N = 7 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$. The location of the vertical section is depicted in Fig. 8a.

culation flow in the valley remains strong. Therefore, these three LESs suggest that static stability and in turn the location and size of the recirculation regions, as well as their interplay, are crucial for the occurrence and strength of the reversed flow at the valley floor.

The shift in the location of the downward motion in our simulations is generally consistent with theory, with an increase in stability leading to smaller and shallower recirculation regions (Hunt and Snyder, 1980; Baines, 2022). However, it should be noted that in all three simulations, the boundary layer is well mixed and has an almost neutral stratification (not shown). We argue that the stability of the free atmosphere above the turbulent boundary layer has a similar effect as the stability of the boundary layer, and the increased stability results in a compression of the recirculation region.

5 Summary and conclusions

Semi-idealized LESs are performed over the Alpstein massif in northeastern Switzerland to investigate the mechanism of the Laseyer and its sensitivity to changes in the ambient flow conditions. The LESs successfully simulate the flow reversal in the valley observed during strong northwesterly winds and provide insight into the mechanism of the flow reversal and the high wind speeds.

Our analysis reveals quasi-periodic oscillations in both the surface wind and the winds at approximately crest height of the surrounding mountains. However, we are currently unable to identify the mechanism responsible for these pulsations. This finding is novel and warrants further investigation, particularly as previous studies (e.g., Peltier and Scinocca, 1990; Belušić et al., 2007; Tollinger et al., 2019) have reported oscillations with similar periods, attributing them to mechanisms that may not be relevant in the context of this narrow and deep valley.

Composites for times with the highest wind speeds at the valley floor from a southeasterly direction reveal that the very strong gusts are caused by a large horizontal pressure difference perpendicular to the valley axis ($\Delta p \approx 3.5$ hPa over a distance of about 1 km). Grønås and Sandvik (1999) found nonhydrostatic pressure anomalies of similar magnitude in simulations of flow over complex terrain with comparable horizontal and vertical scales of the valley and the surrounding mountains. However, these simulations were conducted at a considerably lower spatial resolution and only demonstrated steady flow solutions (Grønås and Sandvik, 1999). In other valleys with similar flows, the wind exhibits remarkable variability over 10 min (Grønås and Sandvik, 1999). With our LESs at a horizontal grid spacing of $\Delta = 30$ m, we are able to capture (part of) the temporal variability and explicitly simulate the strong gusts. Additionally, the gust composites depict a coherent vortex in the narrow valley with its axis parallel to the valley axis. We explain this strong vortex by the amplifying interplay of a recirculation region caused by flow separation on the upstream mountain and a recirculation region caused by the impinging flow on the downstream escarpment. This explanation is supported by additional simulations with either modified ambient static stability or with a lowered height of the downstream mountain. An LES with increased atmospheric stability, resulting in a lower extent of the atmospheric boundary layer, exhibits, on average, only weak winds at the valley floor. In this simulation with increased stability, the downward motion induced by the recirculation region behind the upstream mountain is located in the center of the valley and, thus, does not amplify with the recirculation region caused by the impinging flow on the downstream escarpment. In the simulation with a lowered height of the downstream mountain, flow reversal still occurs but with reduced amplitude.

In the second part of our study, we performed a series of LESs to investigate the sensitivity of the flow in the narrow valley to the ambient flow conditions. Our LESs indicate that stronger ambient geostrophic winds generally result in higher maximum wind speeds at the valley floor. The direction of the ambient wind is even more critical, and we only simulate strong reversed surface winds at the valley floor for a small range of wind directions. A slight 15° turning of the ambient winds results in a distinctly different flow response in the narrow valley. Specifically, when the ambient wind direction is 285°, the flow at the valley floor is southwesterly. For an ambient wind direction of 300°, however, strong southeasterly winds are simulated. Additionally, the stability of the atmosphere is a crucial factor in the occurrence of flow reversal and high wind speeds at the valley floor. In our simulations, a weaker static stability led to stronger and particularly deeper reversed flow at the valley floor.

