# ~~Changes in synoptic circulations associated with documented~~ Analyzing 23 years of warm-season derechos ~~over France~~ in ~~the past 70 years~~France: a climatology and investigation of synoptic and environmental changes

Lucas Fery[1,2,*] and Davide Faranda[1,3,4,*]

[1]Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, UMR 8212 CEA-CNRS-UVSQ, Université Paris-Saclay, IPSL, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France
[2]SPEC, CEA, CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay, F-91191 CEA Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France
[3]London Mathematical Laboratory, 8 Margravine Gardens London, W6 8RH, UK
[4]Laboratoire de Météorologie Dynamique/IPSL, École Normale Supérieure, PSL Research University, Sorbonne Université, École Polytechnique, IP Paris, CNRS, Paris, 75005, France
[*]These authors contributed equally to this work.

**Correspondence:** Lucas Fery (lucas.fery@lsce.ipsl.fr)

**Abstract.** ~~Derechos are~~ A derecho is a type of severe convective windstorm characterized by a swath of ~~wind damage several hundred~~ severe wind gusts several hundred of kilometers long. ~~They are known~~ Such storms are known for there potential to cause widespread damage and ~~can have a significant impact on human safety and infrastructure~~for there threat to infrastructures and people, particularly in the United States of America (USA). Although less frequent, derechos also occur in Europe. A recent example is the ~~European~~ derecho of 18 August 2022 that produced damaging surface wind gusts (>200 km/h) and affected Corsica, Italy~~and Austria~~ , Slovenia, Austria and Czech Republic within 12 hours. ~~The goal of this paper is to analyse recent~~ In this study we create a first climatology of recent warm-season derechos in France~~in the satellite era and assess the role of climate change in modifying their characteristics. We identify eleven (11) events in the past and provide their tracks retrieved using the ERA5 reanalysis dataset. To detect climate change signal~~. We identified twenty-nine (29) events between 2000 and 2022 (23 years) using severe wind gust reports and satellite imagery. Derechos in France are much less frequent and intense than in the USA, but are more similar to those in Germany. Some differences exist with more frequent events in August and a larger proportion of short-lived low-end intensity events. In a second part, we analyse changes in synoptic conditions and environmental convective parameters associated with past warm-season derechos. To do so, we compare ~~cyclonic atmospheric circulations (low pressure systems) that can lead to derechos in the~~ atmospheric circulations encoded in the 500 hPa geopotential height patterns associated with derechos in a relative distant past (~~1950-1979~~1950-1980), when warming was ~~just beginning~~still limited, and in ~~the~~ a recent past (~~1993-2022). Two of the events are found to be unprecedented, that is no good analogues can be found in at least one period and attribution statements cannot be made on the basis of the present analysis. For most of the other events, instead,~~ 1992-2022). For the majority of the events, we find a significant signal of increased ~~precipitation~~ convective available potential energy (CAPE) consistent with overall trends in the Mediterranean basin while we find inconsistent changes in wind shear in the recent period~~which, without change in circulation, is explained~~

by higher temperatures. For these events there is also not a clear change in depth of the low pressure system trigger. Finally, we can exclude the role of climate variability of El Nino (ENSO) in most of the events, while . These changes are almost always correlated with higher near-surface temperatures and shifts in the mid-level atmospheric flow patterns and often associated with increased rainfall volume. It remains unclear to what extent those changes are attributable to anthropogenic climate change as we cannot rule out the influence of factors of natural variability such as the El-Nino Southern Oscillation (ENSO) or the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation (AMO)in favoring low pressure systems possibly leading to derechos. .

## 1 Introduction

The term "derecho" (Hinrichs, 1888) is used to describe thunderstorm convective storm episodes that are characterized by a particularly long-lasting , strong and widespread production of downbursts. These serial downburst episodes can only constitute a derecho if they are generated damaging downbursts. More specifically, a derecho is usually defined as "any family of downburst clusters produced by an extratropical mesoscale convective system (MCS), which excludes cyclones with tropical characteristics. ", following Johns and Hirt (1987a).

The associated radar signatures generally have linear characteristics, with bow echoes . It is not uncommon to observe line echo wave pattern signatures during derechos (development of an undulation of the main precipitating area, then a bulge associated with a meso-depression) . (Fujita, 1978). Derechos are often subcategorized in "serial" or "progressive" type derechos (Johns and Hirt, 1987a; Squitieri et al., 2023; Corfidi et al., 2016). A "progressive" derecho is characterized by a fast-moving MCS with a long-lived bow echo pattern on radar display, almost perpendicularly oriented with respect to the mean wind direction and usually occurs in the warm season (May-August). This type of derecho often move faster than the mean wind and is associated with high instability. Other common features include rear-inflow jet and mesoscale vortices. The other type of derecho, "serial" derecho, typically features an extensive squall line with a line echo wave pattern (LEWP) oriented embedded within a cold front. This type of derecho usually occur in the cold season (September - April) in an environment characterized by strong forcing and low instability. Those convective systems move typically more slowly than the "progressive" derechos.

To meet the criteria for a derecho, the MCS must fulfill Different criteria have been used to define a series of characteristics defined by Fujita and Wakimoto (1981b) and later by Johns and Hirt (1987b), namely: i) wind-gusts of convective downburst clusters as a derecho from observational data such as wind gusts reports and weather radar. For instance, Johns and Hirt (1987a) proposed the following criteria i) a concentrated area of convective wind-gusts nature with a speed greater than or equal to 90 km26 m/h s or – when wind-speed measurements are not available – the presence of a concentrated area of damage following downbursts; ii) this zone of strong convective winds must extend over an area whose major axis exceeds . The major axis length must be of at least 400 km; iiiii) the convective gusts must have an identifiable spatio-temporal progression, iviii) at least 3 gusts greater than or equal to 120 km33 m/h s must be measured or assessed on the basis of damage within the area covered by the episode , v) these 3 gusts and these reports must be separated by at least 64 km from each other, vi; iv) there must be no interruption of more than 3 hours between two convective gusts of more than 90 kmsuccessive severe wind gusts reports; v) the associated convective system must have temporal and spatial continuity in surface pressure or wind field; vi) all the wind gusts reports must emanates from the same MCS based on radar data.

However, later studies have often relaxed and/~~h. As we can see, the criteria that define a derecho are precise and very restrictive. Therefore, only a few derechos are registered each year in the world~~or modified some of these criteria and particularly the most restrictive one (iii) as it was argued there is no reference to wind threshold criteria in the common definition of derecho as a family of downbursts clusters Bentley and Mote (1998); Fujita and Wakimoto (1981a). Coniglio and Stensrud (2004), Bentley and Mot and Gatzen et al. (2020a) for instance, have not retained this criterion and instead assigned an intensity to the event depending on the number of reports of wind gusts at different thresholds (moderate intensity when there at least 3 reports of wind gusts greater than 33 m/s, high-end intensity when there at least 3 reports of wind gusts greater than 38 m/s, and low-end intensity otherwise). In 2016, Corfidi et al. (2016) proposed a more restrictive definition of derecho which includes only the most severe, long-lived "progressive" or warm-season derechos, as the dynamics and environments associated with "progressive" and "serial" derechos are very different. In particular, “The damage swath must be nearly continuous, at least 100 km wide along most of its extent, and 650 km long”. This definition also requires some clear evidence of radar features such as bow echoes, mesoscale vortices and rear-inflow jets. For a review of research on derechos including the different set of criteria used in the past studies, see e.g. Squitieri et al. (2023).

The derechos are phenomena that are ~~better~~ mainly documented in the ~~great plains of the United States , in flat areas where the available energy is important and , more rarely, at sea (Ashley and Mote, 2005b; Gatzen et al., 2020b)~~United States of America, and particularly in the Midwest and Southern Plains (Hinrichs, 1888; Johns and Hirt, 1985, 1987a; Bentley and Mote, 1998; Evar . In comparison, the science of derechos is more recent in Europe, as events have only started to be reported as such since the 2000s (López, 2007a; Gatzen, 2004; Punkka et al., 2006; Púčik et al., 2011; Hamid, 2012a; Celiński-Mysław and Matuszko, 2014; Mathia and few national climatologies have been established (Gatzen et al., 2020a; Celiński-Mysław et al., 2020). In particular, there is not to our knowledge any previous work studying the climatology of derechos in France although several cases have been reported in scientific articles (López, 2007a; Gatzen et al., 2020a; Hamid, 2012a), or weather reports such as those from Keraunos, the French observatory of severe convective storms (website: https://www.keraunos.org/). For these reasons, the public opinion was ~~shocked by~~ astonished at the violence and the widespread destruction of the derecho ~~which hit~~ that affected Corsica in summer ~~2022: this~~ 2022. This MCS formed during the night of August 17 to 18 over the northern Balearic Islands ~~,~~ as an initial line of thunderstorms gradually curved to become a bow echo and moved rapidly to the northeast, affecting Corsica in the early morning. ~~An initial line of thunderstorms gradually curved to become a bow echo while the large scale conditions caused the movement of the~~ The system then affected central and northern Italy, Slovenia, Austria and Czech Republic, within 12 hours. The storm occurred in synoptic conditions featuring a meridional circulation with the presence of a cut-off low located between Portugal and France, a pattern associated with the ~~storm towards the east. The system was fueled by the extremely hot water~~ so-called Spanish plume (Morris, 1986; Holley et al., 2014) and an anticyclone over the Mediterranean basin. Such a cut-off low typically produces heatwave or stormy conditions over France when positioned respectively over the Eastern Atlantic or Portugal or over Spain. In particular, the convective system was maintained by the particularly warm sea-surface temperatures (SST) of the Mediterranean sea and convection produced intense downbursts with up to 225 km/h surface wind gusts recorded over Corsica. The system then affected central and northern Italy and Austria, within 12 hours. With 12 casualties, over 100 people injured and disruption of electric power lines (Wikipedia, 2022), there was immediate questioning about whether this exceptional storm could be attributed to climate change.

The storm was not the first extreme event of European summer 2022 which was governed, at synoptic meteorological scales, by a high-low pressure meridional dipole: the presence of a persistent cold drop (low pressure) located between Portugal and France, a pattern associated with the so-called Spanish plume (Holley et al., 2014) and an anticyclone over the Mediterranean basin (see Fig. **??**). The change in the cold-drop position determined the alternation between heatwave conditions (low pressure center over the Eastern Atlantic or Portugal) and stormy conditions (cold drop over Spain) over France was unique or other similar events have occurred in the past, and whether climate change had played a role in favoring this event. While the anthropogenically-driven warming of the Mediterranean Sea (with anomalies up to +6°C recorded during the summer with respect to the seasonal values for the period 1990-2020) was largely found guilty for the genesis of summer has very likely promoted the development of 2022 organized convective systemsEuropean derecho, an assessment of the role of human-induced climate-change on the occurrence of the derecho is yet missing. Moreover, it is not clear whether this derecho is unique or other similar events have occurred in France.

severe convective events is a difficult task, particularly when interested in convective winds (Kunkel et al., 2013). Because of their scarcity and the difficulty computational cost of simulating mesoscale convective events in global and regional climate models, it is difficult to find clear climate change statements about derechos and in general about severe thunderstormssevere convective storms, including derechos. Due to these modelling difficulties, even the IPCC reports does not contain information about the fate of derechos under do not contain much strong statements about the influence of anthropogenic climate change . Nonethelesson severe convective events. Indeed, in the AR6 report (IPCC, 2021), we find that there is high confidence that "a warmer climate intensifies very wet and very dry weather events and seasons, but the location and frequency of these events depend on projected changes in regional atmospheric circulation". Particularly for Europe, there is moderate confidence that at 1.5°C of warming, "heavy precipitation and associated flooding are projected to intensify and be more frequent", and low confidence that "large-scale conditions conducive to severe convection will tend to increase in the future climate".

Some studies have investigated the existence of changes in MCS frequency and intensity (Schumacher and Rasmussen, 2020) , environmental predictors for convection such as CAPE and wind shear (Taszarek et al., 2021b, a; Púčik et al., 2017) or convective hazards (Battaglioli et al., 2023) with global warming. Generally, the results show important regional discrepancies. There is however general agreement that rainfall rate and volume associated with MCS tends to increase with global warming. For midlatitudes and Europe, CAPE has been shown to increase and 0-6 km wind shear has been shown to slightly decrease (Taszarek et al., 2021b). However, convective inhibition (CIN) also tends to increase, and relative humidity tends to decrease which makes difficult any statement about the frequency of severe thunderstorms as pointed out by Taszarek et al. (2021a); Natalia Pilguj et . The lack of clear results about the fate frequency of severe convective storms under anthropogenic climate change, including derechosin the Mediterranean, , also motivates the analysis presented in this study.

To understand how human-caused climate change may impact the dynamics leading to severe weather events like derechos, we are using a method that looks at patterns of circulation. Although there is no one-to-one correspondence between large scale low pressure systems and the occurrence of derechos, we consider the former as large scale precursors of intense convective events. To investigate this, we are examining The first purpose of this paper is to establish a first climatology of recent warm-season derechos in France and analyse their features in comparison with other countries. The second goal is to identify potential changes in synoptic conditions by identifying similarities between large-scale sea-level pressure patterns associated with historical derechos in the past (1950-1979) and the recent past (1993-2022). Our assumption is that the past serves as a hypothetical world where the Earth's climate was not as affected by human activity, and that 30 years is a sufficient period to account for and environmental convective parameters such as convective available potential energy (CAPE) and bulk wind shear associated with past derecho-producing MCS in the warm-season in France and assess the role of climate change and natural variability in atmospheric motions. However, we must also consider long-term variability such as that caused by the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation or El Nino-Southern Oscillation. If a direct influence of such low-frequency variability is excluded, then changesin analogues between the two periods we consider are attributed to the climate change signal. accounting for these changes.

