Decline of Etesian winds after large volcanic eruptions in the last millennium

Stergios Misios[1,2], Ioannis Logothetis[3], Mads F. Knudsen[2,4], Christoffer Karoff[2,4], Vassilis Amiridis[1], Kleareti Tourpali[3]

[1] Institute for Astronomy, Astrophysics, Space Applications, and Remote Sensing, National Observatory of Athens, Athens, Greece
[2] Department of Geoscience, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark
[3] Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece
[4] **iCLIMATE Interdisciplinary Centre for Climate Change, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark**

Correspondence to: Stergios Misios (smisios@noa.gr)

Abstract

The Northerly Etesian winds is a stable **summertime** circulation regime **in the Eastern Mediterranean, emerging by a steep pressure gradient between the central Europe and Balkans high-pressure and the Anatolian low-pressure systems. Etesian winds are influenced by** the **variability of the** Indian Summer Monsoon (ISM) **but** their **sensitivity** to external forcing on interannual and longer time scales is not well understood. Here, for the first time, we investigate **the sensitivity** of Etesian winds to large volcanic eruptions **in a set of** model simulations **over** the last millennium and **reanalysis of** the 20th century. We provide model evidence for significant volcanic signatures, manifested as a robust reduction of the wind speed and the total number of days with Etesian winds **in July and August. These are robust responses to all strong eruptions in the last millennium and in the extreme case of Samalas, the ensemble mean response suggests a post-eruption summer without Etesians. The significant decline in the number of days with Etesian winds is** attributed to the weakening of the ISM in the post-eruption summers, which **is associated with a** reduction of the large scale subsidence **and surface pressure gradient** in the Eastern Mediterranean. **Our analysis identifies** a stronger sensitivity of Etesian winds to the Northern Hemisphere **volcanic forcing**, particularly **for volcanoes** before the 20th century, while for the latest large eruption of Pinatubo, **both** modelled and observed responses are insignificant. **These findings could** improve seasonal predictions of the wind circulation in the Eastern Mediterranean in the post-eruption summers.

1 Introduction

Etesian winds **('Etesians' for brevity) represent a** stable manifestation of the monsoonal activity in the Eastern Mediterranean (EMed), established by excessive heating in the summer months that builds a steep surface pressure gradient between the **central Europe and Balkans** high-**pressure** and the Anatolian **low-pressure systems** (Carapiperis, 1951; Tyrlis and

Lelieveld, 2013; Dafka et al., 2015). The topography in the EMed channels surface winds to accelerate over the Aegean Sea, where the winds speed often exceeds 15 m/s, taking an almost northerly direction at the central sector and turning to a North-West direction further south (Tyrlis and Lelieveld, 2013; Dafka et al., 2015, see also Figure 1). Etesians display a pronounced seasonal variation, with peak intensity and persistence in July and August, as they are synchronized with the development of Indian Summer Monsoon (Tyrlis et al., 2013; Dafka et al., 2015; Logothetis et al., 2019; Rodwell and Hoskins, 2001). This synoptic system in the EMed and particularly the Anatolian low-pressure system is frequently viewed as the westernmost extension of the Persian trough (e.g. Bollasina and Nigam, 2011). Etesians advect cool air masses to the Aegean Sea and Levant to compensate the persistent adiabatic heating established in the summer months by the large scale subsidence, thus regulating summertime conditions in EMed (Ziv et al., 2004). For this reason Etesians is a key climatic component in EMed and changes in their intensity and persistence are considerably affecting several environmental and socio-economic sectors in the region (e.g. Athanasopoulou et al., 2015; Dafka et al., 2018 and references therein).

Past studies have assessed the frequency and occurrence of Etesians on different time scales and forcings. On sub-seasonal scale, increased atmospheric blocking activity over Europe is shown to decrease the frequency of Etesians, as manifested in the summer of 2014 (Tyrlis et al., 2015). Tropical and extra-tropical teleconnections have also been proposed as an important component on interannual variability. Specifically, the Indian Summer Monsoon (ISM) is thought to influence Etesians by emanating westward-propagating Rossby waves that strengthen subsidence in the EMed (Rodwell and Hoskins, 2001; Ziv et al., 2004; Tyrlis et al., 2013). A stronger ISM, therefore, should favour adiabatic heating in EMed which is compensated by advective cooling by stronger Etesian wind speeds. Logothetis et al. (2022) analysed the relationship between wind anomalies in the EMed and the strength of the ISM over the period 1900-2000 and demonstrated that an intensified monsoon activity increases meridional wind speed in the Aegean Sea, consistent with the ISM/EMed teleconnection. This teleconnection is more prominent in extreme monsoon years often associated with the El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) (Kumar et al., 1999; Singh et al., 2020). However, the ISM/EMed teleconnection does not systematically hold through the 20th century and opposite correlations have been found in the first half of the 20th century, suggesting that other mechanisms might also be important (Gomez-Delgado et al., 2019). Increased frequency of Etesians has been associated with changes in the high pressure system over the Central Europe and Balkans (Metaxas and Bartzokas, 1994; Poupkou et al., 2011) and Chronis et al. (2011) linked the interannual variability of Etesians to the summer-time North Atlantic Oscillation. Climate model simulations under anthropogenic greenhouse gas forcing project a growing number of Etesian days on decadal and longer time scales attributed to the ISM-EMed teleconnection (Dafka et al., 2019; Anagnostopoulou et al., 2013; Ezber, 2019) because monsoon intensity increases in a warmer climate (Kitoh et al., 2013; Sharmila et al., 2015). Such positive trends in model simulations, however, are not supported by observations of Etesians over the past decades given that negative trends have been identified (Poupkou et al., 2011; Rizou et al., 2018). These negative trends in Etesians could be explained by the observational evidence for a weakened ISM in the past few decades (Kumar et al., 2020). The response, therefore, of Etesians to external forcing on interannual and decadal time scales is not well constrained.

To improve our understanding on the circulation variability in EMed, a region that has been characterized as a "hot spot" of anthropogenic climate change (Lelieveld et al., 2012), we here investigate a possible sensitivity of Etesians to volcanic forcing. Explosive volcanic eruptions inject sulphur-containing gases in the lower stratosphere, where they are oxidized to long-lived sulphates that can be globally dispersed within a few weeks (Robock, 2000). These aerosols scatter incoming radiation and absorb long-wave radiation, hereby altering the global energy budget, which leads to a cooling of the surface (Zanchettin et al., 2016; Timmreck, 2012) and changes in large-scale ocean circulation (Knudsen et al., 2014; Pausata et al., 2015). Several studies have pointed to hydroclimatic responses to volcanic eruptions, characterized by a reduced precipitation in the summer monsoon regions (Trenberth and Dai, 2007; Iles and Hegerl, 2014; Zuo et al., 2019; Tejedor et al., 2021). The hydroclimatic response is found sensitive to the latitude of the forcing, as tropical and Northern Hemisphere (NH) eruptions tend to suppress the summer monsoon, opposite to Southern Hemisphere (SH) eruptions (Liu et al., 2016; Stevenson et al., 2016). A weakened NH monsoon circulation has been identified in the CMIP5 models (Paik et al., 2020), which might be linked to an increased tendency for El Niño warm conditions in the first post-eruption year (Khodri et al., 2017), but the relative amplitude of forced responses compared to natural variability is debated (e.g. Dee et al., 2020).