Together, the set of simulations with modified topography, static stability, and flow characteristics emphasizes the significance of ambient flow conditions on the occurrence and strength of flow reversal, as well as the complicated three-dimensional interaction of the flow in the narrow valley and its surroundings. A similarly complicated interplay of flow structures may also be important for other dynamically driven flows in steep and complex terrain.

Finally, sensitivity experiments were performed about the impact of smoothing the topography on the flow response in the narrow valley. Although the flow in the valley is generally similar for simulations with a smoothed topography, the strength of the reversed flow and particularly the highest wind speeds are reduced. Schmidli et al. (2018) demonstrated the importance of filtering the topography in high-resolution mesoscale simulation, where higher-resolution topographic data (i.e., weaker filtering) improved performance. Similarly, Berg et al. (2017) illustrated that the specific smoothing procedure has a large impact on the size and position of a recirculation zone in LESs at 20 m grid spacing. Furthermore, Berg et al. (2018) demonstrated that the wind differed between an LES with smoothed topography and the one with steep and realistic terrain. Therefore, our findings are qualitatively in line with previous research on the role of topographic details and smoothing; however, in our case the effect of smoothed topography does not change the interpretation of the mechanism of the Laseyer.

Our LESs in complex terrain demonstrate the importance of flow separation and recirculation for the mean flow in a narrow valley. Additionally, we simulate the large temporal variability of the in-valley flow and the transient nature of the very high wind speeds in or close to the recirculation region. Maximum wind speeds exceeding 35 m s^{-1} were simulated, which could cause damage to infrastructure. This emphasizes the importance of examining flow separation and recirculation regions not only as mean flow structures but also to investigate their temporal variability and, in particular, the magnitude of the highest wind speeds.

In summary, our semi-idealized LES setup allows us to investigate the mechanism of the local windstorm and its sensitivity to the ambient flow conditions in a controlled environment. Several results from our semi-idealized LESs compare qualitatively well with the known climatology of the local windstorm. However, the idealized atmospheric state also limits the applicability of the simulated results to the

real atmosphere and prevents a direct comparison with observations. We therefore discuss here some of the main limitations. The ambient atmospheric conditions in our LESs remain constant without any temporal, horizontal, or vertical variation. Small-scale variations are common in the real atmosphere, and particularly the vertical variation of both the stratification and the wind speed or direction could have an influence on the flow in the valley. Also, Laseyer winds occur in specific synoptic situations that are transient and therefore can lead to rapidly changing ambient conditions. Furthermore, our LESs did not include any moist processes. This is particularly relevant as the climatology of Laseyer conditions indicates that precipitation is frequently observed during Laseyer events (Sprenger et al., 2018). Since our dry simulations qualitatively capture many of the observed features of the Lasever, we dare to hypothesize that mainly dry dynamics govern the occurrence of the flow reversal and high wind speeds at the valley floor and that adding diabatic effects would only marginally affect the main results. In simulations of a similar phenomenon by Grønås and Sandvik (1999), however, the inclusion of wet processes increased the strength of the reversed flow. Moreover, simulations in idealized settings have demonstrated that heating or cooling at the surface can affect the size and strength of a recirculation region (Dörnbrack and Schumann, 1993; Allen and Brown, 2006). Therefore, we hypothesize that while the inclusion of moist processes may influence the strength of the gusts, the underlying mechanism driving the local windstorm likely remains unchanged. To further investigate these effects and validate our findings under real-case conditions, we plan to conduct LESs of an observed Laseyer case study incorporating time-dependent boundary conditions and cloud physics. This research will be the focus of a forthcoming publication.

Despite these limitations, our semi-idealized LESs and analysis provide valuable findings. Our results show that PMAP-LES can handle accurately and in a robust manner the complex and steep terrain with slopes of up to 77.4°. Such steep slopes occur at the considered decameter resolution when the original topography data in the simulation domain are not filtered or smoothed. Using these model capabilities, our results provide valuable and unprecedented insights into the dynamical mechanism of the Laseyer and its sensitivity to the ambient flow conditions. The performed LESs clearly point to the key role of the detailed topographic and surface forcing for estimating the potential damage and risk of locally severe winds. The results also show the need for measurements that can capture the high temporal variability and three-dimensional nature of the flow in the narrow valley.