We present in Section 2 the methodological aspects of this work , introducing and introduce the datasets we use: in 2.1, we present the methodology and observational datasets used to detect past derechos events over France and its limitations; in 2.2, we introduce the attribution methodology based on analogues of synoptic patterns, the reanalysis or observational datasets used and we introduce the relevant assessment metrics. The results are presented in Section 3 contains, foreach event: (i) a meteorological description of the event and (ii)our attribution analyses. Our conclusions are presented for: the detected derechos and the analysis of their frequency, intensity and geographical distribution in comparison with established climatologies in Germany or the Unites States of America (USA) (3.1); the attribution for the case of 2022 derecho in detail (3.2); the overall attribution for all events, with their interpretation (3.3). Finally, we conclude on the results and limitations of this study and we discuss the perspectives for future work in Section 4.

Schematic representation of a typical atmospheric circulation leading to severe thunderstorms over France, as the derecho of August 2022. A cut-off low is a cyclonic circulation detached from the zonal flow and the polar vortex.

## 2 Data and Methods

### 2.1 DataDerechos detection

We first identify a list of derechos that happened in France from online reports and articles in the literature. In particular, we significantly rely on the reports from the website of Keraunos (accessible at ) , a French consulting firm specialized in the forecasting and management of risks related to storm phenomena. They provide useful information on the impacts of several types of severe convective events, along with analysis of the corresponding weather configuration. In total, we have listed 11 documented derechos that happened over France between 1983 Similarly to Gatzen et al. (2020a) who established a climatology of derechos over Germany between 1997 and 2014, we use daily weather station data from Météo-France (automatic stations of type 0 and 2022. These are detailed in Table **??** with their date, the French regions and other countries affected, the selected area and months (extended season) for the analogues analysis, and a source that document the event.

Date Tracking time span Affected French regions Other affected countries Analogues box Analogues months Source25, 26-07-1983 17:00Z (25-07) to 06:00Z (26-07) Nouvelle-Aquitaine, Pays de la Loire, Centre-Val de Loire 5°W–7°E,42°N–48°NJJAS Keraunos (2013a)17-08-2003 07:00Z to 15:00Z Occitanie, Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur Spain 7°W–5°E, 40°N–48°NJJAS López (2007b)12-07-2010 06:00Z to 15:00Z Bourgogne-Franche-Comté, Grand-Est, Centre Benelux, Germany, Denmark 5°W–7°E,43°N–50°NJJAS Keraunos (2010)26, 27-07-2013 21:00Z (26-07) to 06:00Z (27-07) Nouvelle-Aquitaine, Centre-Val de Loire 5°W–7°E,43°N–50°NJJAS Keraunos (2013b)03-01-2014 13:00Z to 22:00ZNormandie, Hauts-de-France Belgium, Netherlands, Germany 5°W-15°E,47°N-59°NDJFM Mathias et al. (2019b)25-01-2014 13:00Z to 22:00Z Hauts-de-France United-Kingdon Belgium, Netherlands 5°W-10°E,47°N-59°NDJFM Keraunos (2014b)08-08-2014 15:00Z to 23:00Z Nouvelle-Aquitaine, Occitanie 8°W-7°E,40°N-50°NJJAS Keraunos (2014a)16-09-2015 13:00Z to 17:00Z Bourgogne-Franche-Comté, Grand Est Benelux 8°W-7°E,40°N-50°NJJAS Keraunos (2015)02-03-2016 11:00Z to 17:00Z Hauts-de-France, Normandie, Pays de la Loire, île-de-France, Centre-Val de Loire 8°W-7°E,40°N-50°NDJFM Keraunos (2016)29-04-2018 14:00Z to 18:00Z Bourgogne-Franche-Comté, Grand Est Belgium 8°W-7°E,40°N-50°NMAMJ Keraunos (2018)18-08-2022 07:00Z to 17:00Z Corse Italy, Slovenia, Austria, Czech Republic 1) to do a first selection of the warm-season days (May, June, July, August) with at least 5 stations reporting a severe daily wind gusts (measured wind speed greater than 25 m/s). We then filter out the days when no concentrated area of wind gusts reports is found i.e. when the reports are spread out across the map meaning there are likely not generated by a single MCS. In case they are missing because of an unsufficient number of reports, we also includes days for which a derecho has been reported over France by (Gatzen et al., 2020a). Then we use the Python FLEXible object TRacKeR (PyFLEXTRKR) algorithm developed by Feng et al. (2023a) to systematically detect and track potential associated MCS for each previously selected day. This algorithm has notably been used to build a global MCS database Feng et al. (2021) using satellite imagery data, namely brightness temperature and precipitation. It has also been used to track MCS in convection-permitting simulations Feng et al. (2023b) or convective cells from radar data Feng et al. (2022). For the detection and tracking of MCS, the algorithm uses brightness temperature thresholds to identify cold cloud systems ($T_b < 241\,\mathrm{K}$) with an additional size constraint of an area $> 4 \times 10^4\,\mathrm{km}^2$. Precipitation data is used in addition to enable a more robust identification of MCS by requiring that an intense precipitation feature (criteria includes rain rate $> 3\,\mathrm{mm/h}$ and major axis length $> 100\,\mathrm{km}$) is embedded within the cold cloud system. These criteria must be met for at least 4 hours to define a cold cloud system as an MCS. We specifically use the Global Precipitation Measurement (GPM) Integrated Multi-satellitE Retrievals (IMERG) V06 precipitation database (Huffman et al., 2019) and the NOAA NCEP/CPC Global Merged IR (MERGIR) brightness temperature ($T_b$) database (Janowiak et al., 201 , as in Feng et al. (2021). Both datasets are available from the year 2000 and cover the area between 60°W-20S and 60°E,35N at a time resolution of 30 minutes. The MERGIR database has a finer resolution (4km) than IMERG (10 km/0.1°N-50), so we regridded $T_b$ data to a resolution of 0.1° NJJAS ESSL (2022) Documented derechos over France with the tracking time span, the affected regions and countries, the specification used for the analogues computations (area and months) and a reference for the reported events. using xESMF python package (Zhuang et al., 2023) prior to applying the tracking algorithm.

For each event, we reconstruct the trajectories of the MCS by a semi-objective method consisting in tracking the hourly position of the maxima of precipitation and wind gusts within the region of interest and in the time span of the event (selected from the reported impacts of the derechos). The results are presented on Figure ??. We use ERA5 data which is the latest climate reanalysis produced by the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) as part of the implementation of the EU-funded Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S) . It provides hourly data on atmospheric, land surface and sea state parameters from 1950 to the present. The ERA5 data are available on the C3S Climate Data Store on regular latitude-longitude grids at a horizontal resolution of 0.25°×0.25° (Hersbach et al., 2018). Our choice of using ERA5 data for this study is firstly motivated by the consistency of the dataset through a long period of time (73 years) which enables the possibility of detecting changes in the large dynamics. Moreover, the global nature of this datasets allow to avoid the problems of mixing data-sets from different national weather services and ensures a uniform spatial and temporal coverage. Other observational or reanalyses datasets have been considerd but discarded because they do not cover sea-points (e. g. E-OBS) or because of the unsufficient temporal and spatial resolutions Contrary to Coniglio and Stensrud (2004) and Gatzen et al. (2020a) who used radar data to identify the convective system, we decided to use satellite data which are more easily accessible (from e.g. https://disc.gsfc.nasa.gov/) and have a global coverage. Indeed, radar data are often acquired, managed and hosted by national weather services and consequently cover only national geographical domains. Moreover, these data are often not easily and freely accessible. As we focus on warm-season derechos, the use of radar data is less critical than for cold-season derechos whose downbursts are difficult to disentangle from the associated synoptic-scale winds (Gatzen et al., 2020a), and Coniglio and Stensrud (2004) found that the associated MCS were identified quite well without radar data. Nevertheless, radar data would be necessary to check the existence of a well-organized mesoscale convective system (e.g. MERRA, NCEP or CFSR). Our methodological and dataset choice thus introduce strong limitations to our analysis:

- Tracking problems: a derecho is an extended MCS and therefore it can have simultaneously more than one active convective cells. Therefore our selection based on maxima of precipitation and wind-gusts only relate to the most intense cell disregarding the frontal or multi cellular structure.
- Confounding elements: on the selected region, we can potentially have maxima of precipitation or wind gusts which correspond to other convective systems or due to orographic effects which will be mistakenly identified as the derecho track. This could be tackled with a more sophisticated tracking algorithm.
- Dataset problems: ERA5 is not ideal for studying convective events as the values of precipitation and wind gusts correspond to averages over the rather low resolution grid (with a typical dimension of 20 − 30 km ) and these variables are estimated using the output of parameterized models and not directly from observations.

All these problems could be partially address either by using more sophisticated tracking algorithms or by using more reliable data from radar or stationsobservations as done e.g. by López (2007b), Hamid (2012b) and Mathias et al. (2019b). Consequently, with bow echoes), to make sure the wind gusts emanates from the same convective system and to match precisely the timestamps of wind gusts reports and the position of the convective system. Thus, our methodology have some limitations as we could define as a derecho a swath of wind gusts emanating from a disorganized convective cluster that doesn't feature a well organized structure on radar display or from one or several supercells, although there has been some debate about whether the specific structure of the convective system that produces the swath of severe wind gusts should or not be taken into account to define a derecho-producing MCS (Coniglio and Stensrud, 2004; Bentley et al., 2000).

The MCS detected using PyFLEXTRLR are then matched in time and space to the ~~trajectories showed on Figure ?? are only meant to illustrate qualitatively the paths of the most intense core of the derechos and to identify the area to be used for the analogues search. While in most cases, the trajectories are consistent with a chronological progression along a straight line, some reconstructions are erratic as for the derecho of August 17, 2003 represented on Figure ??b) , especially for the tracking of maximum precipitation, due to the limitations cited above.~~ severe wind gusts reports from Météo-France weather stations and only the days when reports can be matched with an MCS along a distance of at least 400 km are retained. To circumvent the limited geographical coverage of wind reports to France, we use severe wind gusts reports from the European Severe Weather Database (ESWD) database (accessible at https://eswd.eu/) created by the European Severe Storm Laboratory (ESSL) (Dotzek et al., 2009) whenever the associated MCS track extends in other countries. This database provides detailed and quality-controlled reports from severe convective events in Europe including severe wind gusts, heavy rain, hail, tornadoes, and damaging lightnings from a variety of sources. For our study, we only retained reports at level quality QC1 ("report confirmed by reliable source"). The reports can come from weather stations, in which case the wind gust speed is given, or by reports from wind gust damage report such as fallen trees, which doesn't allow a precise estimation of the wind gust speed and this is also a limitation, notably for the estimation of the derecho intensity.

### 2.2 ~~Methods~~

### 2.2 Detection of changes in synoptic patterns

~~We compare the 1993-2022 sea level air pressure records to records from 1950-1979, when warming was just beginning. These pressure analogues reflect the large-scale airflows that can drive extreme events such as heat waves, cold waves, large-scale thunderstorms or tornado outbreaks, medicanes, tropical and extratropical cyclones and, in~~ To understand how anthropogenic climate change may have influenced the synoptic patterns related to severe convective events like derechos, we consider analogues of patterns of atmospheric circulation. Although there is no one-to-one correspondence between large scale synoptic patterns and the ~~present case, MCS associated with derechos.~~

occurrence of derechos, the former are typical recurrent large scale conditions that are associated with favorable environment for the development of severe convective events (Bentley et al., 2000; van Delden, 2001; Coniglio et al., 2004; Lewis and Gray, 2010; Marl . To investigate this, we are examining changes in temperature, precipitation, wind speed, along with proxies or environmental parameters that are commonly used as predictors for convection, namely convective available potential energy (CAPE) and 0-6 km wind shear (named hereafter deep layer shear or DLS) (Taszarek et al., 2020, 2019) by identifying similarities between large-scale geopotential height fields at 500 hPa associated with historical derechos in the past (1950-1980) and the recent past (1992-2022). Our assumption is that the past serves as a hypothetical world where the Earth's climate was only marginally affected by human activity, and that 30 years is a sufficient period to account for natural variability in atmospheric motions. However, we must also consider long-term natural variability such as that caused by the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation (AMO) or El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO). If a direct influence of such low-frequency variability is excluded, then changes in analogues between the two periods we consider can be attributed to the climate change signal. The method ensures that comparisons are relevant, unlike purely statistical modeling techniques, which aim to ~~simply~~ analyze meteorological variables without tracing them back to the phenomena that produce them - a thunderstorm or hurricane, for example. In addition, this method allows us to determine when a weather event is unprecedented because of an atmospheric circulation that has never been observed in the past making it statistically impossible to say whether climate change has made the event more likely.

We specifically compare the 1992-2022 geopotential height patterns at 500 hPa (Z500) fields to fields from 1950-1980, when warming was much more limited. To account only for the atmospheric circulation which is determined by Z500 gradients we remove the offset of geopotential height which has a trend linked with anthopogenic climate change Christidis and Stott (2015) . To do so, we substract the mean value of each Z500 field to remove the mean thermodynamic contribution of global warming and focus on dynamical changes in the Z500 gradient which is directly linked to the quasi-geostrophic flow. These Z500 analogues reflect the mid-level large-scale dynamics that controls environmental conditions which can drive extreme events such as heat waves, cold waves, MCS outbreak, medicanes, tropical and extratropical cyclones and, in the present case, MCS associated with derechos. Nevertheless, one should keep in mind that sub-synoptic scale environments such as fronts also play a key role in the development of convective storms, particularly when it comes to convective initiation and the release of latent CAPE (Markowski and Richardson, 2010).

The attribution protocol described in Faranda et al. (2022) has already been applied and validated for pressure maps leading up to a series of extreme events in the year 2021, including winter storm Filomena, the French spring cold wave, the Westphalian floods, the Mediterranean summer heat wave, Hurricane Ida, the Po Valley tornado, medicane Apollo, and the Scandinavian late fall cold wave. Here we apply it for the first time ~~for the cases of derechosas follows~~to the synoptic patterns associated with historical derechos.