In this study, we provide model evidence for a significant reduction of **Etesian wind persistence** in response to volcanic eruptions over the last millennium, with **a** stronger sensitivity to NH volcanoes. This response is physically explained by the ISM/EMed teleconnection in the summer months. Specifically, strong volcanic eruptions weaken the ISM circulation, reduce large-scale subsidence in the EMed, weaken the **surface pressure over the EMed and** Anatolia and ultimately diminishes the number of summer days with **Etesians**. Aspects of the simulated responses can be found in observations, although of low statistical significance partly because there are not enough strong volcanic events over the 20th century. We conclude by discussing the implications of our results for **improving** near-term prediction and **understanding changes in** Etesians in a warming climate.

2. Methodology

2.1 Datasets

We use daily 10m winds, sea-level pressure (SLP), surface temperature and omega velocity from the Last Millennium Ensemble **project that carried out simulations over the 850-2005 period** with the CESM **coupled atmosphere-ocean** model (Otto-Bliesner et al., 2015). **The model horizontal resolution in the atmosphere and ocean is ~2° and ~1°, respectively.** We present results from a) an ensemble of 12 simulations **(archived runs 2-13, run 1 omitted because did not archive daily wind fields before 1700)** that consider all known historical forcings **(greenhouse gases, solar variability, volcanic, land use and orbital)** and b) a twin ensemble of 5 members **but considering** volcanic forcing only. **Volcanic forcing in CESM follows the ice-core–based reconstruction of Gao et al. (2008), in which zonally uniform fixed single-size stratospheric aerosols are prescribed in the three layers in the lower stratosphere above the tropopause (Otto-Bliesner et al., 2015). Given our focus on volcanic signatures, t**hose two sets of simulations are merged into a **grant** ensemble (CESM-LME, hereafter) of 17

members spanning over the 850-2005 period and **all** results are based on this merged dataset. From 850 to 1850, we **analyse** all strong tropical and high-latitude eruptions according to the classification of Stevenson et al. (2016), while over the 1851-2005 period we additionally consider Krakatau (1883), Santa Maria (1903), Novarupta (1912), Mount Agung (1963), **El Chichón** (1982) and Pinatubo (1991) (all eruptions are listed in Table 1). However, we find that CESM-LME simulates significant responses for the pre-20th century eruptions only **(bold eruption years in Table 1)**, presumably because the **pre-20th century** volcanic forcing was much larger than the more recent events. **To demonstrate the consistency of our findings to different volcanoes,** we discuss results for the strongest tropical eruptions of Samalas (1258**; note that in the CESM-LME, Samalas erupts in 1258 and not in the true year 1257**), Tambora (1815), and the high-latitude eruption of Laki (17**62; note that in the CESM-LME, Laki erroneously erupts in 1762 and not in the true year 1783**), while over the 20th century we **consider** Pinatubo only; a selection based on the magnitude of the volcanic forcing in the model. However, similar signatures are found for most of the **pre-20th century** eruptions as shown in the supplementary figures. The Laki eruption **serves as** an example to demonstrate an amplified sensitivity of Etesian wind response to NH eruptions. This is further elaborated by comparing differences **in Section 3.1** for all SH, tropical and NH pre-20th century eruptions.

CESM-LME signatures for Pinatubo are evaluated against **the** version 3 of the NOAA-CIRES-DOE 20th Century reanalysis Project (20CR, hereafter), which reconstructs past climate over the 1836-2015 period by assimilating into a global **atmospheric** model **(0.5° horizontal resolution)** historical **pressure** observations and specifying sea ice and sea-surface temperatures at the **model** surface boundary (Slivinski et al., 2019). Dafka et al. (2015) reported an overall consistency in the representation of **Etesians** in different reanalyses compared with station wind observations and similarly our results do not critically depend on the choice of the reanalysis dataset **(not shown)**. This is **additionally** confirmed by considering the updated L-days dataset of Carapiperis (1951), which is an independent observation-based index for the number of Etesian days from 1892 to 2006 that describes Etesian outbreaks when the northerly wind in Athens exceeds the local wind breeze.

2.2 Definition of **Etesians**

We calculate daily wind speed (WSP) and wind direction (WDIR) during the late summer (July and August, JA hereafter) at a fixed grid point (37.5° N, 25.0° E) in the central Aegean Sea **(red star in Figure 1)**, so as to select the **months typically demonstrating** the strongest wind speeds **under the influence of monsoonal convection over northern India** (Tyrlis and Lelieveld, 2013). Following the methodology of Logothetis et al. (2019), a day with **Etesians** occurs when the following criteria are satisfied simultaneously: a) WDIR is **northerly** between NE (45°) to NW (315°), b) daily WSP exceeds its long-term median, and c) criteria a) and b) are fulfilled for at least two consecutive days. The latter criterion filters out intermittent disturbances unrelated to the semi-persistent synoptic system of Etesians winds (Hochman et al., 2019). Finally, we calculate the number of Etesian days (NED) per year as the sum of all Etesian days in the JA season. Our definition of the NED index is similar to the "Northerly wind index" of Gomez-Delgado et al. (2019) based on ship-log observations with the addition that our method identifies days with moderate to strong wind speeds only, which better correspond with the historical L-days index

(Poupkou et al., 2011). This is validated by the significant correlation between the NED from the 20CR dataset and the L-index over the common 1892-2006 period (r=0.59, p <0.01 based on a two-tailed t-test). Other methodologies for calculating days with **Etesians** (e.g. surface pressure gradients) give consistent results (e.g. Dafka et al., 2015).

Despite differences in the period considered and the horizontal resolution that could impact on the representation of the wind speed climatology (Kotroni et al., 2001), **the CESM model simulates realistic mean wind and SLP fields in the summer EMed (Figure 1a). A** comparison of the probability density functions of the northerly WSP in the central Aegean Sea **(red star in Figure 1a)** finds comparable mean and higher moment statistics, with median values applied to the classification methodology of **Etesians** (second criterion) of 6.8 and 7.1 m/s, respectively (Figure 1**b**). The NED over the last millennium in one arbitrarily selected CESM-LME run varies from 3 to 50 days, with a median of 21 days and similar statistics are found in the other runs. NED in the 20CR dataset ranges from 9 to 48 days and the L-days index demonstrate a minimum of 3 and maximum of 42 days **(Figure 1c)**. However, the PDF of NED in the observations is skewed to higher NED values compared to CESM-LME, likely related to the **coarser** horizontal resolution of the CESM model **compared to 20CR**.