A1 Vertical levels

specifications

For the specification of the terrain-following coordinate surfaces, we use an algorithm following Klemp (2011). However, the smoothing parameters are specified differently than in Klemp (2011). First, we do not set a minimum allowed fractional vertical grid spacing but set a constant minimum allowed vertical grid spacing $\Delta z = 15$ m equal to the vertical grid spacing close to the surface. Furthermore, the parameter β_k is set according to

$$\beta_k = 0.8\min\left(\frac{\zeta_k}{4h_{\rm m}}, 1\right),\tag{A1}$$

and $M_k = 25$ for our application. Here, ζ_k is the constant vertical height of the level k, h_m is the maximum terrain height, and M_k is the number of iterations. Lastly, as an attenuation profile $A(\zeta)$ for the terrain influence, we use

$$A(\zeta) = \begin{cases} \cos^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\frac{\zeta}{z_H}\right), & \text{for } \zeta < z_H, \\ 0, & \text{for } \zeta \ge z_H, \end{cases}$$
(A2)

where $z_H = 12 \text{ km}$ is the height where the grid transitions to constant height coordinate surfaces.

A2 Smoothing

To get the smoothed topography for the simulations presented in Sect. 4, the following procedure was applied. Initially, the topography of the elevation model was interpolated to a grid with $\Delta = 45 \text{ m}$ (1.5 times coarser than grid used for the simulations). The topography based on this coarser grid was then smoothed using an eighth-order filter following Shapiro (1975) and 40 passes to this filter. This operation damps all waves with wavelengths smaller than about 4Δ on this coarser grid and retains the amplitude of longer waves. This smoothed topography on the coarser grid was then interpolated to the grid for the simulations. The transition from the real topography in the inner part of the domain to the constant height of the topography at the domain boundaries was applied (see Appendix A3), and then the smoothing using an eighth-order Shapiro filter and 40 passes to the filter was repeated. As a final step, 100 passes to a 10th-order Shapiro filter were performed. The overall effect of the smoothing procedure is to damp waves with wavelengths smaller than about 6Δ , while the amplitude of longer waves is almost completely retained.

Transition at lateral boundaries A3

At the domain boundaries, the topography is set to a constant value of $h_{\rm b} = 600$ m, such that the uniform boundary conditions can easily be prescribed. The transition from the constant height of the topography $h_{\rm b}$ at the boundaries to the real topography h_r in the interior of the domain was performed according to

$$h_{\rm t} = h_{\rm b} f_{\rm t} + h_r (1 - f_{\rm t}),$$
 (A3a)

$$f_{t} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \left[1 + \cos\left(\frac{i\pi}{n_{t}}\right) \right], & \text{if } i \le n_{t}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
(A3b)

where h_t is the height of the topography used in the simulations, *i* counts the grid points from the boundaries, and $n_t = 50$ is the width in grid points of the transitional region.

A4 Changed topography of the downstream mountain

For the simulation to investigate the role of the downstream mountain, we adapted the topography in the target region. The topography was changed according to

$$h_{\rm a} = h_{\rm c} + f_{\rm b}(h_{\rm t} - h_{\rm c}), \tag{A4a}$$

$$f_{\rm b} = 1 - \frac{5}{8} \begin{cases} \cos\left(\pi r/2\right), & \text{if } r \le 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
(A4b)

$$r = \max\left(\left|\frac{(x-x_0)\cos\alpha + (y-y_0)\sin\alpha}{a}\right|, \\ \left|\frac{(x-x_0)\sin\alpha - (y-y_0)\cos\alpha}{b}\right|\right),$$
(A4c)

where h_a is the adapted topography, h_t is the original interpolated topography, $h_c = 850$ m is a baseline height, $x_0 = 2751700$ m and $y_0 = 1238400$ m give the central location of the bell f_b in Swiss reference coordinates, a = 2100 m and b = 1400 m give the half-widths of the bell, and $\alpha = 55^\circ$ is the angle by which the bell is rotated.