We ~~divide the~~ use daily averaged Z500, wind speed, 2-meters temperature, and consider daily cumulative precipitation, daily maximum CAPE and DLS computed from ERA5 (Hersbach et al., 2018) hourly fields. ERA5 is the latest climate reanalysis produced by the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) as part of the implementation of the EU-funded Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S). It provides hourly data on atmospheric, land surface and sea state parameters from 1950 to the present. The ERA5 data ~~set~~ are available on the C3S Climate Data Store on regular latitude-longitude grids at a horizontal resolution of 0.25°×0.25°. Our choice of using ERA5 data for this study is firstly motivated by the consistency of the dataset through a long period of time (73 years) which enables the possibility of detecting changes in the large dynamics. Moreover, the global nature of this datasets allows to avoid the problems of mixing data-sets from different national weather services and ensures a uniform spatial and temporal coverage. However, there are some caveats due to the long-term improvement of observation instruments including satellites that can affect the uniformity of the quality of the dataset and potentially induce spurious trends.

We also consider 2-meters temperature and accumulated rainfall from the E-OBS observational dataset v27.0 (Cornes et al., 2018) , available from https://www.ecad.eu/download/ensembles/download.php which interpolates measurements from land weather stations across Europe on a regular grid at 0.1° resolution.

We divide the datasets into two periods: ~~1950-1979 and 1993-2022~~ 1950-1980 and 1992-2022 each consisting of 30 years of daily data. We consider the first period to represent ~~a counterfactual~~ the equivalent of a "counterfactual" world with a weaker anthropogenic influence on climate than the second period, which represents our ~~factual world~~ "factual world" significantly affected by anthropogenic climate change. Here, we assume that 30 years is a long enough period to average out high-frequency interannual variability of the atmospheric motions. This time period is also recommended by the WMO for the computation of climate normals (Arguez and Vose, 2011). To account for the possible influence of low-frequency modes of natural variability in explaining the differences between the two periods, we also consider the possible roles of the El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO)~~and~~ , the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation (AMO)~~. The role of ENSO~~ , the Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO), the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO), the East Atlantic (EA) and Scandinavian (SCAND) North Atlantic patterns. The NAO, the EA and to a lesser extent ENSO have been found to have a significant role in modulating extreme precipitation events in ~~Europe has been assessed in Nobre et al. (2017) and found to be significant in~~ some regions of ~~the continent~~Europe (Nobre et al., 2017) . The role of AMO has been discussed, e.g., in Zampieri et al. (2017) who found an influence on pressure, precipitation and temperature patterns. Wei et al. (2021) found an influence of PDO on northwestern Europe extreme rainfall. Similarly, Casanueva et al. (2014) found a significant role of SCAND in autumn and spring on extreme precipitation in Europe.

For each period, we examine all daily averaged ~~sea level pressure (slp)~~ Z500 maps and select the best 29 analogues, i.e. the maps minimizing the Euclidean distance to the event map itself. The number of 29 corresponds approximately to the smallest 3‰ Euclidean distances in each subset of our data. We tested the extraction of 25 to 50 analogous maps, without finding qualitatively important differences in our results. For the factual period, as is customary in attribution studies, the event itself is suppressed. In addition, we prohibit the search for analogues within a one-week window centered on the date of the event. We also restrict the search for analogues to the ~~extended~~ season in which each event occurs (~~DJFM, MAMJ, JJAS, or SOND~~in this case the warm season : May, June, July and August). This allows us to identify possible changes in seasonality — defined as the relative frequency of analogues occurrence per calendar month — between the counterfactual and factual periods, while avoiding confounding the different physical processes that may contribute to a given class of extreme events during warm and cold seasons.

Finally, we examine the seasonality of the analogues during the relevant season and their association with ~~ENSO and AMO~~factors of natural variability (ENSO, AMO, PDO, NAO, EA, SCAND). We perform this last analysis using monthly indices from NOAA/ERSSTv5 data and retrieved from the Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute (KNMI) Climate Explorer (accessible at https://climexp.knmi.nl/selectindex.cgi). In particular, the ENSO index is version 3.4 as defined by Huang et al. (2017), and the AMO index is calculated as described in Trenberth and Shea (2006). ~~When the ENSO 3.4 index is positive, it corresponds to El Niño, and when it is negative, it corresponds to La Niña.~~ To assess the possible association of ~~ENSO and AMO~~ these different indices on circulation changes between factual and counterfactual periods, we compare the distributions of ~~ENSO and AMO indices~~ each index for the analogues of the two periods and we evaluate any significance changes between factual and counterfactual distributions by performing a two-tailed Cramér-von Mises test (Anderson, 1962) at the 0.05 significance level. If the p-value is smaller than 0.05, the null hypothesis (H = 0) that both samples are from the same distribution is rejected, and the influence of internal variability ~~(AMO or ENSO)~~ cannot be excluded. All relevant figure panels display the p-value (pval) and the H-test result in the title. Finally, we also compute the best 3‰ analogues for all the 1950-2022 ~~slp~~ Z500 dataset, without dividing it into factual and counterfactual periods and estimate a linear trend. Note that for this global quantile the total number of analogues in all decades amounts to 71. We compute the confidence interval of such a trend using the Wald method (Stein and Wald, 1947) in order to assess significance of the trends.

Following Faranda et al. (2022), we define certain quantities that support our interpretation of analogue-based assignment. All these quantities can then be compared between the counterfactual and factual periods.

- **analogue quality Q**: Q is the average Euclidean distance of a given day from its 29 closest analogues. If the value of Q for the extreme event belongs to the same distribution of its analogues then the event is not unprecedented and the attribution can be performed, if the value of Q is greater than those of its analogues the event is unprecedented and therefore not attributable.

- **Predictability Index** $D$**.** Using dynamical systems theory (Freitas et al., 2011, 2016; Lucarini et al., 2016), we can compute the local dimension D of each ~~slp~~ Z500 map (Faranda et al., 2017a, 2019). The local dimension is a proxy for the number of degrees of freedom of the field, meaning that the higher D, the more unpredictable the temporal evolution of the ~~slp~~ Z500 maps will be (Faranda et al., 2017b; Messori et al., 2017; Hochman et al., 2019). If the dimension D of the derecho event analyzed is higher or lower than that of its analogues, then the extreme will be respectively less or more predictable than the closest dynamical situations identified in the data.

- **Persistence index** $\Theta$: Another quantity derived from dynamical systems theory is the persistence $\Theta$ of a given configuration (Faranda et al., 2017a). Persistence estimates the number of days we are likely to observe a map that is an analogue of the one under consideration ~~(Moloney et al., 2019b)~~(Moloney et al., 2019a). As with Q and D, we compute the two values of persistence for the extreme event in the factual and counterfactual world and the corresponding distributions of the of persistence for the analogues.

- **Seasonality of analogues**: We can count the number of analogues in each month to detect whether there has been a shift in circulation to months earlier or later in the season. This can have strong thermodynamic implications, for example if a circulation leading to large positive temperature anomalies in early spring becomes more frequent later in the season, when average temperatures are much higher.

- **Association with ~~ENSO and AMO~~factors of natural variability**: To account for the effect of natural inter-decadal variability, we analyze the distributions of ENSO~~and AMO~~, AMO, PDO, NAO, EA and SCAND indices corresponding to the analogues of each event in the factual and counterfactual periods. If the null hypothesis that the two distributions do not differ between the two periods is rejected, we cannot rule out that the thermodynamic or dynamical differences in the analogues are partly due to these modes of natural variability, rather than anthropogenic forcing. On the other hand, if the null hypothesis of equal distributions cannot be rejected, the observed changes in the analogues are attributed to human activity.

## 3 Results

### 3.1 Detected derechos over France between 2000 and 2022

In ~~order to investigate the characteristics of the derechos, we first provide a detailed description of the synoptic conditions associated with each of the~~ total, we found twenty-nine (29) warm-season derechos that occurred over France between 2000 and 2022. A summary of the identified derechos with their start date and time, their path length, duration, intensity and affected countries is presented in Table 1. Additionally, we provide a figure with wind gusts reports in France and the path determined from the first and last reports of each derecho in supplementary material. Just like Gatzen et al. (2020a), we compute for each derecho its path length as the distance between the first and last severe wind gust reports, and the duration as the time elapsed between these two reports. We also define the intensity as in Gatzen et al. (2020a); Coniglio and Stensrud (2004) from the observed number of wind gusts reports above thresolds : if there at least 3 reports $\geq 38\,\mathrm{m\,s^{-1}}$, the event is classified as high-end, if there are at least 3 reports $\geq 33\,\mathrm{m\,s^{-1}}$, the event is classified as moderate and the others that do not satisfy these criteria are classified as low-end.




**Figure 1.** (a) Tracks of warm-season derechos that affected France between 2000 and 2022 depicted by arrows. The thin broken grey lines, thin blue lines, thick purple lines respectively represent low-end, moderate and high-end intensity derechos. (b) Heatmap of the yearly frequency of warm-season derecho computed for geographical squares of dimensions 200 km × 200 km.

Compared to Germany, we observe a slightly more frequent occurrence of warm-season derechos in France (1.26 event per year vs 1.22 per year) overall but France is about 50 % larger than Germany. Relative to the size of Germany, the

| Number |
| --- |
| 1 |
| 2 |
| 3 |
| 4 |
| 5 |
| 6 |
| 7 |
| 8 |
| 9 |
| 10 |
| 11 events that were detected in this study. This will include information on the large-scale atmospheric circulation patterns, as well as the wind and prec |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 14 |
| 15 |
| 16 |
| 17 |
| 18 |
| 19 |
| 20 |
| 21 |
| 22 |
| 23 |
| 24 |
| 25 |
| 26 |
| 27 |
| 28 |
| 29 |

**Table 1.** List of warm-season derechos that affected France between 2000 and 2022. Unless stated otherwise, intensity is assessed from reports in France only. * intensity assessed using not only weather reports from Météo-France but also reports outside of France (from ESWD or German Weather Service DWD (data accessible at https://cdc.dwd.de/portal)) or intensity assessment by Gatzen et al. (2020a)

observed frequency of warm-season derechos is lower in France (0.82 event per year). However there are important regional discrepancies as can be seen on Figure 1(a) where we plot the trajectories of all derechos as the straight arrow linking the geographical locations of the first and last reports and 1(b) where we show the average number of warm-season derechos per year per 200 km $\times$ 200 km grid cells like in Gatzen et al. (2020a) and Coniglio and Stensrud (2004), counting the number of events for which associated severe wind gusts are found in each cells. Indeed northeast of France have the highest frequency of events . By examining the synoptic conditions of these events , we will gain a better understanding of the dynamics of derecho formation. Then, we will use (culminating at 0.61 derechos per year) while Brittany (western part) has no event. This highest frequency is lower than the maximum frequency observed in Germany for the entire year and for moderate and high end events only (0.72 per year) so the frequency might be comparable for the warm season and all events. However, warm-season derechos are much more frequent in the USA with up to 1.9 event per year for equal size grid cell (Coniglio and Stensrud, 2004) in the Southern Plains or the Midwest (Guastini and Bosart, 2016). Most of the trajectories have a northeastern direction, in agreement with German warm-season derechos. Furthermore, looking at the daily averaged 500 hPa geopotential height pattern (shown for each event in supplementary figures), we mainly observe southwesterly flow associated with the events consistent with the preferred development of extratropical MCS ahead of a trough or a cut-off low Coniglio et al. (2004); Yang et al. (2017); Houze (20 . From the map of trajectories, there seems to be 3 main typical paths for derechos in France: i) one type of path originates in the southwest in Nouvelle Aquitaine region and move in a north-northeast direction; ii) another type of path starts in the east or south and moves to the east-northeast to Switzerland and/or southern Germany stopping at the foot of the Alps, or passing north of the Alps, matching most of the warm-season events identified by Gatzen et al. (2020a); iii) a last type begins in the center or northeast of France and moves through the northern plains to the north-northeast towards the Benelux and/or Germany. The 2022 derecho that affected Corsica stands apart as it originated near the Balearic islands in the Mediterranean sea and no similar event is found, but there could have been similar events that we didn't detect as Corsica is a rather small isolated region and there is no or few weather stations at sea it is difficult to detect such an event using our methodology. This derecho also affected many other countries including Italy and Austria so one should probably use station data from several Mediterranean countries, and/or include overwater stations like Gatzen et al. (2020a) to study these derechos.

We present histograms of path length, duration, intensity, and month of occurrence of observed derechos on Figure 2. Most of the identified events are of low-end (48 %) or moderate (45 %) intensity with only 2 high-end intensity events (7 %) (Figure 2 (a)). In comparison with derechos identified by Gatzen, we found smaller fractions of moderate (54 %) and high-end (14 %) intensity events and larger fraction of low-end events (32 %). However, as previously noted, we assess the intensity using only weather stations reports in France in most of the cases, so by obtaining station data from other countries, one might obtain upgraded intensities. The average path length is 660 km, similar to the attribution framework previously described in this study to examine the potential role of climate change in modifying the characteristics of these events . This will involve comparing the synoptic conditions 620 km found for Germany cases, and we observe a large fraction of events having a rather short path length (66 %) i.e. shorter than the 650 km threshold suggested by Corfidi et al. (2016) to revise the definition of derechos, while medium (650 $\leq$ length $\leq$ 950 km) and long path length ($>$ 950 km) have about the same fraction (17 % or 5 events each). We observe consistent results for the duration of the events during the distant past (1950-1979)with a majority of duration lower than 7 hours. From these results, that derechos in France are less frequent and intense than derechos in the USA (about 10 per year) as was also noticed in Germany (Gatzen et al., 2020a). Regarding the start time of derechos (histogram not shown), we observe similar results as Gatzen et al. (2020a) with a peak at near noon and a second one in the end in the afternoon with however a shift of the late afternoon peak later in the day (between 16:00 and the recent past (1993-2022) to detect any potential changes. 17:00 compared to between 15:00 and 16:00 in Germany) and more events starting in the night or the late evening. The derecho frequency is very dependent on the particular year as we observe up to 5 events in a single year in 2003, or 4 events in 2018 while we observe no events for some years (2002, 2007, 2008, 2016, 2017). Some events also happen successively as observed in Germany by Gatzen et al. (2020a) with two successive events on 26 and 27 July 2013, on 4 and 5 June 2019 or on 19 and 20 June 2021 for instance. Interestingly, we do not observe a peak of occurrence in July as for derechos in Germany or USA Coniglio and Stensrud (2004), but similar occurrence frequency between July and August (34 % in each month). This is probably linked to the near presence of Mediterranean sea and Atlantic Ocean that are still a sufficient source of moisture and heat late in the season to enable significant instability and the development of severe convective storms while this is not the case far inland like in Germany of the Great Plains in the US. We indeed observe that 8 out of 10 events occurring in August, have initiated in the south, either close to the Bay of Biscay or the Mediterranean sea. It is indeed well known that southern France is prone to extreme convective rainfall episodes in the fall season Fumière et al. (2020); Ribes et al. (2019); Taszarek et al. (2019) . This suggests we should perhaps include the month of September, and possibly October in the warm-season for studying derechos in southern Europe in future studies.