3. Results

3.1 Reduction in the number of summer days with **Etesians**

We firstly analyse the ensemble mean time series of the Northerly WSP (criterion a), see section 2.2) and NED, respectively, over the last millennium, as simulated in the CESM-LME **(black lines in** Figure 2**). We find that t**he most notable deviations **in the ensemble mean** WSP and NED are **simulated** in volcanically active years. Major volcanic eruptions, as noted by increased outgoing NH **(0-90 °N)** clear sky **shortwave** radiation **at the top of the atmosphere** (grey lines in Figure 2) that is used as a proxy of stratospheric aerosol loading, frequently reduce the northerly WSP and consequently the NED up to two years after the eruption. For Samalas, the largest eruption in the last millennium, CESM-LME simulates negative WSP anomalies exceeding -1.3 m/s in the two post-eruption years, while the ensemble mean NED hardly exceeds 10 days in the summer of 1259. **Interestingly, t**he second strongest WSP and NED reduction is found for the NH Laki eruption, even surpassing changes associated with Kuwae, the second strongest eruption in the last millennium and all other tropical eruptions (e.g. Tambora).

Figure 2c zooms over the common 1836-2006 period to compare CESM-LME with the 20CR and L-days. We caution about the first years in 20CR given the scarcity and quality of observations, but this early period lacks of any large eruption. 20CR does not **simulate** any significant NED reduction after Krakatau, broadly consistent with CESM-LME. On the other hand, the Pinatubo eruption reduces NED in the summer of 1992 (and wind speed, not shown) as evidenced in both the 20CR and L-days datasets. It is interesting to note that the absolute minimum NED anomaly **in the 20th century** is found in the summer of 1913, with only 8 and 3 days respectively in 20CR and L-days, which could be associated with the Novarupta-Katmai eruption in Alaska (Hildret and Fierstein, 2012). However, this postulation is not supported by the CESM-LME runs, possibly because of the unrealistically weak forcing imposed in the model. Such an underestimation of the NH volcanic forcing is commonly

330 found in many forcing datasets used in model intercomparison activities (Toohey et al., 2019). A comparison of the NH clear sky TOA outgoing SW radiation finds about x5 stronger anomalies in the 20CR compared with CESM-LME, further supporting this possibility (not shown).

The previous discussion highlighted a tendency for reduced NED in volcanically active periods. This is further substantiated with a superposed epoch analysis of NED in the 5 post-eruption years (years 1 to 5) compared to the pre-eruption 5-year
335 average (years -5 to -1, see Figure 3). To facilitate the comparison, anomalies are given in percentages. **For completeness,** Sup. Figure 1 presents modelled responses for all selected eruptions from 850 to 2005. After Samalas, the NED declines in all individual runs (17 thin grey lines) with maximum anomalies up to -90% peaking at year +1. The large number of realizations in CESM-LME facilitates the detection of volcanic signatures versus natural variability (Stevenson et al., 2016) and we detect a significant response exceeding 2 standard deviations of the previous five years. The absolute minimum NED in the summer
340 of 1259 is 3 days, found in two runs, essentially describing a summer without **Etesians**. Similar summers are also simulated after Tambora, but with higher intra-ensemble spread regarding the timing of the peak reduction, given that NED in individual runs minimize either in year-0 or year +1. As for strong **tropical** explosive eruptions, the **NH** Laki **effusive** eruption causes a significant NED decline, with the strongest ensemble mean reduction of -60% found in year-0. In individual model runs, NED anomalies are as large as those of Samalas, with magnitudes up to -80% **(Figure 3b)**. Because of the high latitude and the
345 relative low altitude of the eruption, the lifetime of the Laki influence is relative short; NED returns to the pre-eruption conditions the following year. Yet, Pinatubo does not significantly **impact the** ensemble mean NED (Figure 3d), despite the fact that some runs show strong reductions with amplitudes exceeding -60%. The peak response of -20% at year-0 is not significant and in addition is suspiciously early as it peaks just two months after the eruption (June 1991). In observations, NED drops by 20-40% in the summer of 1992 both in 20CR and L-days, but the signal is neither significant based on a t-test,
350 nor exceptional as NED also drops by about the same magnitude at year -4. Hence, we conclude that the observed NED reduction in the summer of 1992 is not significant and might not be related to the volcanic forcing, which is consistent with the model results. This is further discussed in the following Section.

As the historical volcanic forcing is larger than **in** recent events (e.g. see **grey** lines in Figure 2), it is not surprising that the CESM simulates a robust ensemble mean NED decline for volcanoes prior to 1900 only, while signatures are **insignificant**
355 over the 20th century. In addition, not all strong eruptions impact **Etesians** in the same way because of interhemispheric differences in the forcing. This is demonstrated in Figure 4, which shows NED anomalies separately for all SH, tropical, and NH eruptions from 850 to 1900 (bold eruption **years** in Table 1). For the SH eruptions, only Kuwae causes a significant NED anomaly **at year +1** but nevertheless of considerably weaker magnitude compared to **strong tropical and NH** volcanoes **(Figure 4a)**. Tropical eruptions typically reduce NED in year +1, as **previously discussed** for Samalas and Pinatubo (Figure
360 3 and Sup. Figure 1). NED also reduces after all NH eruptions, with a multi-eruption average anomaly of -30%, larger than the mean response **of all** tropical eruptions. **Given the generally weaker amplitude of NH eruptions compared to strong tropical eruptions, CESM-LME suggests an amplified sensitivity of Etesians to NH volcanoes.** This is consistent with

studies that show disproportionally stronger climate forcing between NH high-latitude and tropical eruptions of **an** equal magnitude (Liu et al., 2016; Toohey et al., 2019).

3.2 Waning Indian Summer Monsoon reduces summer days with Etesians

CESM-LME simulates a decline of NED of considerable magnitude in the post-eruption years, which additionally is found sensitive to the hemisphere of the volcanic forcing. To understand these key findings, we need to investigate the large-scale circulation changes in relation to the ISM. As a first step, we **analyse** large-scale surface temperature, SLP, and wind anomalies in the post-eruption summers using monthly mean data.

Previous analyses of the CESM-LME simulations have identified the coldest annual NH temperatures after major volcanic eruptions, with magnitudes generally stronger than in the reconstructions, possibly related to uncertainties in the specified volcanic forcing (Otto-Bliesner et al., 2015). Likewise, the strongest summer (JA) cooling in Southern Europe/Northern Africa is simulated after Samalas, with anomalies exceeding -3.5 K **in the EMed, Balkans and Levantine (Figure 5a and Sup. Figure 2 for all eruptions)**. A **similar albeit weaker** cooling is also simulated **in the post-eruption summers** after the Laki and Pinatubo, **which can be** explained by the reduction in the incoming radiation by the volcanic aerosols in the stratosphere. **The considerably weaker sea surface temperature anomalies in the EMed are** related to the heat capacity of water that dumps a response to an intermittent forcing. **The** direct radiative cooling is superimposed on dynamical signatures associated with changes in the large-scale circulation and regional land/sea contrasts. These dynamical signatures **are evident as an amplified cooling over the land masses in the EMed, Balkans and Levantine and can be isolated by subtracting the zonal mean temperature response (e.g. about -2 K in the 30-40°N band). This approach isolates a spatial pattern similar to Samalas, Laki and Tambora (Sup. Figure 3). In the extreme case of Samalas, the amplified cooling pattern contributes to about -1.5 K cooling in the EMed.**

