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Dear Wilfran Moufouma and co-authors

I had a look at your final author comments. They are very brief and seem to be written in a rather hastily way. If you plan to submit a revised version of your paper, then it will be very important that the accompanying reply document is much more detailed and carefully written.

Reviewer 1 mentions language issues; they unfortunately also appear in the final author comments. Several sentences are difficult to understand, e.g., “We place the analysis in the perspective of the model development value chain and the search for avenues for reduced systematic errors in the global monsoon key regional features.” or “Impact of remote versus local circulations errors over South Asia” - is it “Impact of remote and local circulation errors on the representation of the South Asian monsoon”? or is it “Impact of remote and local circulations on errors in the South Asian monsoon”? For my assessment of the revised version, language and clarity of the text will be essential.

It is also confusing that you write twice on the same page that you plan to change the title of the paper, but the new titles you mention are not the same.

With best regards, Heini Wernli (co-editor)