### 3.2 Derecho of August 18, 2022

On August 18, 2022, the synoptic situation featured a cut-off low over France and a surface minimum over Corsica while there was a high pressure system over the Atlantic.

### 3.2 Results of attribution for the 18 August 2022 derecho

We begin by analyzing in detail the result of the attribution analysis using the analogues methodology for the 2022 Corsica derecho to show how the results in the different variables and metrics are interpreted. As described in section Section 1, a MCS developed and moved to the northeast, affecting Corsica, Northern Italy, Slovenia, Austria and Czechia within 12 hours, with the production of strong wind gusts , severe hail and heavy rainfall along a 1000 km axis along with severe hail and heavy rainfall in some locations. For a detailed meteorological report, see for example ESSL (2022). The track reconstructed from ERA5 dataset is presented on Figure ??k)Figure 3 shows the wind reports from Météo-France in Corsica and the trajectory of the storm.

Figure 4 shows the results of the attribution study of the synoptic configuration associated with the episode. The domain analyzed is given in ??. The slp Z500 field of the event (Figure 4a) has been used for the search of 29 analogues for the counterfactual and factual periods. Their average is displayed respectively in 4b,c). We do not observe significant differences in the pressure field when subtracting counterfactual from factual analogues (Figure 4d). On the contrary, we observe that temperatures (Figure 4e-h) are significantly warmer (Figure 4h) in the recent period, especially over land, including the

~~Tracking of derechos over France. For each event, the track of hourly maxima of wind gusts (circle markers) and precipitation (square markers) over the selected area (red rectangle) are represented from the initial tracking time (first maximum position stressed by a star marker) . The facecolor of each marker codes the corresponding value of wind gust, as shown on the top colorbar. We also represent the cumulated precipitation during the tracking time with color shadings (bottom colorbar). (a) derecho of July 25 and 26 1983. (b) derecho of August 17, 2003. (c) derecho of July 12, 2010. (d) derecho of July 26 and 27, 2013). (e) derecho of January 3, 2014. (f) derecho of January 25, 2014. (g) derecho of August 8, 2014. (h) derecho of September 16, 2015. (i) derecho of March 2, 2016 tracked between. (j) derecho of April 29, 2018. (k) derecho of August 18, 2022.~~




**Figure 2.** Statistics of observed warm-season derechos over France between 2000 and 2022. (a) Intensity defined from the number of reports exceeding given wind gusts speed thresholds (high : at least 3 reports $> 38\,\mathrm{m\,s^{-1}}$, moderate : at least 3 reports $> 33\,\mathrm{m\,s^{-1}}$, low : all remaining events). (b) Path length of derechos computed from the distance between first and last severe wind gusts reports. (c) Duration defined as the elapsed time between the first and last reports. (d) Month of occurence.

~~Mediterranean Sea except over the Gulf of Genoa. This provides an increased amount of convective potential energy, through the transport of warm and moist air in the low-level jet. The atmospheric pattern of~~ observed some significant changes in the circulation pattern, namely a relative deepening of the low pressure system on the North Sea and a strengthening of the Eastern European high pressure system which reinforces the gradient and thus the mid-level wind downstream of the ~~factual period is further associated with higher precipitation over Tuscany and lower precipitation over the French and Spanish coasts (Figure 4i-l), consistent with more intense transport of warm, moist air from the southeast. Finally winds (Figure 4m-p)are generally~~




**Figure 3.** Trajectory of 18 August 2022 derecho and position of severe wind gusts reports from Météo-France in Corsica colored by their timestamp. The triangles represent extremely severe wind gusts ($> 38\,\mathrm{m\,s^{-1}}$), the rectangle represent medium severe wind gusts ($> 33\,\mathrm{m\,s^{-1}}$) and the circles represent other severe wind gusts ($> 25\,\mathrm{m\,s^{-1}}$). The time stamp of the first and last reports idenfied from ESWD are shown in the title.

stronger on the coasts of Provence (Mistral wind) and the Thyrrenian casts of central Italy (Libeccio wind)cut-off low. This change is consistent with higher temperatures over Eastern Europe while it is difficult to make any statement about which is one is the cause or the consequence. We also observe significant increase of temperature around the Mediterranean sea with no significant change over the sea itself for which one should probably look preferably at sea surface temperatures to see a signal. We observe a significant decrease of precipitation over Northern Italy and a significant increase in northeastern Europe which could be partly explained by the shift in the atmospheric flow. The same results are found for EOBS dataset (figures provided in supplementary material). This can contribute to orographic effect over Tuscany increasing the amount of precipitationsWhen examining daily maximum CAPE (Figure 5), we observe a significant increase over the Mediterranean, matching well with the very large values observed in 18 August 2022. We note that ERA5 values for CAPE are unrealistically large for the 2022 derecho (locally $>$ 5000 J/kg), which is a known issue, highlighted in ERA5 documentation. As for deep layer shear 6, we find no significant signal along the path of the MCS.

The quality of the analogues (Figure 4q) shows that this circulation is relatively common compared to the rest of the analogues with no changes in the two periods. We do not detect visible changes in predictability D (Figure 4r) but nor persistence Θ increases in the factual world (Figure 4s) relative to the counterfactual world.

The seasonal occurrence of analogues (Figure 4t) is quite consistent with the months of thunderstorm occurrence in this area, with a maximum during August; however, we observe a general shift toward analogues occurring earlier or later in the season during the factual period, with a decrease in small shift from June towards July.

The changes in ENSO (Figure 4u) are not statistically significant while AMO distributions have a significant shift between the two periods (Figure 4v) , and so do the Scandinavian pattern (SCAND) (no shown here) suggesting a possible role of the inter-decadal variability of the Atlantic Circulation in the occurrence of these patterns natural variability in accounting for the observed changes. When comparing the patterns of sea-level pressure, surface temperature and precipitation characteristic of AMO in Europe (Zampieri et al., 2017) with the patterns of changes found here, we find a very good agreement suggesting that AMO might be the major factor explaining the observed changes. Finally, when computing analogues for the whole period and counting their frequency per decade, we observe a significant increase, leading to about one more event each decade no significant trend (Figure 4w).

To summarize, our analysis shows that there is no significant change between past and present in the cut-off low dynamics resulting from the best analogues of the Corsica derecho. Nevertheless, the surface temperatures associated with the cut-off lows are higher in the factual period. We find a significant signal of increased precipitation over Tuscany in the current climate which, without change in circulation, can be explained by the very high temperature of the Mediterranean Sea and the increased winds blowing over Tuscany. We can exclude the role of the climatic variability of el Nino (ENSO) but not that of the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation (AMO).

Attribution for the 18 August 2022 derecho storm. Daily mean sea-level pressure slp (a), 2-meter temperatures t2m (e), total precipitation tp (i), wind-speed wspd (m) on the day of the event. Average of the 29 sea-level pressure analogues found for the counterfactual 1950-1979(b) and factual 1993-2022(c) periods and corresponding 2-meter temperatures (f,g), daily precipitation rate (j,k) and wind speed (n,o). $\Delta$slp (d), $\Delta$t2m (h), $\Delta$tp (i) and $\Delta$wspd (p) between factual and counterfactual periods: colored-filled areas show significant anomalies with respect to the bootstrap procedure. Violin plots for counterfactual (blue) and factual (orange) periods for the analogues Quality Q (q) the Predictability index D (r), the Persistence index $\Theta$ (s) and the distribution of analogues in each month (t). Violin plots for counterfactual (blue) and factual (orange) periods for ENSO (u) and AMO (v). The number of analogues per decade (blue) and its linear trend (black) in (w). Values for the peak day of the extreme event are marked by a dot. Titles in (q–v) report the results of the Cramér-von Mises test H and the pvalue pval. Title in panel (w) includes the value of the linear trend slope and its confidence interval CI in square brackets.

### 3.3 Previous reported derechos over France

In section ??, we analyzed changes in temperature, precipitation and wind speed between factual and counterfactual periods using analogues of the synoptic pattern associated with a single derecho storm (that of August 18, 2022) . In this section, we consider previous reported derechos over France in order to evaluate whether robust changes of atmospheric observables can be established between the two periods for the different synoptic situations that produced historical derechos. For the subsequent analyses we will refer to appendice figures A1-A10 and only comment on features relevant for our discussion.

#### 3.2.1 26-07-1983

The derecho of July 26, 1983 occurred within a series of three successive convective storms that happened between July 25 and 27, 1983 in a very warm and unstable context. The synoptic configuration corresponded to the so-called Spanish plume, featuring a cut-off low located near the north-western part of Iberian Peninsula and high pressure systems over Central Europe and Scandinavia. This configuration is known to produce severe thunderstorms over Western Europe as it brings warm air from North Africa to France and England, moisturized at the low levels by its passing over the Mediterranean sea. The storm that originated from south of Navarre (Spain) crossed the Pyrenees and caused widespread damage due to intense precipitation and extreme wind gusts of up to 200 km/h along an axis covering a distance of more than 800 km from southwest to north of France. The track reconstructed from ERA5 dataset is presented on Figure **??**a). For a detailed meteorological analysis, see the report of Keraunos (2013a) about this thunderstorms outbreak.

The analogues analysis is presented on Figure **??**. We observe no significant change in circulation pattern (a–d) between the two periods. However, there is a increase of temperature (e–h)on France except on the Mediterranean coast. For precipitation (i–l), we observe a slight increase on the west coast of France from Arcachon to Nantes, with a slight decrease inland. The wind speed (m–p) has increased on the western part of Massif Central and offshore the Côte d'Argent. Analogues quality (q) for the event is very low compared to that of the rest of the analogues for the counterfactual period. The event become instead more common in the factual period as the analogues quality get comparable to that of the event. The predictability of the particular event (r) has increased (lower local dimension)but on average, the predictability of the analogues has decreased (higher local dimension ). The persistence (s) has increased between the counterfactual and the factual period for both the particular event and its analogues. We observe (t) a shift of analogues toward late summer (August), with a corresponding decrease in July. The change in the distribution of ENSO index (u) is at the limit of significance and we also cannot exclude the influence of AMO (v). Finally, we do not observe any significant trend in the frequency of analogues (w).

#### 3.2.1 17-08-2003

The configuration on August 17, 2003 featured an upper-level trough located over the Iberian Peninsula. The left exit region of the jet produced upper-level divergence over the coast of the province of Castellón, which induced an area of upward vertical motion initiating deep convection. The derecho emanated from a mesoscale convective complex (MCC) and affected an area along an 550 km long axis from the south of Catalonia (Spain) to the north of Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur (France) with maximum wind gusts of 180 km/h recorded. The track reconstructed from ERA5 dataset is presented on Figure **??** (b). See López (2007b) for a detailed analysis.

The attribution analysis is presented on Figure **??**. We do not observe a significant changeof circulation (a–d), while there is significant change of temperatures (e–h) with the factual period being much warmer on the whole selected area than the counterfactual period. However, we do not observe a significant change of precipitation (i–l) apart from a slight decrease in some areas of France and Spain. As for wind speed (m–p), we detect a decrease over the Gulf of Lion. The quality of the analogues (q) is good in the two periods. There is no change in predictability (r) or persistence (s). We observe no shifts in the seasonal frequency of analogues (t). Finally, we cannot rule-out neither a role of ENSO (u) nor AMO (v) in accounting for the observed changes . No trends in analogues frequency over time is detected (w)

### 3.2.1 12-07-2010

The weather configuration of July 12, 2010 displayed a high level trough over the British Isles and high pressures over Central Europe andScandinavia. Over western France, there were a cold front driven by a low tropopause anomaly. The configuration is typical of those leading to warm-season derechos over Germany described by Gatzen et al. (2020b). In the upper troposphere, the simultaneous configuration of right entry/left exit of jet streamcreated strong divergence which leaded to the development of a MCS with characteristics of a mesoscale convective complex (MCC) and a derecho. The storm whose path was more than 1500 km long, started from center-west of France to north of France to finally reach Belgium, Netherlands, Germany and Denmark. Wind gusts up to 130 km/h were recorded by Météo-France. The strong winds and precipitation caused various damage (Keraunos, 2010). The track reconstructed from ERA5 dataset is presented on Figure **??** (c).

The analogues analysis is presented on Figure **??**. There is no significant change in the circulation pattern (a–d), however there is a significant increase of temperature (e–h) over the whole area, which is stronger on the continent. We also observe an increase in precipitation (i–l) in the Bay of Biscay and over the mountain ranges (Massif Central, Alps, Jura) except for the Pyrenees for which there is a decrease of precipitation. No significant changes in wind patterns are detected (m–p). The quality of the analogues (q) is good in the two periods. There is no change in predictability (r) or persistence (s). The analogues are becoming more frequent in July and August and less frequent in September (t). On one hand, the difference of ENSO index (u) is not significant, but on the other hand, we cannot exclude the influence of AMO (v). There is an increasing frequency of analogues with time (w).