The **surface** cooling **in the post-eruption summers** is associated with an increased SLP **in the EMed and Anatolian low, exceeding 300 Pa** in the case of Samalas and Laki **(contours in Figure 5 and Sup. Figure 2)**. This indicates a weakened SLP pressure gradient over the Aegean Sea, which reduce wind speeds as evidenced with the southerly anomalies of about 1 m/s (**arrows in** Figure 5 and Sup. Figure 2). Hence, fewer days with Etesians are expected under such surface conditions as found in Figure 3. The positive SLP anomalies extend throughout the Middle East and the Arabian Peninsula. The northeastly wind anomalies in the Arabian Sea oppose the prevailing winds in the summer season affecting the ISM region; this might be related to **a** weakening of the ISM, **which additionally** explains the anomalous **surface warming** over India by a **reduction** in the cloud amount and increasing shortwave heating (Dogar and Sato, 2019). This pattern is robust and is simulated for all strong NH and tropical eruptions prior to 1900 (Sup. Figure 2). **We** note that the **strongest** ensemble-mean surface warming **over** India is **found after** Laki, **with temperature anomalies** exceeding +1.5 K **(Figure 5b)**. Likewise, there is also some evidence for north-west wind anomalies in the Arabian Sea after Pinatubo in the summer of 1992, but the CESM-LME surface cooling in the EMed is considerably weaker **(Figure 5e)**. SLP anomalies in the Anatolian low are trivial after Pinatubo, which is consistent with the insignificant NED reduction shown in Figure 2d.

This pattern of forced response in the temperature and surface winds, which has also been simulated with other models (Dogar and Sato, 2019), suggests a possible connection to the ISM. This possibility is investigated by analysing the omega velocity **(expressed in -Pa/s)** fields at 200 hPa (Figure 6 and Sup. Figure 4 for all eruptions). The climatology of omega velocity in JA is characterized by ascending motions over the Bay of Bengal, India and Nepal (**positive** contours in Figure 6), linked to the monsoonal activity, while subsidence prevails over the region of EMed (Rizou et al., 2018; Logothetis et al., 2019). These two opposite vertical motions are **connected in** the summer months, linking the Indian and South Asian summer monsoons to the circulation in the EMed (Rodwell and Hoskins, 2001; Tyrlis et al., 2013). CESM-LME simulates **negative** anomalies in the region of the Indian monsoon after the volcanic eruptions of Samalas, Pinatubo and Laki, indicating a significant reduction of the upward motion and a waning monsoon activity, which can also be inferred by the reduced precipitation (not shown). The anomalous descending in the ISM region is paired with **positive** anomalies over the EMed, indicating a reduced subsidence in the post eruption years. A comparable pattern, although of weaker magnitude, is obtained at 500 hPa (not shown). Anomalies are significant at $p < 0.05$ based on a two-tailed t-test and the strongest changes exceeding -0.04 -Pa/s (0.02 -Pa/s) in the ascending (descending) branch are found for the Samalas eruption.

Previous studies detected a substantial decrease in precipitation over land after the Pinatubo eruption, associated with a reduction of the ISM and positive surface temperature anomalies over India (Trenberth and Dai, 2007). This is consistent with the surface temperature and wind anomalies found in 20CR (Figure 5e) and broadly supports the pattern of warming in India and cooling in the EMed found in CESM-LME. Yet, the surface temperature response after Pinatubo is considerably weaker in CESM-LME compared to 20CR. The reduced ISM in the summer of 1992 causes **negative** anomalies in the ascending region but the observed signature in the descending branch in EMed is negligible and insignificant (Figures 6e). This is consistent with the weak SLP anomalies shown in Figure 5e, associated with insignificant anomalies in **the** observed NED.

Despite the inconsistent signatures after Pinatubo, CESM-LME simulates similar patterns of omega velocity anomalies for all tropical and NH eruptions prior to 1900 (Sup. Figure 4). Moreover, we identify an almost linear relationship between changes in ISM strength and NED anomalies **(Figure 7). Following** Logothetis et al. (2022), the ISM strength is approximated by the omega velocity anomalies at 200 hPa **(-Pa/s) averaged over the region of the strongest mean ascending motion (black boxes in Figure 6). As previously discussed, all selected eruptions cause negative omega velocity anomalies over the ascending region and this significantly** correlates with the **corresponding** NED anomalies (r=0.8, $p < 0.01$ according to a two tailed t-test) in the **first** post-eruption year. A linear regression **calculates** a **positive** slope of 2.3 days per 0.01 -Pa/s increase, significant with $p < 0.01$ based on a two-tailed t-test. If we additionally consider the **three** SH **eruptions before 1900,** the linear regression shows a steeper slope of 3.5 days per 0.01 -Pa/s, **mainly** attributed to the outlier of 1341 eruption which shows positive NED and omega anomalies (Sup Figures 1,4). **This linear relationship, therefore, suggests that the reduction of NED can mainly be explained by the response of the ISM to volcanic forcing through the ISM/EMed teleconnection.**

4. Discussion and Summary

Large eruptions make ideal test cases for evaluating the climate response to external forcing and **can** improve our understanding of the mechanisms mediating global signatures to regional scales (Robock, 2000; Zanchettin et al., 2016). **However, the superposition of the volcanic forcing and internal climate variability complicates the detection of forced signatures in the observation record. For this reason, large model ensembles help to disentangle regional forced responses.** Using CESM-LM**E** last millennium ensemble, we investigate, for the first time, volcanic influences on **Etesians** in post-eruption summers. The ensemble mean response is characterized by anomalously colder summers in the Mediterranean after all last-millennium eruptions, an effect that is the strongest in the EMed (**Figure 5 and** Sup. Figure**s 2,**3) because of reduced **downward motions that should lessen** adiabatic heating (Sup. Figure 4). Reconstructions of summer temperatures indicate that several cold spells in the EMed often coincide with volcanic eruptions (Klesse et al., 2015; Klippel et al., 2019), but the strongest **reconstructed** cooling in the last millennium is not associated with the strongest eruptions (e.g. Samalas), which contrasts with CESM-LME. However, this should be expected given that the ensemble averaging in CESM-LME suppress internal variability. Volcanic eruptions are found to impact SLPs primarily over the Anatolian Low **and EMed**, whereas changes associated with the **Central Europe and** Balkan SLP high **are weaker.** This **wanes** SLP gradients over the Aegean Sea, reduce winds speeds, and diminish the number of summer days with **Etesians**. According to the CESM-LME, the year of 1259 **should** have been a summer without **Etesians**, provided the internal variability had been negligible.

Tropical and NH eruptions suppress convection over the **Pacific** warm pool, reduce ISM precipitation, and weaken monsoonal circulation **as reported in previous studies** (Liu et al., 2016; Stevenson et al., 2016; Toohey et al., 2019) **and demonstrated here** by the weakened ascending motions reflected in the omega velocity anomalies (Figure 6). A suppressed ISM in the post-eruption summer is associated with reduced subsidence in the EMed and higher SLPs along the western margin of the monsoon (Ziv et al., 2004). This explains the SLP anomalies in the **EMed and** Anatolian low and hence the simulated decline of NED after strong volcanic eruptions. The **volcanic** eruptions **in the NH** typically **cause** strong, **equator-to-pole gradients of** temperature **anomalies**, given that they induce negligible cooling the tropics (Stevenson et al., 2016; Toohey et al., 2019). This leads to an enhanced monsoon suppression compared to tropical and SH eruptions, which **could** explain the stronger sensitivity of NED **to NH eruptions**. Yet, CESM-LME does not provide evidence that a tropical eruption of Pinatubo-like magnitude can alter NED significantly. This is supported by the observations, which also show insignificant anomalies. On the other hand, NH eruption **of Pinatubo magnitude might** cause a considerable reduction in Etesians owing to the amplified **hemispheric** sensitivity.