Code and data availability. The PMAP-LES code is currently under active development and not yet publicly available. A first version is scheduled for public release on the GitHub platform at the end of 2025, under the Apache License 2.0. Readers seeking further information about the model may contact the authors. Simulation data and codes for analysis are available on request from the authors.

Supplement. The supplement related to this article is available online at https://doi.org/10.5194/wcd-6-447-2025-supplement.

Author contributions. NK performed the simulations and the data analysis and led the writing of the manuscript. CK, MS, and HW supervised the work and reviewed and edited the manuscript. CK helped with the setup of the simulations and the needed model extensions. MS and HW aided with the interpretation of results.

Competing interests. At least one of the (co-)authors is a member of the editorial board of *Weather and Climate Dynamics*. The peerreview process was guided by an independent editor, and the authors also have no other competing interests to declare.

Disclaimer. Publisher's note: Copernicus Publications remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims made in the text, published maps, institutional affiliations, or any other geographical representation in this paper. While Copernicus Publications makes every effort to include appropriate place names, the final responsibility lies with the authors.

Acknowledgements. All simulations were carried out at ETH Zurich's scientific and high-performance cluster EULER. We thank Stefano Ubbiali for his valuable help in installing and running the model on the EULER cluster. AI tools, specifically DeepL Write and ChatGPT, were utilized for language editing and stylistic adjustments in the preparation of this paper. Last but not least, we thank the two anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments and suggestions.

Financial support. This research has been supported by the Platform for Advanced Scientific Computing (PASC) project KILOS "Kilometer-scale nonhydrostatic global weather forecasting with IFS-FVM". PASC is an initiative by the Council of Federal Institutes of Technology (ETH Board) in Switzerland.

Review statement. This paper was edited by Juerg Schmidli and reviewed by two anonymous referees.

References

- Afanasyev, A., Bianco, M., Mosimann, L., Osuna, C., Thaler, F., Vogt, H., Fuhrer, O., VandeVondele, J., and Schulthess, T. C.: GridTools: A framework for portable weather and climate applications, SoftwareX, 15, 100707, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.softx.2021.100707, 2021.
- Allen, T. and Brown, A. R.: Modelling of turbulent form drag in convective conditions, Bound.-Lay. Meteorol., 118, 421–429, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10546-005-9002-z, 2006.
- Ambaum, M. H. P. and Marshall, D. P.: The effects of stratification on flow separation, J. Atmos. Sci., 62, 2618–2625, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS3485.1, 2005.
- Arya, S. P. S., Capuano, M. E., and Fagen, L. C.: Some fluid modeling studies of flow and dispersion over twodimensional low hills, Atmos. Environ., 21, 753–764, https://doi.org/10.1016/0004-6981(87)90071-0, 1987.
- Baines, P. G.: Topographic effects in stratified flows, Cambridge monographs on mechanics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2nd edn., ISBN 978-1-108-48152-6, 2022.
- Belcher, S. E. and Hunt, J. C. R.: Turbulent flow over hills and waves, Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech., 30, 507–538, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.fluid.30.1.507, 1998.
- Belušić, D., Žagar, M., and Grisogono, B.: Numerical simulation of pulsations in the bora wind, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 133, 1371– 1388, https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.129, 2007.
- Ben-Nun, T., Groner, L., Deconinck, F., Wicky, T., Davis, E., Dahm, J., Elbert, O. D., George, R., McGibbon, J., Trümper, L., Wu, E., Fuhrer, O., Schulthess, T., and Hoefler, T.: Productive performance engineering for weather and climate modeling

with Python, in: Proc. Int. Conf. High Perform. Comput. Netw. Storage Anal., SC '22, Dallas, Texas, 13–18 November 2022, IEEE Press, 1–14, https://doi.org/10.1109/SC41404.2022.00078, 2022.

