## **Combined Answer to the Review Process**

The authors want to thank the reviewers and editor for the suggestions and time they spent supporting this manuscript.

The revised manuscript includes now all recommended changes in line with the more detailed answers we already provided in the discussion.

Nevertheless, three more substantial modifications have been implemented to increase the clarity of the writing and to convey our approach in a clearer way, as recommended by the reviewers and editor:

- We decided to delete the separation of factors into "primary" and "secondary" factors. We initially thought this might help in structuring the results, but it seems this was an unnecessary complexity.
- Similarly, to clarify and to streamline the methodic approach, we decided to delete the "mind map" (previous Fig. 1), to reduce the factor table to the core information and to move the total table and the 3-D scheme (previous Fig. 2) to the appendix. This will reduce the complexity of the method chapter and we think will increase the clarity of our approach.
- Additionally, as recommended, we introduced changes in the explanation for the prosses-based view. We shortened and modified the explanation which hopefully leads to a better understanding. We also adjusted the composite explanation in the method-section slightly to make this a clearer extinction between the different composites.