Our results are based on simulations with **the** CESM model that assumes some simplifications regarding aerosol transport in the stratosphere, aerosol distribution, and the seasonality of eruptions (Gao et al., 2008). Eruptions of unknown dates are assumed to begin in April and peak in June-July, a simplification that leads to very similar time evolution of the volcanic forcing (Stevenson et al., 2016). This might lead to an overestimation of the volcanic forcing in the summer months for some the unknown eruptions. **The** CESM **model** also suffers from over-active ENSO variations compared to the observations, which need to be considered when disentangling direct volcanic effects and ENSO. By averaging over 17 ensemble members, the effects of ENSO on ISM should be alleviated but there is evidence that volcanic eruptions in the CESM-LM**E** promote positive

ENSO conditions (Stevenson et al., 2016). This means that, in the model, the monsoon response to the volcanic forcing could have been amplified by an ENSO warming in the post-eruption year. Observations and reconstructions do not still provide undisputable evidence regarding the ENSO response to volcanic forcing (Dee et al., 2020; Khodri et al., 2017).

660 We conclude that the suppressed monsoon and the ISM/EMed teleconnection is mediating global volcanic signatures to EMed, affecting the synoptic pattern of Etesians. These findings could help us separate naturally and anthropogenically forced variations. Model simulations of future global warming indicate a strengthening of the land–ocean temperature contrasts and low-level monsoon circulation, accompanied by enhanced precipitation over the ISM region (Kitoh et al., 2013; Sharmila et al., 2015). According to our results, an intensification of the ISM under increased greenhouse gas forcing might strengthen the

665 **surface pressure gradient in the Aegean Sea** and ultimately increase NED in future. **This is supported by the all-forcing CESM simulations which provide evidence for significant positive trends of NED over the last century (not shown).** Evidence for intensified Etesians has been inferred from simulations of future scenarios (Ezber, 2019; Anagnostopoulou et al., 2013), suggesting nevertheless that additional mechanisms related to changes of the midlatitude westerly flow might also play an important role in strengthening SLP gradients in the Aegean Sea (Dafka et al., 2019).

670 Prediction of the frequency, intensity, and persistence of Etesians in the summer months is important for ecosystem services, wildfire prevention, air quality forecasts, tourism, **energy production** and economic development (Athanasopoulou et al., 2015; Dafka et al., 2018). The synoptic system associated with the Etesians exhibit high predictability compared to the other weather patterns in the EMed (Hochman et al., 2019). Given the recent progress in seasonal predictions of the ISM after volcanic eruptions (Singh et al., 2020), our results could be used for improved seasonal predictions of wind circulation in

675 summer months in the EMed.

Author contributions

SM designed the analysis and wrote the manuscript. IL, MFK, CK, VA and KT contributed to the manuscript and provided feedback.

680 **Data and code availability**

Data from the Last Millennium Ensemble Project with the CESM model are available here https://www.cesm.ucar.edu/projects/community-projects/LME/. Data from the 20th Century reanalysis project are available here: https://psl.noaa.gov/data/gridded/data.20thC_ReanV3.html. Code for the analysis is available upon request.

685 **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Deleted: winds

Deleted: Anatolian low
Deleted: the
Deleted: summers
Deleted: winds

Deleted: winds

Deleted: .(Dafka et al., 2018)
Deleted: winds

Deleted: ¶

Acknowledgement

This work is funded by the MSCA action "Climatic impacts of volcaninc ash electrification-ElectricVolcano". SM. M.F.K and C.K acknowledge the Villum Foundation Experiment Programme 'Environmental consequences of solar cosmic rays'. We thank Evangelos Tyrlis (National and Kapodistrian University of Athens) for helpful discussions. **The authors acknowledge the CESM1(CAM5) Last Millennium Ensemble Community Project for simulations analyzed here, the production of which relied on supercomputing resources provided by NSF/CISL/Yellowstone. The CESM-LME simulations (Otto-Bliesner et al., 2016, doi:10.1175/bams-d-14-00233.1) can be downloaded on the Earth System Grid (http://www.earthsystemgrid.org).**

References

Anagnostopoulou, C., Zanis, P., Katragkou, E., Tegoulias, I., and Tolika, K.: Recent past and future patterns of the Etesian winds based on regional scale climate model simulations, Clim. Dyn., 1-18, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-013-1936-0, 2013.

Athanasopoulou, E., Protonotariou, A. P., Bossioli, E., Dandou, A., Tombrou, M., Allan, J. D., Coe, H., Mihalopoulos, N., Kalogiros, J., Bacak, A., Sciare, J., and Biskos, G.: Aerosol chemistry above an extended archipelago of the eastern Mediterranean basin during strong northern winds, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 8401-8421, 10.5194/acp-15-8401-2015, 2015.

Bollasina, M. and Nigam, S.: The summertime "heat" low over Pakistan/northwestern India: evolution and origin, Clim. Dyn., 37, 957-970, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-010-0879-y, 2011.

Carapiperis, L. N.: ON THE PERIODICITY OF THE ETESIANS IN ATHENS, Weather, 6, 378-379, https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1477-8696.1951.tb01292.x, 1951.

Chronis, T., Raitsos, D. E., Kassis, D., and Sarantopoulos, A.: The Summer North Atlantic Oscillation Influence on the Eastern Mediterranean, J. Clim., 24, 5584-5596, https://doi.org/10.1175/2011jcli3839.1, 2011.

Dafka, S., Toreti, A., Zanis, P., Xoplaki, E., and Luterbacher, J.: Twenty-First-Century Changes in the Eastern Mediterranean Etesians and Associated Midlatitude Atmospheric Circulation, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 124, 12741-12754, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019jd031203, 2019.

Dafka, S., Toreti, A., Luterbacher, J., Zanis, P., Tyrlis, E., and Xoplaki, E.: Simulating Extreme Etesians over the Aegean and Implications for Wind Energy Production in Southeastern Europe, J Appl Meteorol Clim, 57, 1123-1134, https://doi.org/10.1175/Jamc-D-17-0172.1, 2018.

Dafka, S., Xoplaki, E., Toreti, A., Zanis, P., Tyrlis, E., Zerefos, C., and Luterbacher, J.: The Etesians: from observations to reanalysis, Clim. Dyn., 1-17, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-015-2920-7, 2015.

Dee, S. G., Cobb, K. M., Emile-Geay, J., Ault, T. R., Edwards, R. L., Cheng, H., and Charles, C. D.: No consistent ENSO response to volcanic forcing over the last millennium, Science, 367, 1477, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aax2000, 2020.

Dogar, M. M. and Sato, T.: A Regional Climate Response of Middle Eastern, African, and South Asian Monsoon Regions to Explosive Volcanism and ENSO Forcing, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 124, 7580-7598, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019jd030358, 2019.