- Berg, J., Troldborg, N., Sørensen, N. N., Patton, E. G., and Sullivan, P. P.: Large-eddy simulation of turbine wake in complex terrain, J. Phys. Conf. Ser., 854, 012003, https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/854/1/012003, 2017.
- Berg, J., Troldborg, N., Menke, R., Patton, E. G., Sullivan, P. P., Mann, J., and Sørensen, N. N.: Flow in complex terrain a large eddy simulation comparison study, J. Phys. Conf. Ser., 1037, 072015, https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1037/7/072015, 2018.
- Cuxart, J.: When can a high-resolution simulation over complex terrain be called LES?, Front. Earth Sci., 3, 125–130, https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2015.00087, 2015.
- Dahm, J., Davis, E., Deconinck, F., Elbert, O., George, R., McGibbon, J., Wicky, T., Wu, E., Kung, C., Ben-Nun, T., Harris, L., Groner, L., and Fuhrer, O.: Pace v0.2: a Python-based performance-portable atmospheric model, Geosci. Model Dev., 16, 2719–2736, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-16-2719-2023, 2023.
- Deardorff, J. W.: Stratocumulus-capped mixed layers derived from a three-dimensional model, Bound.-Lay. Meteorol., 18, 495–527, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00119502, 1980.
- Dörnbrack, A. and Schumann, U.: Numerical simulation of turbulent convective flow over wavy terrain, Bound.-Lay. Meteorol., 65, 323–355, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00707032, 1993.
- Durran, D. R.: Mountain waves and downslope winds, in: Atmospheric processes over complex terrain, edited by: Banta, R. M., Berri, G., Blumen, W., Carruthers, D. J., Dalu, G. A., Durran, D. R., Egger, J., Garratt, J. R., Hanna, S. R., Hunt, J. C. R., Meroney, R. N., Miller, W., Neff, W. D., Nicolini, M., Paegle, J., Pielke, R. A., Smith, R. B., Strimaitis, D. G., Vukicevic, T., Whiteman, C. D., and Blumen, W., Meteorological Monographs, American Meteorological Society, Boston, MA, ISBN 978-1-935704-25-6, 59–81, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-935704-25-6 4, 1990.
- Egloff, L.: The Laseyer rotor dynamics & climatology, Master's thesis, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland, https://doi.org/10.3929/ethz-b-000630208, 2009.
- Epifanio, C. C.: A method for imposing surface stress and heat flux conditions in finite-difference models with steep terrain, Mon. Weather Rev., 135, 906–917, https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR3297.1, 2007.
- European Environment Agency: Copernicus Land Monitoring Service – Corine Land Cover (CLC) 2018, European Environment Agency, https://land.copernicus.eu/pan-european/ corine-land-cover/clc2018 (last access: 15 April 2025), 2023.
- Fink, A. H., Brücher, T., Ermert, V., Krüger, A., and Pinto, J. G.: The European storm Kyrill in January 2007: synoptic evolution, meteorological impacts and some considerations with respect to climate change, Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci., 9, 405–423, https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-9-405-2009, 2009.
- Finnigan, J. J. and Belcher, S. E.: Flow over a hill covered with a plant canopy, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 130, 1–29, https://doi.org/10.1256/qj.02.177, 2004.

