

Interactive comment on “The characteristics and structure of extra-tropical cyclones in a warmer climate” by Victoria A. Sinclair et al.

Sebastian Schemm (Editor)

sebastian.schemm@env.ethz.ch

Received and published: 7 October 2019

(1) Figure 6 shows the difference between SST4 and CNTL for the 900–700 hPa layer mean potential vorticity. There are two maxima, for example in Fig.6c (t=0), the first near the occlusion point (in the northeast sector of the cyclone) and the second near the bent-back front (close to the composite center, corresponding to the vorticity maximum identified by the tracking).

However, the corresponding equivalent for precipitation, Fig. 8g (t=0), shows only one maxima, which is in the north-eastern sector of the cyclone. This suggests, that the potential vorticity seen in Fig.6c in the north-eastern sector of the cyclone is formed by enhanced diabatic processes, while the second potential vorticity anomaly near the

C1

bent-back front is resulting from enhanced advection.

This difference could be explained by the linkage between the cold and the warm conveyor belts. The positive potential vorticity anomaly, which is diabatically generated in the air below the rising warm conveyor belt, is advected by the cold conveyor along the bent-back front, where it contributes to the enhanced potential vorticity gradients (corresponding to higher wind speed near the tail of the bent-back front of the cyclone). When the linkage is accelerated, the first low-level potential vorticity maximum is explained by enhanced diabatic processes and the second by accelerated advection by the cold conveyor belt, resulting in only one maxima in the precipitation pattern but two in the potential vorticity pattern, which is in agreement with the here presented composites (Fig.6 and Fig.8). The linkage between the conveyor belts has been described in an idealized setting in Schemm and Wernli (2014) and is summarized in their Figure 9.

Schemm, S. and H. Wernli, 2014: The Linkage between the Warm and the Cold Conveyor Belts in an Idealized Extratropical Cyclone. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 71, 1443–1459, <https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-13-0177.1> (A video that helps to illuminate the linkage is provided at <https://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/suppl/10.1175/JAS-D-13-0177.1>)

(2) A couple of suggested additional literature that seems to be in agreement with the findings of the submitted manuscript.

Regarding the changes eddy intensity:

Paul A. O’Gorman 2010: Understanding the varied response of the extratropical storm tracks to climate change. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* Nov 2010, 107 (45) 19176-19180; DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1011547107

O’Gorman, P.A. and T. Schneider, 2008: Energy of Midlatitude Transient Eddies in Idealized Simulations of Changed Climates. *J. Climate*, 21, 5797–5806, <https://doi.org/10.1175/2008JCLI2099.1>

C2

And in agreement with the fact that the large-scale eddies appear to stabilize the tropopshere in a warmer climate:

Korty, R.L. and T. Schneider, 2007: A Climatology of the Tropospheric Thermal Stratification Using Saturation Potential Vorticity. *J. Climate*, 20, 5977–5991, <https://doi.org/10.1175/2007JCLI1788.1>

Interactive comment on Weather Clim. Dynam. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/wcd-2019-2>, 2019.