### 3.2.1 26,27-07-2013

In the night between July 26 and July 27, 2013, an MCC developed within a fast south/southwest flow, driven by a minimum positioned over the Atlantic. The jet stream was then stretched on a Portugal - England - Denmark axis. The storm initiated near the coast of Aquitaine and moved towards north of France. Strong wind gusts up to 170 km/h were recorded along a 400 km axis (Keraunos, 2013b). The track reconstructed from ERA5 dataset is presented on Figure **??** (d) .

The analogues analysis is presented on Figure **??**. We observe a slight weakening of the low pressure system located in the English Channel (a–d). The temperature are much warmer in the factual period (e–h), with a significant increase of precipitation on the north-western part of France (i–l), with a concurrent decrease of precipitation on the south-eastern part of France. No significant signals are observed for the wind patterns (m–p). The analogue quality is good for both periods (q). There is no significant change of predictability (r) and persistence (s) .

We observe a shift of the number of analogues (t) from the the late (August, September) to the early season (June, July). The influence of ENSO can be excluded (u), but not that of AMO (v) and no trends in analogues frequency are detected (w).

### 3.2.1 03-01-2014

The synoptic situation on January 3, 2014 was dominated by a deep low-pressure system (core pressure of 949 hPa) over the North Atlanticwith the local minimum close to Scotland. The MCS developed in behind an occluded front within a southwesterly flow. The storm passed over France, Benelux and northwest Germany on a path long of about 650km (see Mathias et al. (2019b) for a detailed analysis). The track reconstructed from ERA5 dataset is presented on Figure ?? (e).

The analogues analysis is presented on figure ??.

The circulation pattern (a–d) doesn't change much except for a slightly lower pressure on the western coast of England. The temperatures (e–h) are warmer in Scotland, northern France, the Alps, Benelux, northwestern Germany and south of Scandinavia. We observe significant increase of precipitation in Scotland and southern Norway (i–l). As for wind speed, there is a significant increase in the northern part of North Sea and on the Brittany and Normandy (m–p). The analogues quality (q)is common in comparison with that of the other analogues in both periods.There is a detected change in predictability with a decrease of the local dimension (r), while there is no change in persistence (s) . The analogues tends to happen later in the season (s), namely more in January and less in December. The change in ENSO is not significant (u) . Finally, we cannot exclude the role of AMO (v) and no significant trends in frequency of occurence over time are detected (w)

### 3.2.1 25-01-2014

On January 25, 2014, there were a NAO+ pattern (positive North-Atlantic Oscillation phase) along with a high pressure over Scandinavia and a relatively low pressure system over central Europe. The progression of an unstable cold front over England, northern France and the Benelux countries, associated with a large trough on the North Sea, led to the development of a mesoscale convective system with derecho characteristics. Wind gusts up to 130 km/h were recorded along a main axis of more than 500 km (Keraunos, 2014b). The track reconstructed from ERA5 dataset is presented on Figure ??f).

The analogues analysis is presented on Figure ??. There is a significant deepening in the slp patterns (a–d) although the cyclonic curvature much more pronounced during the particular event than in the analogues suggesting a poor quality of the analogues. Temperatures are warmer in the factual period over the North Sea, Scotland and Scandinavia (e–h). The precipitation is larger on the western coast (i–l) of Norway and the wind speed has increased over the North Sea in the factual period (m–p). As announced before, the analogue quality (q) is poor for the counterfactual period and slightly better but still poorer than that of most of the other analogues in the factual period. This means there are no good analogues in the past, and there is a significant amount of analogues that occur in the last decade as emphasized in (w) . Therefore, this circulation was unprecedented before the 2010s, and the comparison between the two periods may not be meaningful as there are not enough circulation pattern analogues in the counterfactual period. No signficant changes in predictability (r), persistence (s) , frequency of occurence per season (t), ENSO (u) and AMO (v) indices are observed.

### 3.2.1 08-08-2014

This large-scale stormy episode developed within a rapid west/south-west flow, driven by an upper-level minimum located north-west of Ireland. A branch of the jet stream stretched over the near Atlantic to Brittany, and then moved up towards the North Sea. The high altitude flow was strongly diffluent in the southwest of France, positioned in a right entry configuration, leading to the development of a quasi-linear convective system with a line echo wave patternthat moved eastward from Nouvelle-Aquitaine to Occitanie (Keraunos, 2014a). The track reconstructed from ERA5 dataset is presented on Figure **??** (g).

The analogues analysis is presented on Figure **??**. We detect a deepening of the low pressure system off the coast of Britanny (a–d). The temperature (e–h) are significantly warmer almost everywhere on the seleted area. There is a significant increase of precipitation (i–l) over the English Channel, the Pyrenees and north-wester part of Massif Central. The wind speed (m–pà has increased offshore in the Bay of Biscay but has decreased in the Gulf of Lion and on the coast of Normandy. The analogue quality (q) is good in both periods. The predictability (r)doesn't change but there is an an increase in persistence (s)on average in the factual period. The analogues are getting more frequent in July and less frequent in June and August (t). Finally, the difference in ENSO index (u)is at the limit of significance and we cannot exclude the role of AMO (v). No trends in frequency of occurrence in time have been detected (w).

### 3.2.1 16-09-2015

On September 16, 2015 a trough associated with a low pressure located over Brittany arrived from the Bay of Biscay over the Atlantic coast of France. The northeast of France was in a left exit region of the jet directed from west-southwest to north-east. Moreover, the low-level of advection of warm and moist air produced instability. In this context, a MCS developed and adopted a line echo wave pattern that affected an area along an axis of 400 km between Nièvres French department and Luxembourg and south-east of Belgium (Keraunos, 2015). The track reconstructed from ERA5 dataset is presented on Figure **??**h).

The analysis with the analogues is presented on Figure **??**. There is a large discrepancy between the circulation pattern during the event and the average pattern of the best analogues in counterfactual and factual periods (a–d). There are significantly warmer temperatures (e–h) over the Atlantic, the Mediterranean Sea and the Alps. We observe an increase of precipitation (i–l) in the factual period on the Atlantic coast near Bordeaux and north to the coast of Spain and Brittany, while there is decrease of precipitation in southern France and inland of Spain. The wind speed has significantly increased in the Bay of Biscay and in the English Channel (m–p). Therefore, the attribution cannot be performed due to the lack of analogues situations in the extended summer season. We decided to keep the analogues search in summer for this event, for coherence with most of the other events studied.However, we notice that the quality of analogues (q) is very low in both periods suggesting that this event configuration is unprecedented or that this circulation is not typical of summer months. In light of this, the metrics in panels (r-w) cannot be trusted.

### 3.2.1 02-03-2016

The configuration on March 3, 2016 featured a pressure minimum over the North Sea, near Scotland, with a concurrent strong Azores anticyclone. A secondary cold front associated with a trough approached the French Channel coast. A squall line developed within the front and affected France over a large area along a northwest-southeast axis of over 500 km. Wind gusts up to 140 km/h were recorded (Keraunos, 2016). The track reconstructed from ERA5 dataset is presented on Figure ??i).

Figure ?? presents the attribution analysis. There is no significant change in the circulation pattern (a–d) except a higher pressure in the factual period over western Spain and in the Mediterranean Sea south to Nice. The temperature (e–h) is higher in the factual period on all Spain, the Mediterranean Sea and south of France. There is an increase of precipitation (i–l) over south of France and Brittany. As for wind speed (m–p) , it has increased in the factual period over the Atlantic, the north of Spain and Massif Central, while it has decreased over the Gulf of Lion. The analogues quality (q) is good for both periods in comparison with the distribution. Predictability (r) is higher and persistence (s) is lower in the factual period compared to the counterfactual period. There is an observed decrease of analogues in January and increase in the other months of extended winter between the counterfactual and factual period (t). In this case, we cannot rule out the influence of ENSO (u) but that of AMO (v). No trends in frequency of occurrence in time have been detected (w).

### 3.2.1 29-04-2018

The situation on April 29, 2018 featured a minimum on western-central France with high pressure on central-eastern Europe. A MCS developed from Nièvres department and moved along a south-southwest to north-northeast, 450 km long axis until Belgium (Keraunos, 2018). The track reconstructed from ERA5 dataset is presented on Figure ?? (j).

The attribution results are presented on Figure ??. We observe a slight decrease of pressure (a–d) over Spain between the factual and counterfactual period. There is no signal of change in temperature (e–h) except over the Alps. We observe increased precipitation (i–l) over the Pyrenees in the factual period and no changes in wind patterns (m–p). The quality of analogues (q) for the event is good compared to that of its analogues. There is no detected change in predictability (r) or persistence (s) between the two periods. We observe an increase of the occurrences of analogues in the early season with a subsequent decrease in the later season (t). In this case we cannot rule out the influence of ENSO (u) nor that of AMO (v). There seems to be a trend in the frequency (w) of analogues with an increase in frequency over time.

In conclusion, the study provides an in-depth analysis of recent derechos in France that occurred during the satellite era. We identified eleven (11) events and provided their reconstructed tracks using the ERA5 reanalysis dataset. We also investigated the potential role of climate change in modifying the characteristics of circulation patterns associated with historical derechos through their analogues . We compared cyclonic atmospheric circulations (low pressure systems) that can lead to derechos in the distant past (1950-1979) and in the recent past (1993-2022). A summary of our findings is reported in Table ?? and it is constructed by a semi-objective analysis of all results presented in this paper. We found that the synoptic patterns associated with 25-01-2014 and 16-09-2015 derechos are unprecedented, namely no good analogues can be found either in the counterfactual or factual periods and attribution statements cannot be made on the basis of the present analysis. For most of the other events, we found a significant signal of increased precipitation in the recent period which, without change in circulation, is explained by the higher temperatures over land and/or over the Bay of Biscay and the Mediterranean Sea. However, there is not a clear change in the depth of the low pressure systems that trigger these events: only one event show more anticyclonic pressure pattern (26, 27-07-2013) while all other events show no changes or a slight deepening of low pressure patterns associated with derechos. Additionally, we examined the influence of climate variability factors such as ENSO and AMO on derechos, and we found that the role of the climate variability of ENSO can be excluded in most of the events, while the influence of the AMO in favoring low pressure systems possibly leading to derechos cannot be ruled out.

### 3.3 Overall changes in synoptic patterns and environmental proxies

For each event, the same figures presenting the results of the attribution as for the 2022 event are provided in supplementary material. The overall results are summarized in Table 2. We show for each event whether there is a significant change or not in the distributions of analogues quality (average Euclidean distance to the best 29 analogues), dynamical indicators (local dimension D and persistence Θ) and indices of factor of natural variability (ENSO, NAO, AMO, PDO, EA, SCAND). For the dynamical indicators of analogues quality (Q), local dimension (D) and persistence (Θ) and for the frequency trend we specify the sign of the change in the mean value by "+" for an increase or "-" for a decrease, and we left the cell blank in case of no significant change. For atmospheric variables or parameters (t2m, tp for which we consider EOBS, and CAPE and DLS for which we use ERA5) we use the same notation. For natural variability indices, we put 1 when there is a significant change, and left the cell blank otherwise. We mark the same way significant changes in Z500 field which can feature complex changes in the synoptic configuration such as a dipolar or tripolar structure which translates into changes in the flow intensity and/or direction, including the jet stream.

For 38 % of the events, we observe a significantly reduced average distance (Q) of each analogue compared to its own analogues, which might indicate that these patterns are more common in the recent period. However, this does not necessarily translates in a significant increasing trend frequency of the analogues. Indeed, there are only 5 events (17 %) for which we observe a significant increasing trend in the frequency of their Z500 analogues. The values are between 1.7 and 2.4 analogues per decade which is lower in average than the trends observed in average for the Z500 increasing patterns in the North Atlantic and Europe found in Faranda et al. (2023). Two (2) of the increasing patterns observed here (associated with the 2019-06-04 and 2001-08-15 events) match well with the composite of Z500 increasing patterns observed in this study. The pattern features a low-pressure anomaly in the Eastern Atlantic, off the coast of Britanny creating a southwesterly over the British Isles, which resembles the Spanish Plume, and a cut-off low over Greece. This suggests that this pattern known for its association with severe convective weather might become more common in the future.

We observe opposite results depending on the event for the local dimension (D), which is a proxy of predictability, with only three increasing and three decreasing cases. As for the persistence (Θ), we observe more often a significant decrease of persistence (30 %) of the patterns, while in (7 %) we observe an increase of persistence.