- Gill, A. E.: Atmosphere-ocean dynamics, no. 30 in International Geophysics Series, Academic Press, San Diego, California, USA, ISBN 978-0-12-283520-9, 1982.
- Grønås, S. and Sandvik, A. D.: Numerical simulations of local winds over steep orography in the storm over north Norway on October 12, 1996, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 104, 9107–9120, https://doi.org/10.1029/1998JD200115, 1999.
- Grubišić, V., Doyle, J. D., Kuettner, J., Mobbs, S., Smith, R. B., Whiteman, C. D., Dirks, R., Czyzyk, S., Cohn, S. A., Vosper, S., Weissmann, M., Haimov, S., De Wekker, S. F. J., Pan, L. L., and Chow, F. K.: The terrain-induced rotor experiment: A field campaign overview including observational highlights, B. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 89, 1513–1534, https://doi.org/10.1175/2008BAMS2487.1, 2008.
- Högström, U., Hunt, J. C. R., and Smedman, A.-S.: Theory and measurements for turbulence spectra and variances in the atmospheric neutral surface layer, Bound.-Lay. Meteorol., 103, 101– 124, https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1014579828712, 2002.
- Hunt, J. C. R. and Snyder, W. H.: Experiments on stably and neutrally stratified flow over a model three-dimensional hill, J. Fluid Mech., 96, 671–704, https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022112080002303, 1980.
- Hunt, J. C. R., Abell, C. J., Peterka, J. A., and Woo, H.: Kinematical studies of the flows around free or surface-mounted obstacles; applying topology to flow visualization, J. Fluid Mech., 86, 179– 200, https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022112078001068, 1978.
- Jackson, P. L., Mayr, G., and Vosper, S.: Dynamically-driven winds, in: Mountain weather research and forecasting: Recent progress and current challenges, edited by: Chow, F. K., De Wekker, S. F., and Snyder, B. J., Springer Atmospheric Sciences, Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, ISBN 978-94-007-4098-3, 121–218, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-4098-3_3, 2013.
- Kim, J.-J., Baik, J.-J., and Chun, H.-Y.: Two-dimensional numerical modeling of flow and dispersion in the presence of hill and buildings, J. Wind Eng. Ind. Aerod., 89, 947–966, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-6105(01)00092-7, 2001.
- Klemp, J. B.: A terrain-following coordinate with smoothed coordinate surfaces, Mon. Weather Rev., 139, 2163–2169, https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-10-05046.1, 2011.
- Klemp, J. B., Skamarock, W. C., and Fuhrer, O.: Numerical consistency of metric terms in terrain-following coordinates, Mon. Weather Rev., 131, 1229–1239, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(2003)131<1229:NCOMTI>2.0.CO;2, 2003.
- Kühnlein, C., Smolarkiewicz, P. K., and Dörnbrack, A.: Modelling atmospheric flows with adaptive moving meshes, J. Comput. Phys., 231, 2741–2763, 2012.
- Kühnlein, C., Dörnbrack, A., and Weissmann, M.: Highresolution doppler lidar observations of transient downslope flows and rotors, Mon. Weather Rev., 141, 3257–3272, https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-12-00260.1, 2013.
- Kühnlein, C., Deconinck, W., Klein, R., Malardel, S., Piotrowski, Z. P., Smolarkiewicz, P. K., Szmelter, J., and Wedi, N. P.: FVM 1.0: a nonhydrostatic finite-volume dynamical core for the IFS, Geosci. Model Dev., 12, 651–676, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-12-651-2019, 2019.
- Lehner, M., Whiteman, C. D., Hoch, S. W., Adler, B., and Kalthoff, N.: Flow separation in the lee of a crater rim, Bound.-Lay. Meteorol., 173, 263–287, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10546-019-00466-z, 2019.