Ezber, Y.: Assessment of the changes in the Etesians in the EURO-CORDEX regional model projections, Int. J. Climatol., 39, 1213-1229, https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.5872, 2019.

Gao, C. C., Robock, A., and Ammann, C.: Volcanic forcing of climate over the past 1500 years: An improved ice core-based index for climate models, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 113, 2008.

Gomez-Delgado, F. D., Gallego, D., Pena-Ortiz, C., Vega, I., Ribera, P., and Garcia-Herrera, R.: Long term variability of the northerly winds over the Eastern Mediterranean as seen from historical wind observations, Global Planet. Change, 172, 355-364, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2018.10.008, 2019.

Hildret, W. and Fierstein, J.: The Novarupta-Katmai Eruption of 1912—Largest Eruption of the Twentieth Century: Centennial Perspectives, 2012.

Hochman, A., Alpert, P., Harpaz, T., Saaroni, H., and Messori, G.: A new dynamical systems perspective on atmospheric predictability: Eastern Mediterranean weather regimes as a case study, Science Advances, 5, eaau0936, https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aau0936, 2019.

Iles, C., E. and Hegerl, G., C. : The global precipitation response to volcanic eruptions in the CMIP5 models, Environ Res Lett, 9, 104012, 2014.

Khodri, M., Izumo, T., Vialard, J., Janicot, S., Cassou, C., Lengaigne, M., Mignot, J., Gastineau, G., Guilyardi, E., Lebas, N., Robock, A., and McPhaden, M. J.: Tropical explosive volcanic eruptions can trigger El Niño by cooling tropical Africa, Nat Commun, 8, 778, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-00755-6, 2017.

Kitoh, A., Endo, H., Krishna Kumar, K., Cavalcanti, I. F. A., Goswami, P., and Zhou, T.: Monsoons in a changing world: A regional perspective in a global context, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 118, 3053-3065, https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrd.50258, 2013.

Klesse, S., Ziehmer, M., Rousakis, G., Trouet, V., and Frank, D.: Synoptic drivers of 400 years of summer temperature and precipitation variability on Mt. Olympus, Greece, Clim. Dyn., 45, 807-824, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-014-2313-3, 2015.

Klippel, L., Krusic, P. J., Konter, O., St. George, S., Trouet, V., and Esper, J.: A 1200+ year reconstruction of temperature extremes for the northeastern Mediterranean region, Int. J. Climatol., 39, 2336-2350, https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.5955, 2019.

Knudsen, M. F., Jacobsen, B. H., Seidenkrantz, M. S., and Olsen, J.: Evidence for external forcing of the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation since termination of the Little Ice Age, Nat Commun, 5, https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms4323, 2014.

Kotroni, V., Lagouvardos, K., and Lalas, D.: The effect of the island of Crete on the Etesian winds over the Aegean Sea, Q. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc., 127, 1917-1937, DOI 10.1002/qj.49712757604, 2001.

Kumar, K. K., Rajagopalan, B., and Cane, M. A.: On the weakening relationship between the indian monsoon and ENSO, Science, 284, 2156-2159, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.284.5423.2156, 1999.

Kumar, P. V., Naidu, C. V., and Prasanna, K.: Recent unprecedented weakening of Indian summer monsoon in warming environment, Theor. Appl. Climatol., 140, 467-486, 10.1007/s00704-019-03087-1, 2020.

Lelieveld, J., Hadjinicolaou, P., Kostopoulou, E., Chenoweth, J., El Maayar, M., Giannakopoulos, C., Hannides, C., Lange, M. A., Tanarhte, M., Tyrlis, E., and Xoplaki, E.: Climate change and impacts in the Eastern Mediterranean and the Middle East, Clim. Change, 114, 667-687, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-012-0418-4, 2012.

Liu, F., Chai, J., Wang, B., Liu, J., Zhang, X., and Wang, Z.: Global monsoon precipitation responses to large volcanic eruptions, Scientific Reports, 6, 24331, https://doi.org/10.1038/srep24331, 2016.

Logothetis, I., Tourpali, K., Misios, S., and Zanis, P.: Etesians and the summer circulation over East Mediterranean in Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5 simulations: Connections to the Indian summer monsoon, Int. J. Climatol., 0, https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.6259, 2019.

Logothetis, I., Dafka, S., Tourpali, K., Misios, S., Zanis, P., Xoplaki, E., Luterbacher, J., and Papagianoulis, E.: The Southeast Asian monsoon and El Nino-Southern Oscillation impact on the summer atmospheric circulation of East Mediterranean during 20th century based on ERA-20C and CMIP5 simulations, Int. J. Climatol., https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.7510, 2022.

Metaxas, D. A. and Bartzokas, A.: Pressure covariability over the Atlantic, Europe and N. Africa. application: Centers of action for temperature, winter precipitation and summer winds in Athens, Greece, Theor. Appl. Climatol., 49, 9-18, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00866284, 1994.

Otto-Bliesner, B. L., Brady, E. C., Fasullo, J., Jahn, A., Landrum, L., Stevenson, S., Rosenbloom, N., Mai, A., and Strand, G.: Climate Variability and Change since 850 CE: An Ensemble Approach with the Community Earth System Model, Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 97, 735-754, https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-14-00233.1, 2015.

Paik, S., Min, S. K., Iles, C. E., Fischer, E. M., and Schurer, A. P.: Volcanic-induced global monsoon drying modulated by diverse El Nino responses, Science Advances, 6, https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aba1212, 2020.

Pausata, F. S. R., Chafik, L., Caballero, R., and Battisti, D. S.: Impacts of high-latitude volcanic eruptions on ENSO and AMOC, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 112, 13784-13788, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1509153112, 2015.

Poupkou, A., Zanis, P., Nastos, P., Papanastasiou, D., Melas, D., Tourpali, K., and Zerefos, C.: Present climate trend analysis of the Etesian winds in the Aegean Sea, Theor. Appl. Climatol., 106, 459-472, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-011-0443-7, 2011.

Rizou, D., Flocas, H. A., Hatzaki, M., and Bartzokas, A.: A Statistical Investigation of the Impact of the Indian Monsoon on the Eastern Mediterranean Circulation, Atmosphere-Basel, 9, https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos9030090, 2018.

Robock, A.: Volcanic eruptions and climate, Rev. Geophys., 38, 191-219, https://doi.org/10.1029/1998RG000054, 2000.

Rodwell, M. J. and Hoskins, B. J.: Subtropical anticyclones and summer monsoons, J. Clim., 14, 3192-3211, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(2001)014<3192:Saasm>2.0.Co;2, 2001.

Sharmila, S., Joseph, S., Sahai, A. K., Abhilash, S., and Chattopadhyay, R.: Future projection of Indian summer monsoon variability under climate change scenario: An assessment from CMIP5 climate models, Global Planet. Change, 124, 62-78, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2014.11.004, 2015.

Singh, M., Krishnan, R., Goswami, B., Choudhury, A. D., Swapna, P., Vellore, R., Prajeesh, A. G., Sandeep, N., Venkataraman, C., Donner, R. V., Marwan, N., and Kurths, J.: Fingerprint of volcanic forcing on the ENSO-Indian monsoon coupling, Science Advances, 6, https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aba8164, 2020.

