

Interactive comment on “The monsoon hydroclimates in HadGEM3 model configurations GA3.0 and GA4.0: Impact of remote versus local circulations errors and horizontal resolution” by Wilfran Moufouma-Okia et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 28 October 2020

The article investigates the sensitivity of monsoon systems to different model configurations. Analysis includes two versions of the HadGEM3 model, the use of different horizontal resolutions and the application of grid-nudging to specific areas where model outputs are relaxed towards reanalyses. I appreciated the plethora of datasets and the approaches that the authors employed to reach their results. Nevertheless, I have three major concerns about this manuscript.

1) My first concern goes on the use of English and the general presentation of the results. A selection of minor comments below point to that direction. In fact, several

sentences even use familiar language coming at the expense of technical language.

2) I found that the intercomparison between models, HadGEM3 and observations remains to a rather superficial level. Most of the text presents the content of the figures in a rather descriptive way. This makes the text less attractive and my impression is that section 3 suffers from the lack of interpretation of the results with regards to the paper objectives. Actually this brings me to the third major concern.

3) My general impression is that the manuscript lacks of focus. Using such an impressive amount of datasets, applied to such a high range of monsoon systems is by all means very welcome. However, this causes the text to attain a hasty character that tries to cover all aspects of a multidimensional analysis. Therefore, it is hard to follow the manuscript. I strongly suggest to the authors to:

i) Be very precise, even by numbering, the objectives in the introduction: The paper content seems to lean towards the model validation aspects, but it also aims "to disentangle the impact of remote versus local atmospheric circulation error". Please provide the objectives and give equal weight to the analysis of each.

ii) Present all diagnostics and methods in section 2 and link them to the objectives: For instance, several indexes and datasets are first introduced in section 3.

iii) Present the results in a consistent way, e.g. all diagnostics are applied to all monsoon systems. For instance, it is characteristic that not all of the monsoon areas are treated equally. If certain areas need special attention, e.g. Asian or African monsoon, then this could be potentially justified by your results and thus more focused analysis could come in a subsequent section.

My suggestion is either to perform a major revision, or to withdraw the article and resubmit it after adapting the analysis and the text to more precise objectives.

Minor comments

Title: At first glance, at least for me, it was not clear if the paper focuses on a specific

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



monsoon region, e.g.: "Hydroclimates of monsoon regions in....".

Abstract: I believe that the abstract would benefit from a more structured presentation. For instance, in line 13 (This work examines..) you could be more precise on the evaluated variables and regions. Then, in the following sentences you could clearly state the methods that you used (resolutions, nudging, observations...) and finally you could present the most important results and conclusions.

Line 16: "Model outputs" instead of "Results"?

Lines 31-33: This phrase seems to be out of context. Maybe it suits better to the beginning of the Abstract.

Line 43-44: "while there is a decreasing trend of lower-troposphere monsoon circulations", this phrase is a bit confusing.

Lines 45: "monsoon coverage" is meant spatial extent of the ITCZ? Could you please clarify. If indeed this refers to the spatial coverage as in line 43, then what is meant by stronger?

Lines 60: " Monsoons are affected by..." The systems/factors mentioned in this sentence have positive and negative feedbacks in precipitation, inland propagation of the rainbands, seasonal rainfall amounts etc. It would be useful to develop these aspects to the extent that the reader acquires a deeper insight into the complexity of the monsoon systems and the challenge faced by the models to properly represent them.

Line 85: Precipitation amounts?

Lines 87-94: A series of phrases refer to interactions, linkages, changes, connections. Could you please further develop each phrase to be more precise, e.g. what is meant by "volcanic forcing-induced-intensification", which hemisphere? Why is ENSO important for the Australian monsoon?

Line 94: Please remove "climate usually" -> "The West African Monsoon interacts..."

Line 96: This rather long paragraph could benefit from a concluding phrase.

Lines 98-112: I found this part to be of very general nature and less attractive than the following paragraph. I suggest to either omit it, or to make it more relevant to the article's objectives and content.

Lines 131-133 & 135-138. These phrases are complex and hard to understand.

Lines 128- 141: Overall this paragraph lacks of being precise on the paper objectives. Reading the paper up to here, I have a general understanding of the motivation and methods. On the other hand, I am not sure about the paper's contribution to the state of the art and about the methods used to reach them.

Lines 216-220: This part should be moved to the introduction when stating the objectives.

Line 253: Why the quotes to "process based statistics"?

Line 272: Please include all datasets used in this study to section 2.4 (e.g. MERRA2 is missing).

Lines 290-292: Please be more informative about IAV and MVI and about their importance for your study.

Line 308: This title is rather too general. Could you please be more precise to the content of the section?

Line 312: AR definition should come before this line. Is it possible to show the monsoon regions in Fig. 4?

Line 327: "The situation improves..." is familiar language. This also stands for most of this paragraph. Please be more specific by quantifying the performed comparisons.

Line 336: replace "3" by "three" and "8" by "eight".

Line 342: Maybe you could skip the paragraph break.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



Line 381: As previously, description of the index should come earlier, in the previous sentence.

Figures 4,5 and 12: The geographical coordinates and colorbars use very small font sizes.

Interactive comment on Weather Clim. Dynam. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/wcd-2020-38>, 2020.

WCDD

Interactive
comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