Apart one event for each no good analogue can be found (event n°12, 25 May 2009) – as the distance of the event to the best analogues is in the upper tail of the distribution – we find good quality analogues for all the events, which means the comparison between the analogues in the two periods is meaningful. However, we almost always notice significant changes in the Z500 field which implies we must be very careful in making attribution statements for the observed changes on the diagnostic variables (t2m, tp, CAPE and DLS).

| ~~Date~~ Number | ~~Δ slp~~ Q | ~~Δ t2m~~ D | ~~Δ tp~~ Θ | ~~Δ wspd~~ Freq. trend | ~~Δ Q~~ z500 | ~~Δ d~~ t2m | ~~Δθ~~ tp | CAPE | DLS | ~~Δ~~ ENSO |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ~~25, 26-07-1983~~ 1 | ~~0~~ | ~~+~~ - | | ~~+~~ | 1 | + | + | | - | |
| 2 | | | | | 1 | + | + | + | ~~Yes~~ | |
| ~~17-08-2003~~ 3 | ~~0~~ | | | + | 1 | + | - | + | | 1 |
| 4 | - | - | ~~0~~ - | | 1 | + | ~~Yes~~ | | | 1 |
| ~~12-07-2010~~ 5 | ~~0~~ - | | | + | 1 | + | ~~0~~ | ~~0~~ + | ~~0~~ + | ~~0~~ 1 |
| ~~26, 27-07-2013~~ 6 | | | + | | 1 | | + | + | | 1 |
| 7 | - | ~~0~~ | ~~0~~ | ~~0~~ | ~~0~~ 1 | + | ~~Yes~~ - | + | | 1 |
| ~~03-01-2014~~ 8 | | | | | 1 | | | | | 1 |
| 9 | - | | | | | + | ~~N.A.~~ + | + | ~~0~~ + | ~~-~~ 1 |
| 10 | | | - | ~~Yes~~ | 1 | | + | | | |
| ~~25-01-2014~~ 11 | | + | + | | 1 | + | + | + | | 1 |
| 12 | - | | | | 1 | + | ~~N.A.~~ + | + | ~~0~~ | ~~0~~ 1 |
| ~~08-08-2014~~ 13 | - | | | | 1 | + | - | + | ~~N.A.~~ - | |
| 14 | | + | - | ~~0~~ | 1 | + | ~~0~~ | + | | 1 |
| ~~16-09-2015~~ 15 | - | - | | | 1 | | + | ~~N.A.~~ | + | ~~0~~ 1 |
| 16 | | | | | 1 | | + | + | | 1 |
| 17 | - | ~~0~~ | - | | 1 | + | | | - | |
| ~~02-03-2016~~ 18 | ~~0~~ | | - | | 1 | + | | | - | 1 |
| 19 | - | | | + | 1 | + | ~~0~~ + | + | | 1 |
| 20 | | | | | 1 | | | | - | |
| 21 | | | | | 1 | + | - | | | 1 |
| 22 | | | | | 1 | | | + | + | |
| 23 | - | ~~0~~ | - | + | 1 | + | | + | | 1 |
| ~~29-04-2018~~ 24 | ~~0~~ | ~~0~~ | - | | 1 | + | ~~N.A.~~ | ~~0~~ + | ~~0~~ | ~~0~~ 1 |
| 25 | - | | | + | 1 | + | + | + | | 1 |
| ~~18-08-2022~~ 26 | ~~0~~ | + | - | | 1 | + | + | ~~0~~ + | ~~0~~ | 1 |
| 27 | | | - | ~~0~~ | 1 | + | + | + | | 1 |
| 28 | + | | | | 1 | + | + | + | | |
| 29 | | | | | 1 | + | - | + | | |

~~Summary of changes determined via a semi-objective analysis of the attribution results. "0" (white) no changes, "+" (rose) resp. "-" (cyan)indicates that positive resp. negativechanges prevail, "N.A." (purple) stands for Not Assigned and indicates that changes show regional dependencies. The last column indicate if the analogues quality Q is judged sufficient enough to perform attribution.~~

**Table 2.** Table showing the results of the attribution analysis with analogues. The columns are: Number: identification number for each derecho event; Q: analogue quality change: D: local dimension change; Θ: persistence change ; Frequency trend: trend in the number of analogues per decades (+ for increase, - for decrease, blank for no change); z500 (ERA5): changes in the Z500 pattern (1 if there are significant changes, blank otherwise); t2m (EOBS), tp (EOBS), CAPE (ERA5), DLS (ERA5): changes in those variables fields near the MCS path; ENSO, NAO, AMO, PDO, EA, SCAND: changes in the distribution of those natural variability indices between the two periods (1 if there is a significant difference, blank otherwise).

For most of the events (76 %) we observe an significant increase of temperature, as expected with anthopogenic climate change an we observe no case with significant decrease, the remaining cases show no significant change. We also find in almost half cases (48 %) an increase of precipitation while small fraction (17 %) shows a decrease of precipitation. An increase of precipitation volume is in line with the projected increase of extreme precipitation in Europe IPCC (2021); Ribes et al. (2019) , but one must keep in mind that here we are comparing average situations for a given circulation pattern, which do not necessarily each correspond to extreme precipitations events. In 69 % of the cases, we notice an increase in instability measured by CAPE and we don't find any decreasing case. This is in agreement with past findings about the increase of convective instability with global warming in the Mediterranean region Taszarek et al. (2021b). There are less significant results for DLS, with 14 % of decreasing cases and 17 % of increasing cases.

As for the influence of natural variability in accounting for these changes, we cannot rule out its influence on the observed changes. The most frequent factors involved are in the decreasing order ENSO (69 %), AMO (62 %), SCAND (45 %), PDO (41 %), EA and NAO (both 34 %).

When looking at relative frequency of occurrence in the months of the warm season (see supplementary figures, not shown in the table), the results are really case-dependant and it is thus difficult to make any general statement. More some patterns, we observe a relative increase of frequency in the late season with a decrease in earlier season and for some other patterns we observe an inverse tendency. More investigation would be needed to clarify those results, by e.g. making composites or clustering of the patterns with similar tendencies.

## 4 Conclusions

In conclusion, the study provides a 23-year climatology of warm-season derechos in France between 2000 and 2022. The events have been detected using wind gusts reports from weather station data (primarily from Météo-France and ESWD) and mapped with MCS detected using satellite imagery. We identified twenty-nine (29) events and analyzed their features in comparison with climatologies in the USA and Germany. Similarly to the observed derechos in Germany, warm-season derechos in France are much less frequent and intense than in the USA. Countrywide, we observe on average 1.26 derecho per year, while the highest local frequency is observed in northeastern France with about 0.6 derecho per year in a 200 km $\times$ 200 km grid cell. The frequency per standardized grid cell is similar but slightly lower than in Germany. Another difference is that events are more frequent in August in France the proportion of short-lived low-end intensity events is larger. As in Germany, warm-season derechos are associated with southwesterly flow and most of the storms move in a northeastern direction. Derecho-producing MCS in the warm-season tends to develop primarily in the center north, the southwest and the east but not much in the west.

We also investigated the potential role of climate change in modifying the characteristics of the atmospheric circulation and environmental conditions associated with historical derechos through the analogues of circulation patterns defined by 500 hPa geopotential height. We compared the weather patterns in a relative distant past (1950-1980) and in a more recent past (1992-2022). We observed significant increase of maximum daily CAPE, notably around the Mediterranean basin, and 2 m-temperature along with changes in the Z500 patterns for most events. Natural variability cannot be excluded in accounting for the observed changes, particularly the ENSO and AMO, for most events and further investigation is necessary to understand better the role of each of these factors and anthropogenic climate change.

It is important to note that this study has some limitations. One limitation is the sample size of derechos , which is limited to only 11 events during the satellite era. A larger sample size would have improved the statistical significance of the results. Additionally, the study is based on reanalysis data , which have limitations in terms of accuracy Indeed, the methodology we used for the detection of derechos rely on semi-objective analysis, with a significant part of manual subjective decisions notably for the selection of days prior checking the existence of associated MCS and mapping the wind gusts reports with the MCS. The use of radar data would be useful to better assess the characteristics of the detected MCS which is diffult to do with satellite imagery because of the limited temporal and spatial resolution. Also, the study only focused on France and the results may not be extended to other regions. The study also does not take into account the potential impact of land use and land cover changes, as well as other surface variables on the derecho formation. Furthermore, the study does not provide a clear explanation for the unprecedented events, and more research is needed to understand the dynamics of these events.

Alternatively, or in complement, one could use lightning datasets such as EUCLID Schulz et al. (2016) to check the existence and track convective systems. Moreover, we mainly used weather stations data from Météo-France, which limits the accuracy of the detection near the national borders and while ESWD has proved very useful to provide severe wind gusts records and damage reports, it would be better to use other national station data or international SYNOP stations to precisely assess the intensity of each event and to improve the detection procedure. It would also be desirable to have an automated detection algorithm for detecting derechos as a manual identification is laborious and implies many flaws. Finally, a full-year climatology of derechos in France is still missing, and we could probably include the month of September in the warm-season for future studies. Based on the results and the limitations of this study, it is clear that further research is needed to better understand the statistics and dynamics of derechos and their potential link to climate change . One area of focus could be increasing the sample size of derechos, e.g. by including data from different countries, to improve the statistical significance of the resultsin France and Europe. Another area of focus could be gathering more detailed observations and data on the characteristics of the low pressure systems that trigger derechos, to better understand the dynamics of these systems.High-resolution environmental conditions and dynamics associated with derechos using e.g. proximity soundings Evans and Doswell (2001); Gatzen et al. (2020a) , or model output from high-resolution reanalyses such as the forthcoming ERA6 or the analyses provided by non-hydrostatic convection permitting (Coppola et al., 2021) weather models such as WRF, ICON or AROMEcould also provide valuable insights on the behavior of derechos and their potential link to climate change. Unfortunately we do not dispose of sufficiently long time series to use the existing data for the purpose of attributionexplored in this study . Research could also investigate the role of other climate variability factors such as the Arctic Oscillation and North Atlantic Oscillation (Hurrell et al., 2003) in the formation of derechos

Our attempt to analyse changes in synoptic conditions and convective environmental parameters characterizing instability (daily maximum CAPE) and wind shear (daily maximum 0-6 km wind shear or deep layer shear) and their potential links to natural variability and anthropogenic climate change has also some limitations and a more detailed analysis of the observed patterns associated with derechos is necessary to be able to make more convincing statements. This includes the clustering of the Z500 patterns to study similar patterns together and check if their trends are robusts. The study also does not take into account the potential impact of land use and land cover changes, as well as other surface variables including sea surface temperature (SST). The role of internal variability could be better assessed and quantified in linking derecho occurrence and the indices of those factors. These factors may likely play a role not only in creating more or less favorable environments as we have seen for the role of AMO in the 2022 derecho case, but also in modulating the frequency of large-scale atmospheric circulation patterns that can lead to derecho formation. The potential impact of climate change on the frequency and intensity of derechos in specific regions and the potential impact favorable for the development of MCS which we haven't investigated. As for the detection of changes in physical variables and environmental parameters, we decided to compare composite fields of daily mean 2 meters-temperature, precipitation, and daily maximum CAPE and DLS but we could consider examining changes in percentiles like Taszarek et al. (2021a) to focus on changes in extreme values, as changes in average conditions can not trivially be linked with changes in extremes. We could also include more convective parameters such as convective inhibition (CIN) or relative humidity. Additionally, the study mainly focused on France and surrounding countries and the results may not be extended to other regions. The potential impact of derechos on society, infrastructure, and human people's safety is another area of interest. The (Ashley and Mote, 2005a), that one could investigate in Europe, including the link between derechos and other extreme weather events such as flash floods and heatwaves could also be studied to gain insights into the potential impact of derechos on society. Future research could also investigate the impact of land use and land cover changes on derecho formation, as well as the role of soil moisture and other surface variables in the formation of derechos.

*Code availability.* The code to compute the dynamical indicators of predictability $D$ and persistence $\Theta$ is available at https://fr.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/95768-attractor-local-dimension-and-local-persistence-computation.

The Python FLEXible object TRacKeR (PyFLEXTRKR) algorithm developed by Feng et al. (2023a) and is available at https://github.com/FlexTRKR/PyFLEXTRKR.

Other analysis codes and the database of warm-season derechosin France are available upon requests from the authors.

*Data availability.* ERA5 is the latest climate reanalysis being produced by ECMWF as part of implementing the EU-funded Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S), providing hourly data on atmospheric, land-surface and sea-state parameters together with estimates of uncertainty from 1979 to present day. ERA5 data for tracking are available on the C3S Climate Data Store on regular latitude-longitude grids at 0.25° x 0.25° resolution have been downloaded from https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu/#!/search?text=ERA5&type=dataset, accessed on 2022-10-30. The ERA5 data for attribution have been downloaded from the preprocessed http://climexp.knmi.nl

The E-OBS dataset from the EU-FP6 project UERRA (http://www.uerra.eu) and the Copernicus Climate Change Service, is available from the ECA&D project (https://www.ecad.eu).

The GPM IMERG Final Precipitation L3 Half Hourly 0.1 degree x 0.1 degree V06 and the NCEP/CPC L3 Half Hourly 4km Global (60S - 60N) Merged IR V1 are available from the Goddard Earth Sciences Data and Information Services Center (GES DISC) respectively at https://doi.org/10.5067/GPM/IMERG/3B-HH/06 and https://doi.org/10.5067/P4HZB9N27EKU.

The European Severe Weather Database (ESWD) from European Severe Storms Laboratory is accessible at https://eswd.eu/.

Weather stations data from Météo-France are available on free request for research https://publitheque.meteo.fr/.

## Appendix A: Predictability and Persistence Indices

The attractor of a dynamical system is a geometric object defined in the space hosting all the possible states of the system (phase-space). Each point $\zeta$ on the attractor can be characterized by two dynamical indicators: the local dimension $D$, which indicates the number of degrees of freedom active locally around $\zeta$, and the persistence $\Theta$, a measure of the mean residence time of the system around $\zeta$ (Faranda et al., 2017b). To determine $D$, we exploit recent results from the application of extreme value theory to Poincaré recurrences in dynamical systems. This approach considers long trajectories of a system — in our case successions of daily ~~SLP~~ Z500 latitude–longitude maps — corresponding to a sequence of states on the attractor. For a given point $\zeta$ in phase space (e.g., a given ~~SLP~~ Z500 map), we compute the probability that the system returns within a ball of radius $\epsilon$ centered on the point $\zeta$. The Freitas et al. (2010) theorem, modified by Lucarini et al. (2012), states that logarithmic returns:

$$g(x(t)) = -\log(\mathrm{dist}(x(t), \zeta)) \tag{A1}$$

yield a probability distribution such that:

$$\Pr(z > s(q)) \simeq \exp\left[-\vartheta(\zeta)\left(\frac{z-\mu(\zeta)}{\sigma(\zeta)}\right)\right] \tag{A2}$$

where $z = g(x(t))$ and $s$ is a high threshold associated to a quantile $q$ of the series $g(x(t))$. Requiring that the orbit falls within a ball of radius $\epsilon$ around the point $\zeta$ is equivalent to asking that the series $g(x(t))$ is over the threshold $s$; therefore, the ball radius $\epsilon$ is simply $e^{-s(q)}$. The resulting distribution is the exponential member of the Generalized Pareto Distribution family. The parameters $\mu$ and $\sigma$, namely the location and the scale parameter of the distribution, depend on the point $\zeta$ in phase space. $\mu(\zeta)$ corresponds to the threshold $s(q)$ while the local dimension $D(\zeta)$ can be obtained via the relation $\sigma = 1/D(\zeta)$. This is the metric of predictability introduced in Section 2.