- Lewis, H. W., Mobbs, S. D., and Lehning, M.: Observations of cross-ridge flows across steep terrain, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 134, 801–816, https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.259, 2008a.
- Lewis, H. W., Mobbs, S. D., Vosper, S. B., and Brown, A. R.: The effect of surface heating on hill-induced flow separation, Bound.-Lay. Meteorol., 129, 269–287, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10546-008-9311-0, 2008b.
- Liu, Y., Liu, Y., Muñoz-Esparza, D., Hu, F., Yan, C., and Miao, S.: Simulation of flow fields in complex terrain with WRF-LES: Sensitivity assessment of different PBL treatments, J. Appl. Meteorol. Clim., 59, 1481–1501, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAMC-D-19-0304.1, 2020.
- Ma, Y. and Liu, H.: Large-eddy simulations of atmospheric flows over complex terrain using the immersed-boundary method in the Weather Research and Forecasting model, Bound.-Lay. Meteorol., 165, 421–445, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10546-017-0283-9, 2017.
- Mason, P. J.: Diurnal variations in flow over a succession of ridges and valleys, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 113, 1117–1140, https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.49711347804, 1987.
- Menke, R., Vasiljević, N., Mann, J., and Lundquist, J. K.: Characterization of flow recirculation zones at the Perdigão site using multi-lidar measurements, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 2713–2723, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-2713-2019, 2019.
- Muñoz-Esparza, D., Kosović, B., van Beeck, J., and Mirocha, J.: A stochastic perturbation method to generate inflow turbulence in large-eddy simulation models: Application to neutrally stratified atmospheric boundary layers, Phys. Fluids, 27, 035102, https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4913572, 2015.
- Peltier, W. R. and Scinocca, J. F.: The origin of severe downslope windstorm pulsations, J. Atmos. Sci., 47, 2853–2870, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1990)047<2853:TOOSDW>2.0.CO;2, 1990.
- Percival, D. B. and Walden, A. T.: Spectral analysis for physical applications, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, ISBN 978-0-521-35532-2, https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511622762, 1993.
- Pineda, N., Jorba, O., Jorge, J., and Baldasano, J. M.: Using NOAA AVHRR and SPOT VGT data to estimate surface parameters: Application to a mesoscale meteorological model, Int. J. Remote Sens., 25, 129–143, https://doi.org/10.1080/0143116031000115201, 2004.
- Prestel, I. and Wirth, V.: What flow conditions are conducive to banner cloud formation?, J. Atmos. Sci., 73, 2385–2402, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-15-0319.1, 2016.
- Schmidli, J., Böing, S., and Fuhrer, O.: Accuracy of simulated diurnal valley winds in the Swiss Alps: Influence of grid resolution, topography filtering, and land surface datasets, Atmosphere, 9, 196, https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos9050196, 2018.
- Scorer, R. S.: Theory of airflow over mountains: IV-Separation of flow from the surface, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 81, 340–350, https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.49708134905, 1955.
- Shapiro, R.: Linear filtering, Math. Comput., 29, 1094–1097, https://doi.org/10.1090/S0025-5718-1975-0389356-X, 1975.
- Smolarkiewicz, P. K. and Grabowski, W. W.: The multidimensional positive definite advection transport algorithm: Nonoscillatory option, J. Comput. Phys., 86, 355–375, https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9991(90)90105-A, 1990.