Slivinski, L. C., Compo, G. P., Whitaker, J. S., Sardeshmukh, P. D., Giese, B. S., McColl, C., Allan, R., Yin, X. G., Vose, R., Titchner, H., Kennedy, J., Spencer, L. J., Ashcroft, L., Bronnimann, S., Brunet, M., Camuffo, D., Cornes, R., Cram, T. A., Crouthamel, R., Dominguez-Castro, F., Freeman, J. E., Gergis, J., Hawkins, E., Jones, P. D., Jourdain, S., Kaplan, A., Kubota, H., Le Blancq, F., Lee, T. C., Lorrey, A., Luterbacher, J., Maugeri, M., Mock, C. J., Moore, G. W. K., Przybylak, R., Pudmenzky, C., Reason, C., Slonosky, V. C., Smith, C. A., Tinz, B., Trewin, B., Valente, M. A., Wang, X. L., Wilkinson, C., Wood, K., and Wyszynski, P.: Towards a more reliable historical reanalysis: Improvements for version 3 of the Twentieth Century Reanalysis system, Q. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc., 145, 2876-2908, https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3598, 2019.

Stevenson, S., Brady, E., Fasullo, J., Otto-Bliesner, B., and Stevenson, S.: "El Niño Like" Hydroclimate Responses to Last Millennium Volcanic Eruptions, J. Clim., 29, 2907-2921, https://doi.org/10.1175/jcli-d-15-0239.1, 2016.

Tejedor, E., Steiger, N. J., Smerdon, J. E., Serrano-Notivoli, R., and Vuille, M.: Global hydroclimatic response to tropical volcanic eruptions over the last millennium, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 118, e2019145118, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2019145118, 2021.

Timmreck, C.: Modeling the climatic effects of large explosive volcanic eruptions, WIREs Climate Change, 3, 545-564, https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.192, 2012.

Toohey, M., Krüger, K., Schmidt, H., Timmreck, C., Sigl, M., Stoffel, M., and Wilson, R.: Disproportionately strong climate forcing from extratropical explosive volcanic eruptions, Nat. Geosci., 12, 100-107, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-018-0286-2, 2019.

Trenberth, K. E. and Dai, A.: Effects of Mount Pinatubo volcanic eruption on the hydrological cycle as an analog of geoengineering, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34, https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GL030524, 2007.

Tyrlis, E. and Lelieveld, J.: Climatology and Dynamics of the Summer Etesian Winds over the Eastern Mediterranean, J. Atmos. Sci., 70, 3374-3396, https://doi.org/10.1175/Jas-D-13-035.1, 2013.

Tyrlis, E., Lelieveld, J., and Steil, B.: The summer circulation over the eastern Mediterranean and the Middle East: influence of the South Asian monsoon, Clim. Dyn., 40, 1103-1123, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-012-1528-4, 2013.

Tyrlis, E., Tymvios, F. S., Giannakopoulos, C., and Lelieveld, J.: The role of blocking in the summer 2014 collapse of Etesians over the eastern Mediterranean, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 120, 6777-6792, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD023543, 2015.

Zanchettin, D., Khodri, M., Timmreck, C., Toohey, M., Schmidt, A., Gerber, E. P., Hegerl, G., Robock, A., Pausata, F. S. R., Ball, W. T., Bauer, S. E., Bekki, S., Dhomse, S. S., LeGrande, A. N., Mann, G. W., Marshall, L., Mills, M., Marchand, M., Niemeier, U., Poulain, V., Rozanov, E., Rubino, A., Stenke, A., Tsigaridis, K., and Tummon, F.: The Model Intercomparison Project on the climatic response to Volcanic forcing (VolMIP): experimental design and forcing input data for CMIP6, Geosci. Model Dev., 9, 2701-2719, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-9-2701-2016, 2016.

Ziv, B., Saaroni, H., and Alpert, P.: The factors governing the summer regime of the eastern Mediterranean, Int. J. Climatol., 24, 1859-1871, https://doi.org/10.1002/Joc.1113, 2004.

Zuo, M., Zhou, T. J., and Man, W. M.: Hydroclimate Responses over Global Monsoon Regions Following Volcanic Eruptions at Different Latitudes, J. Clim., 32, 4367-4385, https://doi.org/10.1175/Jcli-D-18-0707.1, 2019.

840 Table 1 List of eruption years considered in this study. Eruptions from 850 to 1850 are classified to Southern Hemisphere, tropical and Northern Hemisphere following the methodology of Stevenson et al. (2016). In bold are the SH, tropical and NH pre-20th eruptions used in Figure 4.

Classification of Eruption Forcing	Eruptions
Southern Hemisphere	**1275, 1341, 1452**
Tropical	**1258, 1284, 1809, 1815, 1883**,1963, 1982,1991
Northern Hemisphere	**1176, 1213, 1600, 1641, 1762, 1835**, 1903, 1912

845 **Figure 1 Climatology and variability of Etesians. (a) Climatology of JA SLP (Pa, contours) and 10-m wind (m/s, arrows) in CESM-LME over the 1980-2005. The red star symbol indicates the fixed grid point (37.5° N, 25.0° E) in the central Aegean Sea used for calculating NED and WSP. (b) Probability density functions of northerly JA WSP for CESM-LME (black) and 20CR (red). Dash lines denote the median WSP of 6.8 and 7.1 m/s for CESM-LME and 20CR, respectively. (c) Probability density functions of NED for CESM-LME, 20CR and L-days. PDFs are calculated for the**
850 **full period of each dataset. CESM-LME is represented by the all-forcing ensemble member 7.**

Figure 2. Time series of **the JA** WSP (m/s) and NED (days) over the last millenium (850-2005) **for** CESM-LME (black), 20CR
(red) and L-days (orange). **(a) CESM-LME e**nsemble mean WSP **time series**. (b) **CESM-LME e**nsemble mean NED time
series. **(c) NED time series over the common period** 1836-2005 **for CESM-LME,** 20CR and L-days. **Note the different
range between panels b) and c).** The outgoing clear-sky SW radiation **at the top of the atmosphere, averaged over** the
Northern Hemisphere **(0-90 °N, grey lines, relative scaling), is used here as** proxy of stratospheric aerosol loading, **with
peaks indicating volcanic eruptions.**

855

895 Figure 3. Percentage anomalies of NED for (a) Samalas, (b) Laki, (c) Tambora and (d) Pinatubo eruptions in CESM-LME (black), 20CR (red) and L-days (orange), from 5 pre-eruption to 5 post-eruption years. Zero denotes the year of eruption **as listed in Table 1**. Thin black lines show **percentage NED anomalies for the** 17 individual runs of the CESM-LME ensemble. Grey shading measures the +-2 standard deviation of pre-eruption (-5 to -1 years) **NED in CESM-LME**. See Sup. Figure 1 for all eruptions **listed in Table 1**.