When $x(t)$ contains all the variables of the system, the estimation of $D$ based on extreme value theory has a number of advantages over traditional methods (e.g. the box counting algorithm (Liebovitch and Toth, 1989; Sarkar and Chaudhuri, 1994)). First, it does not require to estimate the volume of different sets in scale-space: the selection of $s(q)$ based on the quantile provides a selection of different scales $s$ which depends on the recurrence rate around the point $\zeta$. Moreover, it does not require the a priori selection of the maximum embedding dimension as the observable $g$ is always a univariate time-series.

The persistence of the state $\zeta$ is measured via the extremal index $0 < \vartheta(\zeta) < 1$, an adimensional parameter, from which we extract $\Theta(\zeta) = \Delta t/\vartheta(\zeta)$. Here, $\Delta t$ is the timestep of the dataset being analysed. $\Theta(\zeta)$ is therefore the average residence time of trajectories around $\zeta$, namely the metric of persistence introduced in Section 2, and it has unit of a time (in this study days). If $\zeta$ is a fixed point of the attractor, then $\Theta(\zeta) = \infty$. For a trajectory that leaves the neighborhood of $\zeta$ at the next time iteration, $\Theta = 1$. To estimate $\vartheta$, we adopt the Süveges estimator (Süveges, 2007). For further details on the the extremal index, see Moloney et al. (2019a).

~~As in Figure 3, but for the 26 July 1983 derecho storm~~

~~As in Figure 3, but for the 17August 2003 derecho storm.~~

~~As in Figure 3, but for the 12 July 2010 derecho storm.~~

~~As in Figure 3, but for the 27 July 2013 derecho storm.~~

~~As in Figure 3, but for the 03 January 2014 derecho storm.~~

~~As in Figure 3, but for the 25 January 2014 derecho storm.~~

~~As in Figure 3, but for the 08 August 2014 derecho storm.~~

~~As in Figure 3, but for the 16 September 2015 derecho storm~~

~~As in Figure 3, but for the 02 March 2016 derecho storm~~

~~As in Figure 3, but for the 29 April 2018 derecho storm~~

*Author contributions.*

LF performed the detection and tracking of the ~~events~~derechos and the subsequent analysis. DF performed the attribution analyses. Both authors contributed to discuss the results and write the manuscript.

*Competing interests.* The authors declare no competing interests

*Acknowledgements.* The authors thank Bérengère Dubrulle for useful suggestions. The authors also thank Météo-France for providing wind gust data from its weather station network. The authors acknowledge the support of the INSU-CNRS-LEFE-MANU grant (project CROIRE), the grant ANR-20-CE01-0008-01 (SAMPRACE) and support from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No. 101003469 (XAIDA), and the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 956396 (EDIPI).

## References


Anderson, T. W.: On the distribution of the two-sample Cramer-von Mises criterion, The Annals of Mathematical Statistics, pp. 1148–1159, 1962.

Arguez, A. and Vose, R. S.: The definition of the standard WMO climate normal: The key to deriving alternative climate normals, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 92, 699–704, 2011.

Ashley, W. S. and Mote, T. L.: Derecho Hazards in the United States, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 86, 1577–1592, https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-86-11-1577, 2005a.

Ashley, W. S. and Mote, T. L.: Derecho hazards in the United States, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 86, 1577–1592, 2005b.

Battaglioli, F., Groenemeijer, P., Púčik, T., Taszarek, M., Ulbrich, U., and Rust, H.: Modelled Multidecadal Trends of Lightning and (Very) Large Hail in Europe and North America (1950–2021), Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, -1, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAMC-D-22-0195.1, 2023.

Bentley, M. L. and Mote, T. L.: A Climatology of Derecho-Producing Mesoscale Convective Systems in the Central and Eastern United States, 1986–95. Part I: Temporal and Spatial Distribution, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 79, 2527–2540, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0477(1998)079<2527:ACODPM>2.0.CO;2, 1998.

Bentley, M. L., Mote, T. L., and Byrd, S. F.: A Synoptic Climatology of Derecho Producing Mesoscale Convective Systems in the North-Central Plains, International Journal of Climatology, 20, 1329–1349, https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0088(200009)20:11<1329::AID-JOC537>3.0.CO;2-F, 2000.

Casanueva, A., Rodríguez-Puebla, C., Frías, M. D., and González-Reviriego, N.: Variability of Extreme Precipitation over Europe and Its Relationships with Teleconnection Patterns, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 18, 709–725, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-18-709-2014, 2014.

Celiński-Mysław, D. and Matuszko, D.: An Analysis of Selected Cases of Derecho in Poland, Atmospheric Research, 149, 263–281, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2014.06.016, 2014.

Celiński-Mysław, D., Palarz, A., and Taszarek, M.: Climatology and Atmospheric Conditions Associated with Cool Season Bow Echo Storms in Poland, Atmospheric Research, 240, 104 944, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2020.104944, 2020.

Christidis, N. and Stott, P. A.: Changes in the Geopotential Height at 500 hPa under the Influence of External Climatic Forcings, Geophysical Research Letters, 42, 10,798–10,806, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL066669, 2015.

Coniglio, M. C. and Stensrud, D. J.: Interpreting the Climatology of Derechos, Weather and Forecasting, 19, 595–605, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0434(2004)019<0595:ITCOD>2.0.CO;2, 2004.

Coniglio, M. C., Stensrud, D. J., and Richman, M. B.: An Observational Study of Derecho-Producing Convective Systems, Weather and Forecasting, 19, 320–337, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0434(2004)019<0320:AOSODC>2.0.CO;2, 2004.

Coppola, E., Nogherotto, R., Ciarlo', J. M., Giorgi, F., van Meijgaard, E., Kadygrov, N., Iles, C., Corre, L., Sandstad, M., and Somot, S.: Assessment of the European climate projections as simulated by the large EURO-CORDEX regional and global climate model ensemble, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 126, e2019JD032 356, iSBN: 2169-897X Publisher: Wiley Online Library, 2021.

Corfidi, S. F., Coniglio, M. C., Cohen, A. E., and Mead, C. M.: A Proposed Revision to the Definition of "Derecho", Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 97, 935–949, https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-14-00254.1, 2016.

Cornes, R. C., van der Schrier, G., van den Besselaar, E. J. M., and Jones, P. D.: An Ensemble Version of the E-OBS Temperature and Precipitation Data Sets, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 123, 9391–9409, https://doi.org/10.1029/2017JD028200, 2018.

Dotzek, N., Groenemeijer, P., Feuerstein, B., and Holzer, A. M.: Overview of ESSL's severe convective storms research using the European Severe Weather Database ESWD, Atmospheric research, 93, 575–586, 2009.

ESSL: The derecho and hailstorms of 18 August 2022, https://www.essl.org/cms/the-derecho-and-hailstorms-of-18-august-2022/, (Accessed on 04-01-2023), 2022.

Evans, J. S. and Doswell, C. A.: Examination of Derecho Environments Using Proximity Soundings, Weather and Forecasting, 16, 329–342, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0434(2001)016<0329:EODEUP>2.0.CO;2, 2001.

Faranda, D., Messori, G., Alvarez-Castro, M. C., and Yiou, P.: Dynamical properties and extremes of Northern Hemisphere climate fields over the past 60 years, Nonlinear Processes in Geophysics, 24, 713–725, 2017a.

Faranda, D., Messori, G., and Yiou, P.: Dynamical proxies of North Atlantic predictability and extremes, Scientific reports, 7, 41 278, 2017b.

Faranda, D., Messori, G., and Vannitsem, S.: Attractor dimension of time-averaged climate observables: insights from a low-order ocean-atmosphere model, Tellus A: Dynamic Meteorology and Oceanography, 71, 1–11, 2019.

Faranda, D., Bourdin, S., Ginesta, M., Krouma, M., Messori, G., Noyelle, R., Pons, F., and Yiou, P.: A climate-change attribution retrospective of some impactful weather extremes of 2021, Weather and Climate Dynamics Discussions, pp. 1–37, 2022.

Faranda, D., Messori, G., Jezequel, A., Vrac, M., and Yiou, P.: Atmospheric Circulation Compounds Anthropogenic Warming and Impacts of Climate Extremes in Europe, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 120, e2214525 120, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2214525120, 2023.

Feng, Z., Leung, L. R., Liu, N., Wang, J., Houze Jr, R. A., Li, J., Hardin, J. C., Chen, D., and Guo, J.: A Global High-Resolution Mesoscale Convective System Database Using Satellite-Derived Cloud Tops, Surface Precipitation, and Tracking, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 126, e2020JD034 202, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JD034202, 2021.

Feng, Z., Varble, A., Hardin, J., Marquis, J., Hunzinger, A., Zhang, Z., and Thieman, M.: Deep Convection Initiation, Growth, and Environments in the Complex Terrain of Central Argentina during CACTI, Monthly Weather Review, 150, 1135–1155, https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-21-0237.1, 2022.

Feng, Z., Hardin, J., Barnes, H. C., Li, J., Leung, L. R., Varble, A., and Zhang, Z.: PyFLEXTRKR: A Flexible Feature Tracking Python Software for Convective Cloud Analysis, Geoscientific Model Development, 16, 2753–2776, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-16-2753-2023, 2023a.

Feng, Z., Leung, L. R., Hardin, J., Terai, C. R., Song, F., and Caldwell, P.: Mesoscale Convective Systems in DYAMOND Global Convection-Permitting Simulations, Geophysical Research Letters, 50, https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GL102603, 2023b.

Freitas, A. C. M., Freitas, J. M., and Todd, M.: Hitting time statistics and extreme value theory, Probability Theory and Related Fields, 147, 675–710, 2010.

Freitas, A. C. M., Freitas, J. M., and Todd, M.: Extreme value laws in dynamical systems for non-smooth observations, Journal of Statistical Physics, 142, 108–126, 2011.

Freitas, A. C. M., Freitas, J. M., and Vaienti, S.: Extreme Value Laws for sequences of intermittent maps, arXiv preprint arXiv:1605.06287, 2016.

Fujita, T. T.: Manual of Downburst Identification for Project NIMROD [National Intensive Meteorological Research on Downburst]., 1978.

Fujita, T. T. and Wakimoto, R. M.: Five Scales of Airflow Associated with a Series of Downbursts on 16 July 1980, Monthly Weather Review, 109, 1438–1456, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1981)109<1438:FSOAAW>2.0.CO;2, 1981a.

Fujita, T. T. and Wakimoto, R. M.: Five scales of airflow associated with a series of downbursts on 16 July 1980, Monthly weather review, 109, 1438–1456, 1981b.

Fumière, Q., Déqué, M., Nuissier, O., Somot, S., Alias, A., Caillaud, C., Laurantin, O., and Seity, Y.: Extreme Rainfall in Mediterranean France during the Fall: Added Value of the CNRM-AROME Convection-Permitting Regional Climate Model, Climate Dynamics, 55, 77–91, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-019-04898-8, 2020.

Gatzen, C.: A Derecho in Europe: Berlin, 10 July 2002, Weather and Forecasting, 19, 639–645, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0434(2004)019<0639:ADIEBJ>2.0.CO;2, 2004.

Gatzen, C.: Warm-Season Severe Wind Events in Germany, Atmospheric Research, 123, 197–205, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2012.07.017, 2013.

Gatzen, C. P., Fink, A. H., Schultz, D. M., and Pinto, J. G.: An 18-Year Climatology of Derechos in Germany, Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences, 20, 1335–1351, https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-20-1335-2020, 2020a.

Gatzen, C. P., Fink, A. H., Schultz, D. M., and Pinto, J. G.: An 18-year climatology of derechos in Germany, Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences, 20, 1335–1351, 2020b.

Guastini, C. T. and Bosart, L. F.: Analysis of a Progressive Derecho Climatology and Associated Formation Environments, Monthly Weather Review, 144, 1363–1382, https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-15-0256.1, 2016.

Hamid, K.: Investigation of the Passage of a Derecho in Belgium, Atmospheric Research, 107, 86–105, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2011.12.013, 2012a.

Hamid, K.: Investigation of the passage of a derecho in Belgium, Atmospheric research, 107, 86–105, 2012b.

Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Biavati, G., Horányi, A., Muñoz Sabater, J., Nicolas, J., Peubey, C., Radu, R., Rozum, I., et al.: ERA5 hourly data on single levels from 1959 to present. Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S) Climate Data Store (CDS), https://doi.org/10.24381/cds.adbb2d47, (Accessed on 09-11-2022), 2018.

Hinrichs, G.: Tornadoes and Derechos, The American Meteorological Journal, 5, 306–317, 341–349, 385–393, 1888.

Hochman, A., Alpert, P., Harpaz, T., Saaroni, H., and Messori, G.: A new dynamical systems perspective on atmospheric predictability: Eastern Mediterranean weather regimes as a case study, Science advances, 5, eaau0936, 2019.

Holley, D., Dorling, S., Steele, C., and Earl, N.: A climatology of convective available potential energy in Great Britain, International Journal of Climatology, 34, 3811–3824, 2014.

Houze, R. A.: 100 Years of Research on Mesoscale Convective Systems, Meteorological Monographs, 59, 17.1–17.54, https://doi.org/10.1175/AMSMONOGRAPHS-D-18-0001.1, 2018.

Huang, B., Thorne, P. W., Banzon, V. F., Boyer, T., Chepurin, G., Lawrimore, J. H., Menne, M. J., Smith, T. M., Vose, R. S., and Zhang, H.-M.: Extended reconstructed sea surface temperature, version 5 (ERSSTv5): upgrades, validations, and intercomparisons, Journal of Climate, 30, 8179–8205, 2017.