- Smolarkiewicz, P. K. and Margolin, L. G.: Variational solver for elliptic problems in atmospheric flows, Int. J. Appl. Math. Comp., 4, 527–551, 1994.
- Smolarkiewicz, P. K. and Margolin, L. G.: MPDATA: A finitedifference solver for geophysical flows, J. Comput. Phys., 140, 459–480, https://doi.org/10.1006/jcph.1998.5901, 1998.
- Smolarkiewicz, P. K. and Prusa, J. M.: Towards mesh adaptivity for geophysical turbulence: continuous mapping approach, Int. J. Numer. Methods Fluids, 47, 789–801, 2005.
- Smolarkiewicz, P. K., Sharman, R., Weil, J., Perry, S. G., Heist, D., and Bowker, G.: Building resolving large-eddy simulations and comparison with wind tunnel experiments, J. Comput. Phys., 227, 633–653, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2007.08.005, 2007.
- Smolarkiewicz, P. K., Kühnlein, C., and Wedi, N. P.: A consistent framework for discrete integrations of soundproof and compressible PDEs of atmospheric dynamics, J. Comput. Phys., 263, 185– 205, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2014.01.031, 2014.
- Smolarkiewicz, P. K., Deconinck, W., Hamrud, M., Kühnlein, C., Mozdzynski, G., Szmelter, J., and Wedi, N. P.: A finite-volume module for simulating global all-scale atmospheric flows, J. Comput. Phys., 314, 287–304, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2016.03.015, 2016.
- Sogachev, A., Rannik, Ü., and Vesala, T.: Flux footprints over complex terrain covered by heterogeneous forest, Agr. Forest Meteorol., 127, 143–158, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2004.07.010, 2004.
- Sprenger, M., Schmidli, J., and Egloff, L.: The Laseyer wind storm – case studies and a climatology, Meteorol. Z., 27, 15–32, https://doi.org/10.1127/metz/2017/0854, 2018.
- Sprenger, M., Mahlstein, I., Caratsch, A., and Müller, M.: A warning system for the Laseyer windstorm in a narrow and deep valley of northeastern Switzerland, Weather Forecast., 39, 1487–1502, https://doi.org/10.1175/WAF-D-23-0223.1, 2024.
- Stevens, B., Moeng, C.-H., and Sullivan, P. P.: Largeeddy simulations of radiatively driven convection: Sensitivities to the representation of small scales, J. Atmos. Sci., 56, 3963–3984, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1999)056<3963:LESORD>2.0.CO;2, 1999.
- Strauss, L., Serafin, S., Haimov, S., and Grubišić, V.: Turbulence in breaking mountain waves and atmospheric rotors estimated from airborne in situ and Doppler radar measurements, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 141, 3207–3225, https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.2604, 2015.
- Strauss, L., Serafin, S., and Grubišić, V.: Atmospheric rotors and severe turbulence in a long deep valley, J. Atmos. Sci., 73, 1481– 1506, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-15-0192.1, 2016.
- Stull, R. B.: An introduction to boundary layer meteorology, 1st edn., Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, ISBN 978-94-009-3027-8, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-009-3027-8, 1988.
- Tollinger, M., Gohm, A., and Jonassen, M. O.: Unravelling the March 1972 northwest Greenland windstorm with highresolution numerical simulations, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 145, 3409–3431, https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3627, 2019.
- Tsoar, H.: Wind tunnel modeling of echo and climbing dunes, in: Developments in sedimentology, edited by: Brookfield, M. E. and Ahlbrandt, T. S., vol. 38 of Eolian Sediments and Processes, Elsevier, 247–259, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0070-4571(08)70798-2, 1983.

- Tsoar, H. and Blumberg, D.: The effect of sea cliffs on inland encroachment of aeolian sand, in: Aeolian Grain Transp, edited by: Barndorff-Nielsen, O. E. and Willetts, B. B., Acta Mechanica Supplementum, Springer, Vienna, ISBN 978-3-7091-6703-8, 131–146, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-7091-6703-8_10, 1991.
- Ubbiali, S., Kühnlein, C., Schär, C., Schlemmer, L., Schulthess, T. C., Staneker, M., and Wernli, H.: Exploring a high-level programming model for the NWP domain using ECMWF microphysics schemes, Geosci. Model Dev., 18, 529–546, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-18-529-2025, 2025.
- Waruszewski, M., Kühnlein, C., Pawlowska, H., and Smolarkiewicz, P. K.: MPDATA: Third-order accuracy for variable flows, J. Comput. Phys., 359, 361–379, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2018.01.005, 2018.
- Welch, P.: The use of fast Fourier transform for the estimation of power spectra: A method based on time averaging over short, modified periodograms, IEEE T. Acoust. Speech, 15, 70–73, https://doi.org/10.1109/TAU.1967.1161901, 1967.

- Whiteman, C. D.: Terrain-forced flows, in: Mountain meteorology: Fundamentals and applications, edited by: Whiteman, C. D., Oxford University Press, online edition, ISBN 978-0-19-513271-7, 141–169, https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780195132717.003.0018, 2000.
- Wood, N.: The onset of separation in neutral, turbulent flow over hills, Bound.-Lay. Meteorol., 76, 137–164, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00710894, 1995.
- Wyngaard, J. C.: Turbulence in the atmosphere, 1st edn., Cambridge University Press, ISBN 978-0-521-88769-4, https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511840524, 2010.