Figure 4. Percentage anomalies of NED in CESM-LME for (a) Southern hemishere, (b) tropical and (c) Nothern hemisphere volcanic eruptions from 850 to 1900 **(bold eruption years in Table 1)**. Zero denotes the year of eruption. Thin black lines show the ensmeble mean **anomalies for every** eruption and the thick black line **shows** the multi-eruption mean response. Grey shading measures the +-2 standard deviation of pre-eruption (-5 to -1 years) **NED.**

915

930 Figure 5. Anomalies of surface temperature (**K**, shaded), 10m winds (**m/s**, arrows) and SLP (**Pa**, contours) in the post-eruption summers (**JA**) following Samalas, Laki, Tambora and Pinatubo. **Years of the maximum NED response according to Figure 3.** Panels a-c) from CESM-LME and e) from 20CR. Anomalies relative to the average 5 years before the eruption year (see Table 1). No significance test is overlayed. See Sup. Figure 2 for all eruptions listed in Table 1.

945   Figure 6. Anomalies of omega velocity (-Pa/s, **shaded**) at 200 hPa in the post-eruption summers **(JA) following** Samalas, Laki, Tambora and Pinatubo**. Years of the** maximum NED response **according to Figure 3. Climatology of omega velocity (-Pa/s) show regions of upwelling (red positive contours) and downwelling (blue negative contours).** Panels a-c) from CESM-LME and e) from 20CR. Positive (negative) **anomalies** indicate reduced **descending (ascending)** motions. Anomalies

950   relative to the average 5 years before the eruption year (see Table 1). Regions of $p < 0.05$ based on a two-tailed t-test are stippled. See Sup. Figure 4 for **all eruptions listed in Table 1. Black boxes in panels a-c denote areas used for averaging omega velocity anomalies shown in Figure 7.**

965   Figure 7. **Scatter plot** of the JA omega velocity anomalies (-Pa/s) at 200 hPa averaged over the mean ascending region (black boxes in Figure 6) **against** the **corresponding** NED anomalies in the CESM-LME. **Negative omega velocity anomalies indicate reduced upwelling.** Tropical and NH volcanoes before 1900 are used only. Brown circles denote Pinatubo, Samalas and Laki. A linear regression calculates a negative slope of about 2.3 days per 0.01 -Pa/s significant at p <0.01 based on a two tailed t-test.

970

Page 2: [1] Deleted	**Stergios Misios**	**28/04/2022 16:13:00**

Page 2: [2] Deleted	**Stergios Misios**	**13/05/2022 11:02:00**

Page 7: [3] Deleted	**Stergios Misios**	**02/05/2022 18:37:00**

Page 7: [3] Deleted	**Stergios Misios**	**02/05/2022 18:37:00**

Page 7: [4] Deleted	**Stergios Misios**	**17/05/2022 00:04:00**

Page 7: [4] Deleted	**Stergios Misios**	**17/05/2022 00:04:00**

Page 7: [4] Deleted	**Stergios Misios**	**17/05/2022 00:04:00**

Page 7: [4] Deleted	**Stergios Misios**	**17/05/2022 00:04:00**

Page 7: [4] Deleted	**Stergios Misios**	**17/05/2022 00:04:00**

Page 7: [4] Deleted	**Stergios Misios**	**17/05/2022 00:04:00**

Page 7: [4] Deleted	**Stergios Misios**	**17/05/2022 00:04:00**

Page 7: [5] Deleted	**Stergios Misios**	**17/05/2022 00:06:00**

Page 7: [5] Deleted	**Stergios Misios**	**17/05/2022 00:06:00**

Page 7: [5] Deleted	**Stergios Misios**	**17/05/2022 00:06:00**

Page 7: [6] Deleted   **Stergios Misios**   **13/05/2022 13:19:00**

Page 7: [6] Deleted   **Stergios Misios**   **13/05/2022 13:19:00**

Page 7: [6] Deleted   **Stergios Misios**   **13/05/2022 13:19:00**

Page 7: [6] Deleted   **Stergios Misios**   **13/05/2022 13:19:00**

Page 7: [6] Deleted   **Stergios Misios**   **13/05/2022 13:19:00**

Page 7: [6] Deleted   **Stergios Misios**   **13/05/2022 13:19:00**

Page 7: [6] Deleted   **Stergios Misios**   **13/05/2022 13:19:00**

Page 7: [6] Deleted   **Stergios Misios**   **13/05/2022 13:19:00**

Page 7: [6] Deleted   **Stergios Misios**   **13/05/2022 13:19:00**

Page 7: [6] Deleted   **Stergios Misios**   **13/05/2022 13:19:00**

Page 7: [6] Deleted   **Stergios Misios**   **13/05/2022 13:19:00**

Page 7: [6] Deleted   **Stergios Misios**   **13/05/2022 13:19:00**

Page 7: [6] Deleted   **Stergios Misios**   **13/05/2022 13:19:00**

Page 7: [6] Deleted   **Stergios Misios**   **13/05/2022 13:19:00**

Page 7: [6] Deleted   **Stergios Misios**   **13/05/2022 13:19:00**

Page 7: [6] Deleted   **Stergios Misios**   **13/05/2022 13:19:00**

Page 7: [6] Deleted   **Stergios Misios**   **13/05/2022 13:19:00**

Page 7: [6] Deleted   **Stergios Misios**   **13/05/2022 13:19:00**

Page 7: [6] Deleted   **Stergios Misios**   **13/05/2022 13:19:00**

Page 8: [7] Deleted   **Stergios Misios**   **04/05/2022 12:36:00**

Page 8: [8] Deleted   **Stergios Misios**   **04/05/2022 14:37:00**

Page 8: [9] Deleted   **Stergios Misios**   **04/05/2022 13:41:00**

Page 8: [10] Formatted   **Stergios Misios**   **13/05/2022 13:32:00**

Not Highlight

Page 8: [11] Formatted   **Stergios Misios**   **13/05/2022 13:32:00**

Not Highlight

Page 16: [12] Deleted   **Stergios Misios**   **30/04/2022 12:54:00**

Page 16: [12] Deleted   **Stergios Misios**   **30/04/2022 12:54:00**

Page 16: [12] Deleted   **Stergios Misios**   **30/04/2022 12:54:00**

Page 16: [12] Deleted   **Stergios Misios**   **30/04/2022 12:54:00**

Page 16: [12] Deleted   **Stergios Misios**   **30/04/2022 12:54:00**

Page 16: [12] Deleted   **Stergios Misios**   **30/04/2022 12:54:00**

Page 16: [12] Deleted   **Stergios Misios**   **30/04/2022 12:54:00**

Page 16: [12] Deleted   **Stergios Misios**   **30/04/2022 12:54:00**

Page 16: [12] Deleted   **Stergios Misios**   **30/04/2022 12:54:00**

Page 16: [12] Deleted   **Stergios Misios**   **30/04/2022 12:54:00**

Page 16: [12] Deleted   **Stergios Misios**   **30/04/2022 12:54:00**

Page 16: [12] Deleted   **Stergios Misios**   **30/04/2022 12:54:00**

Page 16: [13] Deleted   **Stergios Misios**   **17/05/2022 00:24:00**

Page 16: [13] Deleted   **Stergios Misios**   **17/05/2022 00:24:00**

Page 16: [13] Deleted   **Stergios Misios**   **17/05/2022 00:24:00**

Page 16: [13] Deleted   **Stergios Misios**   **17/05/2022 00:24:00**