Huffman, G., Stocker, E., Bolvin, D., Nelkin, E., and Jackson, T.: GPM IMERG Final Precipitation L3 Half Hourly 0.1 degree x 0.1 degree V06, Greenbelt, MD, Goddard Earth Sciences Data and Information Services Center (GES DISC), https://doi.org/http://doi.org/10.5067/GPM/IMERG/3B-HH/06, 2019.

Hurrell, J. W., Kushnir, Y., Ottersen, G., and Visbeck, M.: An overview of the North Atlantic oscillation, Geophysical Monograph-American Geophysical Union, 134, 1–36, 2003.

IPCC: Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, vol. 2, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157896, 2021.

Janowiak, J., Joyce, B., and Xie, P.: NCEP/CPC L3 Half Hourly 4km Global (60S - 60N) Merged IR V1, Edited by Andrey Savtchenko, Greenbelt, MD, Goddard Earth Sciences Data and Information Services Center (GES DISC), https://doi.org/http://doi.org/10.5067/P4HZB9N27EKU, 2017.

Johns, R. H. and Hirt, W. D.: The Derecho of July 19–20, 1983. A Case Study, National Weather Digest, 10, 17–32, 1985.

Johns, R. H. and Hirt, W. D.: Derechos: Widespread Convectively Induced Windstorms, Weather and Forecasting, 2, 32–49, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0434(1987)002<0032:DWCIW>2.0.CO;2, 1987a.

Johns, R. H. and Hirt, W. D.: Derechos: Widespread convectively induced windstorms, Weather and Forecasting, 2, 32–49, 1987b.

Keraunos: Complexe convectif de méso-échelle et derecho le 12 juillet 2010, https://www.keraunos.org/actualites/faits-marquants/2010/complexe-convectif-de-meso-echelle-et-derecho-le-12-juillet-2010.html, (Accessed on 04-01-2023), 2010.

Keraunos: Il y a 30 ans, des orages dévastaient le centre-ouest de la France, https://www.keraunos.org/actualites/fil-infos/2013/juillet/orages-25-26-juillet-1983-derecho-mcs-macrorafales-charente-vienne-poitou.html, (Accessed on 04-01-2023), 2013a.

Keraunos: MCC et derecho dans la nuit du 26 au 27 juillet 2013, https://www.keraunos.org/actualites/faits-marquants/2013/derecho-mcc-26-27-juillet-2013-orage-violent-rafales-gironde-charente-vienne-bordeaux-poitiers.html, (Accessed on 04-01-2023), 2013b.

Keraunos: Dégradation orageuse de grande ampleur le 8 août, avec derecho des Pyrénées au delta du Rhône, https://www.keraunos.org/actualites/faits-marquants/2014/derecho-orage-venteux-macrorafales-8-aout-2014-midi-pyrenees-aquitaine-languedoc.html, (Accessed on 04-01-2023), 2014a.

Keraunos: Derecho hivernal entre Grande-Bretagne, nord de la France et Belgique le 25 janvier 2014, https://www.keraunos.org/actualites/faits-marquants/2014/derecho-europe-25-janvier-2014-grande-bretagne-france-belgique-angleterre.html, (Accessed on 04-01-2023), 2014b.

Keraunos: Série de macrorafales (derecho) entre Bourgogne, Lorraine et Benelux le 16 septembre 2015, https://www.keraunos.org/actualites/faits-marquants/2015/derecho-macrorafale-nievre-yonne-aube-haute-marne-meuse-16-septembre-2015-tempete.html, (Accessed on 04-01-2023), 2015.

Keraunos: Ligne de grains venteuse (derecho hivernal) sur le nord et le nord-ouest le 2 mars, https://www.keraunos.org/actualites/fil-infos/2016/mars/orages-2-mars-2016-ligne-de-grains-gresil-grele-rafales-vent-nord-ouest.html, (Accessed on 04-01-2023), 2016.

Keraunos: Derecho (série de macrorafales) entre Bourgogne, Champagne et Ardennes le 29 avril 2018, https://www.keraunos.org/actualites/faits-marquants/2018/tornade-derecho-macrorafale-microrafale-29-avril-2018-marne-aube-ardennes, (Accessed on 04-01-2023), 2018.

Kunkel, K. E., Karl, T. R., Brooks, H., Kossin, J., Lawrimore, J. H., Arndt, D., Bosart, L., Changnon, D., Cutter, S. L., Doesken, N., Emanuel, K., Groisman, P. Y., Katz, R. W., Knutson, T., O'Brien, J., Paciorek, C. J., Peterson, T. C., Redmond, K., Robinson, D., Trapp, J., Vose, R., Weaver, S., Wehner, M., Wolter, K., and Wuebbles, D.: Monitoring and Understanding Trends in Extreme Storms: State of Knowledge, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 94, 499–514, https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00262.1, 2013.

Lewis, M. W. and Gray, S. L.: Categorisation of synoptic environments associated with mesoscale convective systems over the UK, Atmospheric Research, 97, 194–213, 2010.

Liebovitch, L. S. and Toth, T.: A fast algorithm to determine fractal dimensions by box counting, physics Letters A, 141, 386–390, 1989.

López, J. M.: A Mediterranean Derecho: Catalonia (Spain), 17th August 2003, Atmospheric Research, 83, 272–283, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2005.08.008, 2007a.

López, J. M.: A Mediterranean derecho: Catalonia (Spain), 17th August 2003, Atmospheric research, 83, 272–283, 2007b.

Lucarini, V., Faranda, D., and Wouters, J.: Universal behaviour of extreme value statistics for selected observables of dynamical systems, Journal of statistical physics, 147, 63–73, 2012.

Lucarini, V., Faranda, D., Freitas, A. C. M., Freitas, J. M., Holland, M., Kuna, T., Nicol, M., Todd, M., and Vaienti, S.: Extremes and recurrence in dynamical systems, John Wiley & Sons, 2016.

Markowski, P. and Richardson, Y.: Mesoscale Meteorology in Midlatitudes, Wiley, 1 edn., https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470682104, 2010.

Mathias, L., Ludwig, P., and Pinto, J. G.: Synoptic-Scale Conditions and Convection-Resolving Hindcast Experiments of a Cold-Season Derecho on 3 January 2014 in Western Europe, Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences, 19, 1023–1040, https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-19-1023-2019, 2019a.

Mathias, L., Ludwig, P., and Pinto, J. G.: Synoptic-scale conditions and convection-resolving hindcast experiments of a cold-season derecho on 3 January 2014 in western Europe, Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences, 19, 1023–1040, 2019b.

Messori, G., Caballero, R., and Faranda, D.: A dynamical systems approach to studying midlatitude weather extremes, Geophysical Research Letters, 44, 3346–3354, 2017.

Moloney, N. R., Faranda, D., and Sato, Y.: An overview of the extremal index, Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science, 29, 022 101, 2019a.

Moloney, N. R., Faranda, D., and Sato, Y.: An overview of the extremal index, Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science, 29, 022 101, 2019b.

Morris, R.: The Spanish plume-testing the forecasters nerve, Meteorological Magazine, 115, 349–357, 1986.

Natalia Pilguj, Mateusz Taszarek, John T. Allen, and Kimberly A. Hoogewind: Are Trends in Convective Parameters over the United States and Europe Consistent between Reanalyses and Observations?, Journal of Climate, pp. 1–52, https://doi.org/10.1175/jcli-d-21-0135.1, 2022.

Nobre, G. G., Jongman, B., Aerts, J., and Ward, P. J.: The role of climate variability in extreme floods in Europe, Environmental Research Letters, 12, 084 012, 2017.

Púčik, T., Francová, M., Rýva, D., Kolář, M., and Ronge, L.: Forecasting Challenges during the Severe Weather Outbreak in Central Europe on 25 June 2008, Atmospheric Research, 100, 680–704, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2010.11.014, 2011.

Púčik, T., Groenemeijer, P., Rädler, A. T., Tijssen, L., Nikulin, G., Prein, A. F., van Meijgaard, E., Fealy, R., Jacob, D., and Teichmann, C.: Future Changes in European Severe Convection Environments in a Regional Climate Model Ensemble, Journal of Climate, 30, 6771–6794, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0777.1, 2017.

Punkka, A.-J., Teittinen, J., and Johns, R. H.: Synoptic and Mesoscale Analysis of a High-Latitude Derecho–Severe Thunderstorm Outbreak in Finland on 5 July 2002, Weather and Forecasting, 21, 752–763, https://doi.org/10.1175/WAF953.1, 2006.

Ribes, A., Thao, S., Vautard, R., Dubuisson, B., Somot, S., Colin, J., Planton, S., and Soubeyroux, J.-M.: Observed Increase in Extreme Daily Rainfall in the French Mediterranean, Climate Dynamics, 52, 1095–1114, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-018-4179-2, 2019.

Sarkar, N. and Chaudhuri, B. B.: An efficient differential box-counting approach to compute fractal dimension of image, IEEE Transactions on systems, man, and cybernetics, 24, 115–120, 1994.

Schulz, W., Diendorfer, G., Pedeboy, S., and Poelman, D. R.: The European Lightning Location System EUCLID – Part 1: Performance Analysis and Validation, Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences, 16, 595–605, https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-16-595-2016, 2016.

Schumacher, R. S. and Rasmussen, K. L.: The Formation, Character and Changing Nature of Mesoscale Convective Systems, Nature Reviews Earth & Environment, 1, 300–314, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-020-0057-7, 2020.

Squitieri, B. J., Wade, A. R., and Jirak, I. L.: A Historical Overview on the Science of Derechos. Part 1: Identification, Climatology, and Societal Impacts, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-22-0217.1, 2023.

Stein, C. and Wald, A.: Sequential confidence intervals for the mean of a normal distribution with known variance, The Annals of Mathematical Statistics, pp. 427–433, 1947.

Süveges, M.: Likelihood estimation of the extremal index, Extremes, 10, 41–55, 2007.

Taszarek, M., Allen, J., Púčik, T., Groenemeijer, P., Czernecki, B., Kolendowicz, L., Lagouvardos, K., Kotroni, V., and Schulz, W.: A Climatology of Thunderstorms across Europe from a Synthesis of Multiple Data Sources, Journal of Climate, 32, 1813–1837, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-18-0372.1, 2019.

Taszarek, M., Allen, J. T., Púčik, T., Hoogewind, K. A., and Brooks, H. E.: Severe Convective Storms across Europe and the United States. Part II: ERA5 Environments Associated with Lightning, Large Hail, Severe Wind, and Tornadoes, Journal of Climate, 33, 10 263–10 286, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-20-0346.1, 2020.

Taszarek, M., Allen, J. T., Brooks, H. E., Pilguj, N., and Czernecki, B.: Differing Trends in United States and European Severe Thunderstorm Environments in a Warming Climate, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 102, E296–E322, https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-20-0004.1, 2021a.

Taszarek, M., Allen, J. T., Marchio, M., and Brooks, H. E.: Global Climatology and Trends in Convective Environments from ERA5 and Rawinsonde Data, npj Climate and Atmospheric Science, 4, 1–11, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41612-021-00190-x, 2021b.

Trenberth, K. E. and Shea, D. J.: Atlantic hurricanes and natural variability in 2005, Geophysical research letters, 33, 2006.

van Delden, A.: The Synoptic Setting of Thunderstorms in Western Europe, Atmospheric Research, 56, 89–110, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-8095(00)00092-2, 2001.

Wei, W., Yan, Z., and Li, Z.: Influence of Pacific Decadal Oscillation on Global Precipitation Extremes, Environmental Research Letters, 16, 044 031, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/abed7c, 2021.

Wikipedia: 2022 European derecho, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2022_European_derecho, (Accessed on 04-01-2023), 2022.

Yang, Q., Houze Jr, R. A., Leung, L. R., and Feng, Z.: Environments of Long-Lived Mesoscale Convective Systems Over the Central United States in Convection Permitting Climate Simulations, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 122, 13,288–13,307, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JD027033, 2017.

Zampieri, M., Toreti, A., Schindler, A., Scoccimarro, E., and Gualdi, S.: Atlantic multi-decadal oscillation influence on weather regimes over Europe and the Mediterranean in spring and summer, Global and Planetary Change, 151, 92–100, 2017.

Zhuang, J., dussin, r., Huard, D., Bourgault, P., Banihirwe, A., Raynaud, S., Malevich, B., Schupfner, M., Filipe, Levang, S., Gauthier, C., Jüling, A., Almansi, M., RichardScottOZ, RondeauG, Rasp, S., Smith, T. J., Stachelek, J., Plough, M., Pierre, Bell, R., Caneill, R., and Li, X.: Pangeo-Data/xESMF: V0.8.2, Zenodo, https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8356796, 2023.




**Figure 4.** Attribution for the 18 August 2022 derecho storm. Daily mean zero-centered geopotential height anomaly (z500) (a), 2-meter temperatures t2m (e), total precipitation tp (i), wind-speed wspd (m) on the day of the event. Average of the 29 sea-level pressure analogues found for the counterfactual [1950-1980] (b) and factual [1992-2022] (c) periods and corresponding 2-meter temperatures (f,g), daily precipitation rate (j,k) and wind speed (n,o). ΔZ500 (d), Δt2m (h), Δtp (i) and Δwspd (p) between factual and counterfactual periods: colored-filled areas show significant anomalies with respect to the bootstrap procedure. Violin plots for counterfactual (blue) and factual (orange) periods for the analogues Quality Q (q) the Predictability index D (r), the Persistence index Θ (s) and the distribution of analogues in each month (t). Violin plots for counterfactual (blue) and factual (orange) periods for ENSO (u), AMO (v) and PDO (w). The number of analogues per decade (blue) and its linear trend (black) in (x). Values for the peak day of the extreme event are marked by a dot. Titles in (q–v) report the results of the Cramér-von Mises test H and the pvalue pval. Title in panel (w) includes the value of the linear trend slope and its confidence interval CI in square brackets.




**Figure 5.** As in Figure 4 but for daily maximum CAPE.




**Figure 6.** As in Figure 4 but for daily maximum DLS.